

# Language equations: the story of computational completeness

ALEXANDER OKHOTIN

Department of Mathematics, University of Turku;  
Academy of Finland

Dagstuhl, 17 December 2010 A. D.

# Language equations

System of equations:

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \psi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_m(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \psi_m(X_1, \dots, X_n) \end{cases}$$

# Language equations

System of equations:

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \psi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_m(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \psi_m(X_1, \dots, X_n) \end{cases}$$

- Alphabet  $\Sigma$ .

# Language equations

System of equations:

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \psi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_m(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \psi_m(X_1, \dots, X_n) \end{cases}$$

- Alphabet  $\Sigma$ .
- $X_i$ : unknown formal languages.

# Language equations

System of equations:

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \psi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_m(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \psi_m(X_1, \dots, X_n) \end{cases}$$

- Alphabet  $\Sigma$ .
- $X_i$ : unknown formal languages.
- $\varphi_i$ : variables, operations on languages, constant languages.

# Language equations

System of equations:

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \psi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_m(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \psi_m(X_1, \dots, X_n) \end{cases}$$

- Alphabet  $\Sigma$ .
- $X_i$ : unknown formal languages.
- $\varphi_i$ : variables, operations on languages, constant languages.
- Solutions  $X_i = L_i$ :

# Language equations

System of equations:

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \psi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_m(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \psi_m(X_1, \dots, X_n) \end{cases}$$

- Alphabet  $\Sigma$ .
- $X_i$ : unknown formal languages.
- $\varphi_i$ : variables, operations on languages, constant languages.
- Solutions  $X_i = L_i$ :
  - ▶ Unique solutions.

# Language equations

System of equations:

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \psi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_m(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \psi_m(X_1, \dots, X_n) \end{cases}$$

- Alphabet  $\Sigma$ .
- $X_i$ : unknown formal languages.
- $\varphi_i$ : variables, operations on languages, constant languages.
- Solutions  $X_i = L_i$ :
  - ▶ Unique solutions.
  - ▶ Least/greatest wrt. partial order:

$$(L_1, \dots, L_n) \sqsubseteq (L'_1, \dots, L'_n) \quad \text{if} \quad L_i \subseteq L'_i \quad \text{for all } i$$

## Two simple well-known cases

- ① GINSBURG, RICE (1962): context-free grammars represented by

$$\begin{cases} X_1 = \varphi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ X_n = \varphi_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) \end{cases}$$

with operations  $\{\cup, \cdot\}$ .

## Two simple well-known cases

- ① GINSBURG, RICE (1962): context-free grammars represented by

$$\begin{cases} X_1 = \varphi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ X_n = \varphi_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) \end{cases}$$

with operations  $\{\cup, \cdot\}$ .

- ▶ Rules  $A \rightarrow \alpha_1 \mid \dots \mid \alpha_n$  yield equation  $A = \alpha_1 \cup \dots \cup \alpha_n$ .

## Two simple well-known cases

- ① GINSBURG, RICE (1962): context-free grammars represented by

$$\begin{cases} X_1 = \varphi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ X_n = \varphi_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) \end{cases}$$

with operations  $\{\cup, \cdot\}$ .

- ▶ Rules  $A \rightarrow \alpha_1 \mid \dots \mid \alpha_n$  yield equation  $A = \alpha_1 \cup \dots \cup \alpha_n$ .
- ▶ Least solution.

## Two simple well-known cases

- ① GINSBURG, RICE (1962): context-free grammars represented by

$$\begin{cases} X_1 = \varphi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ X_n = \varphi_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) \end{cases}$$

with operations  $\{\cup, \cdot\}$ .

- ▶ Rules  $A \rightarrow \alpha_1 \mid \dots \mid \alpha_n$  yield equation  $A = \alpha_1 \cup \dots \cup \alpha_n$ .
- ▶ Least solution.
- ▶ With operations  $\{\cup, \cap, \cdot\}$ : conjunctive grammars (OKHOTIN, 2001).

## Two simple well-known cases

- ① GINSBURG, RICE (1962): context-free grammars represented by

$$\begin{cases} X_1 = \varphi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ X_n = \varphi_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) \end{cases}$$

with operations  $\{\cup, \cdot\}$ .

- ▶ Rules  $A \rightarrow \alpha_1 \mid \dots \mid \alpha_n$  yield equation  $A = \alpha_1 \cup \dots \cup \alpha_n$ .
  - ▶ Least solution.
  - ▶ With operations  $\{\cup, \cap, \cdot\}$ : conjunctive grammars (OKHOTIN, 2001).
- ② One-sided concatenation:  
small fragment of MSO on infinite trees (RABIN, 1969).

## Two simple well-known cases

- ① GINSBURG, RICE (1962): context-free grammars represented by

$$\begin{cases} X_1 = \varphi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ X_n = \varphi_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) \end{cases}$$

with operations  $\{\cup, \cdot\}$ .

- ▶ Rules  $A \rightarrow \alpha_1 \mid \dots \mid \alpha_n$  yield equation  $A = \alpha_1 \cup \dots \cup \alpha_n$ .
  - ▶ Least solution.
  - ▶ With operations  $\{\cup, \cap, \cdot\}$ : conjunctive grammars (OKHOTIN, 2001).
- ② One-sided concatenation:  
small fragment of MSO on infinite trees (RABIN, 1969).
- ▶ Solutions are regular. Everything is decidable.

## Two simple well-known cases

- ① GINSBURG, RICE (1962): context-free grammars represented by

$$\begin{cases} X_1 = \varphi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ X_n = \varphi_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) \end{cases}$$

with operations  $\{\cup, \cdot\}$ .

- ▶ Rules  $A \rightarrow \alpha_1 \mid \dots \mid \alpha_n$  yield equation  $A = \alpha_1 \cup \dots \cup \alpha_n$ .
  - ▶ Least solution.
  - ▶ With operations  $\{\cup, \cap, \cdot\}$ : conjunctive grammars (OKHOTIN, 2001).
- ② One-sided concatenation:  
small fragment of MSO on infinite trees (RABIN, 1969).
- ▶ Solutions are regular. Everything is decidable.
  - ▶ Simpler proof of regularity. Everything is EXPTIME-complete. (BAADER, OKHOTIN, 2006).

# Undecidable properties

- “Given CFG  $G = (\Sigma, N, P, S)$ , is  $L(G)$  equal to  $\Sigma^*$ ?”: undecidable.

## Undecidable properties

- “Given CFG  $G = (\Sigma, N, P, S)$ , is  $L(G)$  equal to  $\Sigma^*$ ?”: undecidable.
- Solution uniqueness for  $X_i = \varphi_i(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is undecidable.

## Undecidable properties

- “Given CFG  $G = (\Sigma, N, P, S)$ , is  $L(G)$  equal to  $\Sigma^*$ ?”: undecidable.
- Solution uniqueness for  $X_i = \varphi_i(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is undecidable.

$$S' = S' \cup S$$

$$S = \dots$$

## Undecidable properties

- “Given CFG  $G = (\Sigma, N, P, S)$ , is  $L(G)$  equal to  $\Sigma^*$ ?”: undecidable.
- Solution uniqueness for  $X_i = \varphi_i(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is undecidable.

$$S' = S' \cup S$$

$$S = \dots$$

- General method: computation histories (HARTMANIS, 1968).

## Undecidable properties

- “Given CFG  $G = (\Sigma, N, P, S)$ , is  $L(G)$  equal to  $\Sigma^*$ ?”: undecidable.
- Solution uniqueness for  $X_i = \varphi_i(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is undecidable.

$$S' = S' \cup S$$

$$S = \dots$$

- General method: computation histories (HARTMANIS, 1968).
  - ▶ Turing machine  $T$ .

## Undecidable properties

- “Given CFG  $G = (\Sigma, N, P, S)$ , is  $L(G)$  equal to  $\Sigma^*$ ?”: undecidable.
- Solution uniqueness for  $X_i = \varphi_i(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is undecidable.

$$S' = S' \cup S$$

$$S = \dots$$

- General method: computation histories (HARTMANIS, 1968).
  - ▶ Turing machine  $T$ .

$$\text{VALC}(T) = \{w \# C_T(w) \mid w \in L(T)\}$$

# Undecidable properties

- “Given CFG  $G = (\Sigma, N, P, S)$ , is  $L(G)$  equal to  $\Sigma^*$ ?”: undecidable.
- Solution uniqueness for  $X_i = \varphi_i(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is undecidable.

$$S' = S' \cup S$$

$$S = \dots$$

- General method: computation histories (HARTMANIS, 1968).
  - ▶ Turing machine  $T$ .

$$\text{VALC}(T) = \{w \# C_T(w) \mid w \in L(T)\}$$

- ▶ Suitable  $C_T : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Gamma^*$  encodes computations.

# Undecidable properties

- “Given CFG  $G = (\Sigma, N, P, S)$ , is  $L(G)$  equal to  $\Sigma^*$ ?”: undecidable.
- Solution uniqueness for  $X_i = \varphi_i(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is undecidable.

$$S' = S' \cup S$$

$$S = \dots$$

- General method: computation histories (HARTMANIS, 1968).
  - ▶ Turing machine  $T$ .

$$\text{VALC}(T) = \{w \# C_T(w) \mid w \in L(T)\}$$

- ▶ Suitable  $C_T : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Gamma^*$  encodes computations.
- ▶ Complement of  $\text{VALC}(T)$  is  $CF$ .

## Undecidable properties

- “Given CFG  $G = (\Sigma, N, P, S)$ , is  $L(G)$  equal to  $\Sigma^*$ ?”: undecidable.
- Solution uniqueness for  $X_i = \varphi_i(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is undecidable.

$$S' = S' \cup S$$

$$S = \dots$$

- General method: computation histories (HARTMANIS, 1968).
  - ▶ Turing machine  $T$ .

$$\text{VALC}(T) = \{w \# C_T(w) \mid w \in L(T)\}$$

- ▶ Suitable  $C_T : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Gamma^*$  encodes computations.
- ▶ Complement of  $\text{VALC}(T)$  is  $CF$ .
- ▶  $\text{VALC}(T) = L(G_1) \cap L(G_2)$  for context-free  $G_1, G_2$ .

## Undecidable properties

- “Given CFG  $G = (\Sigma, N, P, S)$ , is  $L(G)$  equal to  $\Sigma^*$ ?”: undecidable.
- Solution uniqueness for  $X_i = \varphi_i(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is undecidable.

$$S' = S' \cup S$$

$$S = \dots$$

- General method: computation histories (HARTMANIS, 1968).
  - ▶ Turing machine  $T$ .

$$\text{VALC}(T) = \{w \# C_T(w) \mid w \in L(T)\}$$

- ▶ Suitable  $C_T : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Gamma^*$  encodes computations.
  - ▶ Complement of  $\text{VALC}(T)$  is  $CF$ .
  - ▶  $\text{VALC}(T) = L(G_1) \cap L(G_2)$  for context-free  $G_1, G_2$ .
- Solution existence for  $\varphi_i(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \psi_i(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is undecidable (PARIKH et al., 1985; CHARATONIK, 1994).

# Computationally universal solutions

## Theorem (OKHOTIN, ICALP 2003)

*$L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  with  $|\Sigma| \geq 2$  is given by a least solution  
of a system  $\varphi = \psi$  with  $\{\cup, \cap, \cdot\}$   
if and only if*

*$L$  is r.e.*

# Computationally universal solutions

## Theorem (OKHOTIN, ICALP 2003)

*$L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  with  $|\Sigma| \geq 2$  is given by a least solution of a system  $\varphi = \psi$  with  $\{\cup, \cap, \cdot\}$  if and only if*

*$L$  is r.e.*

- $\text{VALC}(T) = \{w \# C_T(w) \mid w \in L(T)\}$ : accepting computations.

# Computationally universal solutions

## Theorem (OKHOTIN, ICALP 2003)

*$L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  with  $|\Sigma| \geq 2$  is given by a least solution of a system  $\varphi = \psi$  with  $\{\cup, \cap, \cdot\}$  if and only if*

*$L$  is r.e.*

- $\text{VALC}(T) = \{w \# C_T(w) \mid w \in L(T)\}$ : accepting computations.
- $L(T)$  is a least solution of

$$\text{VALC}(T) \subseteq X \# \Sigma^*$$

# Computationally universal solutions

## Theorem (OKHOTIN, ICALP 2003)

$L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  with  $|\Sigma| \geq 2$  is given by a least solution of a system  $\varphi = \psi$  with  $\{\cup, \cap, \cdot\}$  if and only if

$L$  is r.e.

- $\text{VALC}(T) = \{w \Downarrow C_T(w) \mid w \in L(T)\}$ : accepting computations.
- $L(T)$  is a least solution of

$$\text{VALC}(T) \subseteq X \Downarrow \Sigma^*$$

- ▶  $\text{VALC}(T) \cup X \Downarrow \Sigma^* = X \Downarrow \Sigma^*$ .

# Computationally universal solutions

## Theorem (OKHOTIN, ICALP 2003)

$L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  with  $|\Sigma| \geq 2$  is given by a least (*greatest, unique*) solution of a system  $\varphi = \psi$  with  $\{\cup, \cap, \cdot\}$   
if and only if  
 $L$  is r.e. (*co-r.e., recursive*).

- $\text{VALC}(T) = \{w \downarrow C_T(w) \mid w \in L(T)\}$ : accepting computations.
- $L(T)$  is a least solution of

$$\text{VALC}(T) \subseteq X \downarrow \Sigma^*$$

- ▶  $\text{VALC}(T) \cup X \downarrow \Sigma^* = X \downarrow \Sigma^*$ .
- Greatest and unique solutions.

# Computationally universal solutions

## Theorem (OKHOTIN, ICALP 2003)

$L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  with  $|\Sigma| \geq 2$  is given by a least (*greatest, unique*) solution of a system  $\varphi = \psi$  with  $\{\cup, \cap, \cdot\}$   
if and only if  
 $L$  is r.e. (*co-r.e., recursive*).

- $\text{VALC}(T) = \{w \downarrow C_T(w) \mid w \in L(T)\}$ : accepting computations.
- $L(T)$  is a least solution of

$$\text{VALC}(T) \subseteq X \downarrow \Sigma^*$$

- ▶  $\text{VALC}(T) \cup X \downarrow \Sigma^* = X \downarrow \Sigma^*$ .
- Greatest and unique solutions.
- Matching upper bounds.

# Fewer operations

- Systems  $\varphi = \psi$  with  $\{\cup, \cdot\}$  or  $\{\cap, \cdot\}$  (OKHOTIN, 2005).

# Fewer operations

- Systems  $\varphi = \psi$  with  $\{\cup, \cdot\}$  or  $\{\cap, \cdot\}$  (OKHOTIN, 2005).
- Systems  $\varphi = \psi$  with  $\{\Delta, \cdot\}$  (OKHOTIN, 2006).

# Fewer operations

- Systems  $\varphi = \psi$  with  $\{\cup, \cdot\}$  or  $\{\cap, \cdot\}$  (OKHOTIN, 2005).
- Systems  $\varphi = \psi$  with  $\{\Delta, \cdot\}$  (OKHOTIN, 2006).
- $LX = XL$  (KUNC, 2005). Finite constant  $L \subseteq \{a, b\}^*$ :

# Fewer operations

- Systems  $\varphi = \psi$  with  $\{\cup, \cdot\}$  or  $\{\cap, \cdot\}$  (OKHOTIN, 2005).
- Systems  $\varphi = \psi$  with  $\{\Delta, \cdot\}$  (OKHOTIN, 2006).
- $LX = XL$  (KUNC, 2005). Finite constant  $L \subseteq \{a, b\}^*$ : greatest  $X$  is co-r.e.-complete.

# Fewer operations

- Systems  $\varphi = \psi$  with  $\{\cup, \cdot\}$  or  $\{\cap, \cdot\}$  (OKHOTIN, 2005).
- Systems  $\varphi = \psi$  with  $\{\Delta, \cdot\}$  (OKHOTIN, 2006).
- $LX = XL$  (KUNC, 2005). Finite constant  $L \subseteq \{a, b\}^*$ : greatest  $X$  is co-r.e.-complete.
- Multiple-letter alphabet in all cases.

The one-letter case:  $\Sigma = \{a\}$

Example (LEISS, 1995)

$$X = aX^2$$

has a unique solution  $\{n \mid \exists i \geq 0 : 2^{3i} \leq n < 2^{3i+2}\}$ .

## The one-letter case: $\Sigma = \{a\}$

### Example (LEISS, 1995)

$$X = a\overline{\overline{\overline{2^2}}}$$

has a unique solution  $\{n \mid \exists i \geq 0 : 2^{3i} \leq n < 2^{3i+2}\}$ .

- =  $\{n \mid \text{base-8 notation of } n \text{ begins with } 1, 2 \text{ or } 3\}$ .

## The one-letter case: $\Sigma = \{a\}$

### Example (LEISS, 1995)

$$X = aX^{\overline{\overline{2^2}}}$$

has a unique solution  $\{n \mid \exists i \geq 0 : 2^{3i} \leq n < 2^{3i+2}\}$ .

- =  $\{n \mid \text{base-8 notation of } n \text{ begins with } 1, 2 \text{ or } 3\}$ .

### Example (JEŽ, 2007)

$$X_1 = (X_1X_3 \cap X_2X_2) \cup \{a\}$$

$$X_2 = (X_1X_1 \cap X_2X_6) \cup \{aa\}$$

$$X_3 = (X_1X_2 \cap X_6X_6) \cup \{aaa\}$$

$$X_6 = (X_1X_2 \cap X_3X_3)$$

has a least solution  $X_i = \{a^{i \cdot 4^n} \mid n \geq 0\}$ .

## The one-letter case: $\Sigma = \{a\}$

### Example (LEISS, 1995)

$$X = aX^{\overline{\overline{2^2}}}$$

has a unique solution  $\{n \mid \exists i \geq 0 : 2^{3i} \leq n < 2^{3i+2}\}$ .

- $= \{n \mid \text{base-8 notation of } n \text{ begins with } 1, 2 \text{ or } 3\}$ .

### Example (JEŽ, 2007)

$$X_1 = (X_1X_3 \cap X_2X_2) \cup \{a\}$$

$$X_2 = (X_1X_1 \cap X_2X_6) \cup \{aa\}$$

$$X_3 = (X_1X_2 \cap X_6X_6) \cup \{aaa\}$$

$$X_6 = (X_1X_2 \cap X_3X_3)$$

has a least solution  $X_i = \{a^{i \cdot 4^n} \mid n \geq 0\}$ .

- In base-4:  $(10^*)_4, (20^*)_4, (30^*)_4, (120^*)_4$ .

# Base- $k$ notation

## Theorem (JEŽ, OKHOTIN, 2007)

*Assume  $L \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, k - 1\}$  is recognized by a one-way real-time cellular automaton.*

*Then  $(L)_k = \{a^n \mid \text{base-}k \text{ notation of } n \text{ is in } L\}$  is generated by a conjunctive grammar.*

# Base- $k$ notation

## Theorem (JEŽ, OKHOTIN, 2007)

*Assume  $L \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, k - 1\}$  is recognized by a one-way real-time cellular automaton.*

*Then  $(L)_k = \{a^n \mid \text{base-}k \text{ notation of } n \text{ is in } L\}$  is generated by a conjunctive grammar.*

- That is, by unique solutions of systems

$$\begin{cases} X_1 &= \varphi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ &\vdots \\ X_n &= \varphi_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) \end{cases}$$

with  $\{\cup, \cap, \cdot\}$ .

# Base- $k$ notation

## Theorem (JEŽ, OKHOTIN, 2007)

Assume  $L \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$  is recognized by a one-way real-time cellular automaton.

Then  $(L)_k = \{a^n \mid \text{base-}k \text{ notation of } n \text{ is in } L\}$  is generated by a conjunctive grammar.

- That is, by unique solutions of systems

$$\begin{cases} X_1 = \varphi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ X_n = \varphi_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) \end{cases}$$

with  $\{\cup, \cap, \cdot\}$ .

- $\text{VALC}(T)$  recognized by such CA.

# Base- $k$ notation

## Theorem (JEŽ, OKHOTIN, 2007)

Assume  $L \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$  is recognized by a one-way real-time cellular automaton.

Then  $(L)_k = \{a^n \mid \text{base-}k \text{ notation of } n \text{ is in } L\}$  is generated by a conjunctive grammar.

- That is, by unique solutions of systems

$$\begin{cases} X_1 = \varphi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ X_n = \varphi_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) \end{cases}$$

with  $\{\cup, \cap, \cdot\}$ .

- $\text{VALC}(T)$  recognized by such CA.
- Consider  $\text{VALC}(T)$  over  $\Sigma = \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ .

## Base- $k$ notation

### Theorem (JEŽ, OKHOTIN, 2007)

Assume  $L \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$  is recognized by a one-way real-time cellular automaton.

Then  $(L)_k = \{a^n \mid \text{base-}k \text{ notation of } n \text{ is in } L\}$  is generated by a conjunctive grammar.

- That is, by unique solutions of systems

$$\begin{cases} X_1 = \varphi_1(X_1, \dots, X_n) \\ \vdots \\ X_n = \varphi_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) \end{cases}$$

with  $\{\cup, \cap, \cdot\}$ .

- $\text{VALC}(T)$  recognized by such CA.
- Consider  $\text{VALC}(T)$  over  $\Sigma = \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ .
- $(\text{VALC}(T))_k$  represented by language equations.

## Extracting $L(T)$ from $(\text{VALC}(T))_k$

- Cf:  $\boxed{\text{VALC}(T) \subseteq X\#\Sigma^*}$  for larger alphabets.

## Extracting $L(T)$ from $(\text{VALC}(T))_k$

- Cf:  $\boxed{\text{VALC}(T) \subseteq X\#\Sigma^*}$  for larger alphabets.
- Here: same general idea, more difficult.

## Extracting $L(T)$ from $(\text{VALC}(T))_k$

- Cf:  $\boxed{\text{VALC}(T) \subseteq X\#\Sigma^*}$  for larger alphabets.
- Here: same general idea, more difficult.
- Extract number  $(w)_k$  from number  $(C_T(w)\#w)_k$ .

## Extracting $L(T)$ from $(\text{VALC}(T))_k$

- Cf:  $\boxed{\text{VALC}(T) \subseteq X\#\Sigma^*}$  for larger alphabets.
- Here: same general idea, more difficult.
- Extract number  $(w)_k$  from number  $(C_T(w)\#w)_k$ .
- A special encoding of  $\text{VALC}(T)$  over base-6 alphabet.

## Extracting $L(T)$ from $(\text{VALC}(T))_k$

- Cf:  $\boxed{\text{VALC}(T) \subseteq X\#\Sigma^*}$  for larger alphabets.
- Here: same general idea, more difficult.
- Extract number  $(w)_k$  from number  $(C_T(w)\#w)_k$ .
- A special encoding of  $\text{VALC}(T)$  over base-6 alphabet.

### Theorem (JEŽ, OKHOTIN, ICALP 2008)

$L \subseteq a^*$  is given by unique (least, greatest) solution of a system  $\varphi = \psi$  with operations  $\{\cup, \cap, \cdot\}$

*if and only if*

*$L$  is recursive (r.e., co-r.e.).*

## Extracting $L(T)$ from $(\text{VALC}(T))_k$

- Cf:  $\boxed{\text{VALC}(T) \subseteq X\#\Sigma^*}$  for larger alphabets.
- Here: same general idea, more difficult.
- Extract number  $(w)_k$  from number  $(C_T(w)\#w)_k$ .
- A special encoding of  $\text{VALC}(T)$  over base-6 alphabet.

### Theorem (JEŽ, OKHOTIN, ICALP 2008)

$L \subseteq a^*$  is given by unique (least, greatest) solution of a system  $\varphi = \psi$  with operations  $\{\cup, \cdot\}$

if and only if

$L$  is recursive (r.e., co-r.e.).

- Intersection not needed! (reconstruction from scratch)

# Unary alphabet, only concatenation

- Can anything be represented with concatenation only?

## Unary alphabet, only concatenation

- Can anything be represented with concatenation only?
- Denote  $\tau_i(L) = \{a^{16n+i} \mid a^n \in L\}$ : **track**  $i$ .

## Unary alphabet, only concatenation

- Can anything be represented with concatenation only?
- Denote  $\tau_i(L) = \{a^{16n+i} \mid a^n \in L\}$ : track  $i$ .
- Simulate equations with  $\{\cup, \cdot\}$  using only  $\{\cdot\}$ .

## Unary alphabet, only concatenation

- Can anything be represented with concatenation only?
- Denote  $\tau_i(L) = \{a^{16n+i} \mid a^n \in L\}$ : track  $i$ .
- Simulate equations with  $\{\cup, \cdot\}$  using only  $\{\cdot\}$ .

### Theorem (JEŽ, OKHOTIN, STACS 2009)

For every recursive (r.e., co-r.e.) language  $L \subseteq a^*$ , the set

$$\sigma(L) = \{\varepsilon\} \cup \tau_6(\mathbb{N}) \cup \tau_8(\mathbb{N}) \cup \tau_9(\mathbb{N}) \cup \tau_{12}(\mathbb{N}) \cup \tau_{13}(L).$$

is representable by unique (least, greatest) solution of a system with  $\{\cdot\}$ .



## Unary alphabet, only concatenation

- Can anything be represented with concatenation only?
- Denote  $\tau_i(L) = \{a^{16n+i} \mid a^n \in L\}$ : **track**  $i$ .
- Simulate equations with  $\{\cup, \cdot\}$  using only  $\{\cdot\}$ .

### Theorem (JEŹ, OKHOTIN, STACS 2009)

For every recursive (r.e., co-r.e.) language  $L \subseteq a^*$ , the set

$$\sigma(L) = \{\varepsilon\} \cup \tau_6(\mathbb{N}) \cup \tau_8(\mathbb{N}) \cup \tau_9(\mathbb{N}) \cup \tau_{12}(\mathbb{N}) \cup \tau_{13}(L).$$

is representable by unique (least, greatest) solution of a system with  $\{\cdot\}$ .



- Encoding checked by

$$X \cdot \{\varepsilon, a^4, a^{11}\} = \bigcup_{i \in \{0,4,6,8,9,10,12,13\}} \tau_i(a^*) \cup \bigcup_{i \in \{1,3,7\}} \tau_i(a^+) \cup \{a^{11}\}.$$

## Unary alphabet, only concatenation

- Can anything be represented with concatenation only?
- Denote  $\tau_i(L) = \{a^{16n+i} \mid a^n \in L\}$ : **track**  $i$ .
- Simulate equations with  $\{\cup, \cdot\}$  using only  $\{\cdot\}$ .

### Theorem (JEŹ, OKHOTIN, STACS 2009)

For every recursive (r.e., co-r.e.) language  $L \subseteq a^*$ , the set

$$\sigma(L) = \{\varepsilon\} \cup \tau_6(\mathbb{N}) \cup \tau_8(\mathbb{N}) \cup \tau_9(\mathbb{N}) \cup \tau_{12}(\mathbb{N}) \cup \tau_{13}(L).$$

is representable by unique (least, greatest) solution of a system with  $\{\cdot\}$ .



- Encoding checked by

$$X \cdot \{\varepsilon, a^4, a^{11}\} = \bigcup_{i \in \{0,4,6,8,9,10,12,13\}} \tau_i(a^*) \cup \bigcup_{i \in \{1,3,7\}} \tau_i(a^+) \cup \{a^{11}\}.$$

- $KL = MN$  checked by  $\sigma(K)\sigma(L)\{\varepsilon, a\} = \sigma(M)\sigma(N)\{\varepsilon, a\}$ .

## Unary alphabet, only concatenation

- Can anything be represented with concatenation only?
- Denote  $\tau_i(L) = \{a^{16n+i} \mid a^n \in L\}$ : **track**  $i$ .
- Simulate equations with  $\{\cup, \cdot\}$  using only  $\{\cdot\}$ .

### Theorem (JEŹ, OKHOTIN, STACS 2009)

For every recursive (r.e., co-r.e.) language  $L \subseteq a^*$ , the set

$$\sigma(L) = \{\varepsilon\} \cup \tau_6(\mathbb{N}) \cup \tau_8(\mathbb{N}) \cup \tau_9(\mathbb{N}) \cup \tau_{12}(\mathbb{N}) \cup \tau_{13}(L).$$

is representable by unique (least, greatest) solution of a system with  $\{\cdot\}$ .



- Encoding checked by

$$X \cdot \{\varepsilon, a^4, a^{11}\} = \bigcup_{i \in \{0,4,6,8,9,10,12,13\}} \tau_i(a^*) \cup \bigcup_{i \in \{1,3,7\}} \tau_i(a^+) \cup \{a^{11}\}.$$

- $KL = MN$  checked by  $\sigma(K)\sigma(L)\{\varepsilon, a\} = \sigma(M)\sigma(N)\{\varepsilon, a\}$ .
- $K \cup L = M \cup N$  checked by  $\sigma(K)\sigma(L)\{\varepsilon, a^2\} = \sigma(M)\sigma(N)\{\varepsilon, a^2\}$ .

## One variable, two equations

- Encode all variables into one by  $\pi : (2^{a^*})^n \rightarrow 2^{a^*}$ .

# One variable, two equations

- Encode all variables into one by  $\pi : (2^{a^*})^n \rightarrow 2^{a^*}$ .

## Theorem (LEHTINEN, OKHOTIN, 2010)

For every recursive (r.e., co-r.e.) language  $L_0 \subseteq a^*$  there exists a system

$$\begin{cases} XXK & = & XL \\ XM & = & N \end{cases}$$

with a unique (least, greatest, respectively) solution  $X = L'_0$ , such that  $a^n \in L_0$  if and only if  $a^{pn+d} \in L'_0$ .

# One variable, two equations

- Encode all variables into one by  $\pi : (2^{a^*})^n \rightarrow 2^{a^*}$ .

## Theorem (LEHTINEN, OKHOTIN, 2010)

For every recursive (r.e., co-r.e.) language  $L_0 \subseteq a^*$  there exists a system

$$\begin{cases} XXK & = & XL \\ XM & = & N \end{cases}$$

with a unique (least, greatest, respectively) solution  $X = L'_0$ , such that  $a^n \in L_0$  if and only if  $a^{pn+d} \in L'_0$ .

- Apparently the simplest form of language equations.

## One variable, two equations

- Encode all variables into one by  $\pi : (2^{a^*})^n \rightarrow 2^{a^*}$ .

### Theorem (LEHTINEN, OKHOTIN, 2010)

For every recursive (r.e., co-r.e.) language  $L_0 \subseteq a^*$  there exists a system

$$\begin{cases} XXK & = & XL \\ XM & = & N \end{cases}$$

with a unique (least, greatest, respectively) solution  $X = L'_0$ , such that  $a^n \in L_0$  if and only if  $a^{pn+d} \in L'_0$ .

- Apparently the simplest form of language equations.
- Decision problems for such systems:

# One variable, two equations

- Encode all variables into one by  $\pi : (2^{a^*})^n \rightarrow 2^{a^*}$ .

## Theorem (LEHTINEN, OKHOTIN, 2010)

For every recursive (r.e., co-r.e.) language  $L_0 \subseteq a^*$  there exists a system

$$\begin{cases} XXK & = & XL \\ XM & = & N \end{cases}$$

with a unique (least, greatest, respectively) solution  $X = L'_0$ , such that  $a^n \in L_0$  if and only if  $a^{pn+d} \in L'_0$ .

- Apparently the simplest form of language equations.
- Decision problems for such systems:
  - ▶ Existence of solution:  $\Pi_1^0$ -complete;

# One variable, two equations

- Encode all variables into one by  $\pi : (2^{a^*})^n \rightarrow 2^{a^*}$ .

## Theorem (LEHTINEN, OKHOTIN, 2010)

For every recursive (r.e., co-r.e.) language  $L_0 \subseteq a^*$  there exists a system

$$\begin{cases} XXK & = & XL \\ XM & = & N \end{cases}$$

with a unique (least, greatest, respectively) solution  $X = L'_0$ , such that  $a^n \in L_0$  if and only if  $a^{pn+d} \in L'_0$ .

- Apparently the simplest form of language equations.
- Decision problems for such systems:
  - ▶ Existence of solution:  $\Pi_1^0$ -complete;
  - ▶ Uniqueness of solution:  $\Pi_2^0$ -complete;

# One variable, two equations

- Encode all variables into one by  $\pi : (2^{a^*})^n \rightarrow 2^{a^*}$ .

## Theorem (LEHTINEN, OKHOTIN, 2010)

For every recursive (r.e., co-r.e.) language  $L_0 \subseteq a^*$  there exists a system

$$\begin{cases} XXK & = & XL \\ XM & = & N \end{cases}$$

with a unique (least, greatest, respectively) solution  $X = L'_0$ , such that  $a^n \in L_0$  if and only if  $a^{pn+d} \in L'_0$ .

- Apparently the simplest form of language equations.
- Decision problems for such systems:
  - ▶ Existence of solution:  $\Pi_1^0$ -complete;
  - ▶ Uniqueness of solution:  $\Pi_2^0$ -complete;
  - ▶ Having finitely many solutions:  $\Sigma_3^0$ -complete;

# Any future work?

- Computational completeness in language equations:

# Any future work?

- Computational completeness in language equations:
  - ▶ All or almost all rec/r.e./co-r.e. sets by unique/least/greatest sol.

# Any future work?

- Computational completeness in language equations:
  - ▶ All or almost all rec/r.e./co-r.e. sets by unique/least/greatest sol.
  - ▶ Upper bound: recursive constants, computable operations.

# Any future work?

- Computational completeness in language equations:
  - ▶ All or almost all rec/r.e./co-r.e. sets by unique/least/greatest sol.
  - ▶ Upper bound: recursive constants, computable operations.
  - ▶ Lower bound:  $XXK = XL$ ,  $XM = N$ , all unary.

# Any future work?

- Computational completeness in language equations:
  - ▶ All or almost all rec/r.e./co-r.e. sets by unique/least/greatest sol.
  - ▶ Upper bound: recursive constants, computable operations.
  - ▶ Lower bound:  $XXK = XL$ ,  $XM = N$ , all unary.
  - ▶ No more room for improvement.

# Any future work?

- Computational completeness in language equations:
  - ▶ All or almost all rec/r.e./co-r.e. sets by unique/least/greatest sol.
  - ▶ Upper bound: recursive constants, computable operations.
  - ▶ Lower bound:  $XXK = XL$ ,  $XM = N$ , all unary.
  - ▶ No more room for improvement.
- Any further properties?

# Any future work?

- Computational completeness in language equations:
  - ▶ All or almost all rec/r.e./co-r.e. sets by unique/least/greatest sol.
  - ▶ Upper bound: recursive constants, computable operations.
  - ▶ Lower bound:  $XXK = XL$ ,  $XM = N$ , all unary.
  - ▶ No more room for improvement.
- Any further properties?
  - ▶ “Does a given system have countably many solutions?”

# Any future work?

- Computational completeness in language equations:
  - ▶ All or almost all rec/r.e./co-r.e. sets by unique/least/greatest sol.
  - ▶ Upper bound: recursive constants, computable operations.
  - ▶ Lower bound:  $XXK = XL$ ,  $XM = N$ , all unary.
  - ▶ No more room for improvement.
- Any further properties?
  - ▶ “Does a given system have countably many solutions?”
  - ▶ More to consider.

# Any future work?

- Computational completeness in language equations:
  - ▶ All or almost all rec/r.e./co-r.e. sets by unique/least/greatest sol.
  - ▶ Upper bound: recursive constants, computable operations.
  - ▶ Lower bound:  $XXK = XL$ ,  $XM = N$ , all unary.
  - ▶ No more room for improvement.
- Any further properties?
  - ▶ “Does a given system have countably many solutions?”
  - ▶ More to consider.
- Any more powerful models?

# Any future work?

- Computational completeness in language equations:
  - ▶ All or almost all rec/r.e./co-r.e. sets by unique/least/greatest sol.
  - ▶ Upper bound: recursive constants, computable operations.
  - ▶ Lower bound:  $XXK = XL$ ,  $XM = N$ , all unary.
  - ▶ No more room for improvement.
- Any further properties?
  - ▶ “Does a given system have countably many solutions?”
  - ▶ More to consider.
- Any more powerful models?
  - ▶ Equations over sets of integers (JEŹ, OKHOTIN, 2009–2010): reach up to  $\Sigma_1^1$  sets.

# Any future work?

- Computational completeness in language equations:
  - ▶ All or almost all rec/r.e./co-r.e. sets by unique/least/greatest sol.
  - ▶ Upper bound: recursive constants, computable operations.
  - ▶ Lower bound:  $XXK = XL$ ,  $XM = N$ , all unary.
  - ▶ No more room for improvement.
- Any further properties?
  - ▶ “Does a given system have countably many solutions?”
  - ▶ More to consider.
- Any more powerful models?
  - ▶ Equations over sets of integers (JEŹ, OKHOTIN, 2009–2010): reach up to  $\Sigma_1^1$  sets.
- Any weaker models?

# Any future work?

- Computational completeness in language equations:
  - ▶ All or almost all rec/r.e./co-r.e. sets by unique/least/greatest sol.
  - ▶ Upper bound: recursive constants, computable operations.
  - ▶ Lower bound:  $XXK = XL$ ,  $XM = N$ , all unary.
  - ▶ No more room for improvement.
- Any further properties?
  - ▶ “Does a given system have countably many solutions?”
  - ▶ More to consider.
- Any more powerful models?
  - ▶ Equations over sets of integers (JEŹ, OKHOTIN, 2009–2010): reach up to  $\Sigma_1^1$  sets.
- Any weaker models?
  - ▶ Yes, formal grammars.

# Any future work?

- Computational completeness in language equations:
  - ▶ All or almost all rec/r.e./co-r.e. sets by unique/least/greatest sol.
  - ▶ Upper bound: recursive constants, computable operations.
  - ▶ Lower bound:  $XXK = XL$ ,  $XM = N$ , all unary.
  - ▶ No more room for improvement.
- Any further properties?
  - ▶ “Does a given system have countably many solutions?”
  - ▶ More to consider.
- Any more powerful models?
  - ▶ Equations over sets of integers (JEŹ, OKHOTIN, 2009–2010): reach up to  $\Sigma_1^1$  sets.
- Any weaker models?
  - ▶ Yes, formal grammars.
  - ▶ Problems for conjunctive and Boolean grammars.

# Any future work?

- Computational completeness in language equations:
  - ▶ All or almost all rec/r.e./co-r.e. sets by unique/least/greatest sol.
  - ▶ Upper bound: recursive constants, computable operations.
  - ▶ Lower bound:  $XXK = XL$ ,  $XM = N$ , all unary.
  - ▶ No more room for improvement.
- Any further properties?
  - ▶ “Does a given system have countably many solutions?”
  - ▶ More to consider.
- Any more powerful models?
  - ▶ Equations over sets of integers (JEŹ, OKHOTIN, 2009–2010): reach up to  $\Sigma_1^1$  sets.
- Any weaker models?
  - ▶ Yes, formal grammars.
  - ▶ Problems for conjunctive and Boolean grammars.
  - ▶ Perhaps, more general models.