Proteome variance differences within populations of European whitefish (Coregonus lavaretus) originating from contrasting salinity environments☆
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ABSTRACT

Variation in gene expression is an important component of the phenotypic differences observed in nature. Gene expression variance across biological groups and environmental conditions has been studied extensively and has revealed specific genes and molecular mechanisms of interest. However, little is known regarding the importance of within-population gene expression variation to environmental adaptation. To address this issue, we quantified the proteomes of individuals of European whitefish (Coregonus lavaretus) from populations that have previously been shown to have adapted during early development to freshwater and brackishwater salinity environments. Using MS-based label-free proteomics, we studied 955 proteins in eight hatch-stage fish embryos from each population that had been reared in either freshwater or brackishwater salinity conditions. By comparing the levels of within-population protein expression variance over individuals and per protein between populations, we found that fish embryos from the population less affected by salinity level had also markedly higher levels of expression variance. Gene Ontologies and molecular pathways associated with osmoregulation showed the most significant difference of within-population proteome variance between populations. Several new candidate genes for salinity adaptation were identified, emphasising the added value of combining assessments of within-population gene expression variation with standard gene expression analysis practices for better understanding the mechanisms of environmental adaptation.

Biological significance

We demonstrate the benefits of studying within-population gene expression variance together with more typical methods of gene expression profiling. Proteome variance differences within European whitefish populations originating from different salinity environments allowed us to identify several new candidate genes for salinity adaptation in teleost fish and generate many further hypotheses to be tested.

This article is part of a Special Issue entitled: Proteomics of non-model organisms.

© 2013 Published by Elsevier B.V.
1. Introduction

Gene expression variation has been regarded as both costly noise [1] as well as a source of variability that organisms may exploit [2,3]. While recent studies have investigated ways that expression noise is minimised, tolerated or buffered in biological systems [4–6], high levels of gene expression variation have been suggested to be advantageous to overall population fitness in fluctuating environments [7,8] or under conditions of stress [9]. Such benefits have been suggested to arise from a bet-hedging strategy that uses stochastic gene expression levels in anticipation of environmental fluctuation [8,10]. Several genetic [11–13] and non-genetic factors [4,14] have been recognised to contribute to gene expression variation. Standard expression profiling practice focuses on differences of gene expression levels between groups of biological samples exposed to different treatments or originating from differing environmental conditions [15–17]. The vast majority of such studies compare group means in expression levels, while much less attention has been given to the occurrence and potential significance of within-population gene expression variation. However, large inter-individual differences in gene expression variation within populations have in fact been observed in organisms as diverse as teleost fish [18,19], Drosophila melanogaster [20], and humans [21]. Such variation can drive differences in the physiological performance between individuals [19,22], affect disease susceptibility [23], and therefore may be of functional and biological importance. An open question is whether high within-population gene expression variation can help organisms to adapt in diverse environmental conditions. A first step towards addressing this issue would be to evaluate levels of within-population gene expression variation between populations that show differences in adaptation to a range of environmental conditions.

Here, we assessed the level of within-population protein expression variation in populations of European whitefish that have previously been shown to have divergent proteomic responses to salinity [24]. More specifically, whitefish populations of the Baltic Sea area that spawn in freshwater or brackishwater conditions, subsequently referred to as FW and BW whitefish, were shown to have marked differences in fertilisation success, early-life survival and growth with regard to salinity [24]. Remarkably, BW whitefish embryos developed equally well in salinities ranging from freshwater (0 ppt) to brackishwater conditions (6 to 10 ppt), whereas FW whitefish had much lower survival in higher salt concentrations [24]. Using high-resolution mass spectrometry, we investigated previously the protein expression response at 0 ppt and 10 ppt conditions in both populations. Protein extraction was performed according to an SDS-based protocol. For in-solution digestion, proteins were first digested with LysC and re-digested with trypsin. Peptides were purified on C18-StageTips [29], and fractionated into six fractions (pH 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, and 11) using a SAX-C18 StageTip-based protocol [30]. In nano-LC-coupled mass-spectrometry, each fraction was analysed twice. We used an LTQ Orbitrap classic mass spectrometer (Thermo Electron, Bremen, Germany) equipped with a nanoelectrospray ion source (Proxeon, Odense, Denmark). Peptides were separated with 90 min gradients as follows: pH 3–5 fractions: 8–36% solution B; pH 6 fraction: 8–35% solution B; pH 8 fraction: 5–33% solution B; pH 11 fraction: 2–30% solution B (A: 0.5% acetic acid; B: 0.5% acetic acid/80% acetonitrile). In order to minimise technical variation [31], all samples from different populations and salinity treatments were equally distributed into just two whitefish populations. For this purpose, we also used a recently described method to estimate significant Gene Ontology and pathway deregulations by comparing, for each annotation in each individual, levels of within-population expression variance [28]. We then compared our findings to those based on group means to assess whether analyses of within-population gene expression variation can be a useful complementary approach to standard expression profiling methods in elucidating the molecular mechanisms of ecological adaptation.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample collection, common garden experiment, and effect of salinity in early-life development

Full details about the common garden experiment and the effect of salinity on survival and growth are described in Papakostas et al. [24]. Briefly, sea-spawning adult whitefish (BW whitefish: Coregonus lavaretus widegreni) derived from the Åland Islands in the Baltic Sea (60°18’40.84" N, 20°12’4.66" E). Lake Pääjäne-space-spawning adult whitefish (FW whitefish: C. lavaretus pallasii) were collected from individuals kept in the Laukaa hatchery in central Finland. Eggs and milt of nine females and nine males from each population were used for in vitro artificial fertilisation. The mating design involved three full-factorial mating matrices in which eggs of three females were fertilised by three different males. Fertilisation was carried out in five different salinities, namely 0, 2, 4, 6, and 10 ppt, to resemble the environments of FW (~0 ppt) and BW (~4 to ~8 ppt) populations. Fertilised eggs from each cross were subsequently split into three replicate clutches, then placed and reared at 6 °C on Petri dish well plates until hatching. Hatched fry were anaesthetised by an MS-222 overdose and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C for the proteomic experiment.

2.2. Protein extraction, fractionation, digestion, MS acquisition, and protein quantification

Full details are described in Papakostas et al. [24]. Briefly, eight hatch-stage samples per salinity per population were randomly selected from two of the common garden conditions (0 ppt and 10 ppt) in both populations. Protein extraction was performed according to an SDS-based protocol. For in-solution digestion, proteins were first digested with LysC and re-digested with trypsin. Peptides were purified on C18-StageTips [29], and fractionated into six fractions (pH 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, and 11) using a SAX-C18 StageTip-based protocol [30]. In nano-LC-coupled mass-spectrometry, each fraction was analysed twice. We used an LTQ Orbitrap classic mass spectrometer (Thermo Electron, Bremen, Germany) equipped with a nanoelectrospray ion source (Proxeon, Odense, Denmark). Peptides were separated with 90 min gradients as follows: pH 3–5 fractions: 8–36% solution B; pH 6 fraction: 8–35% solution B; pH 8 fraction: 5–33% solution B; pH 11 fraction: 2–30% solution B (A: 0.5% acetic acid; B: 0.5% acetic acid/80% acetonitrile). In order to minimise technical variation [31], all samples from different populations and salinity treatments were equally distributed into just two...
batches and protein extraction, trypsin digestion, fractionation, and nano-LC-MS/MS were performed at the same time for all the samples of each batch. Samples inserted the mass spectrometer in the order of FW — 0 ppt, FW — 10 ppt, BW — 0 ppt, BW — 10 ppt on a replicate-by-replicate basis as recommended for label-free experiments [32]. Experimental variation, e.g. shifts in the chromatographic gradients, would therefore negate across populations and salinity treatments allowing comparisons of protein expression variation [32,33].

Proteins were identified and quantified using the MaxQuant v.1.1.1.36 software [34]. The minimum peptide length was set to six amino acids and the maximum false discovery rate (FDR) to 1% for both peptides and proteins. The Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) protein sequences submitted to UniProt (www.uniprot.org, release 2010_11, 9497 entries) were used as a search database. Salmonid UniProt sequences are an efficient resource for this purpose in salmonid species [35]. A list of common contaminants provided with MaxQuant v.1.1.1.36 was included in the search. Protein quantification was based on both unique and ‘razor’ peptides. ‘Razor’ peptides are the shared peptides that are most parsimoniously associated with the group that has the highest number of identified peptides [34,36]. The use of both unique and ‘razor’ peptides for protein quantification has been suggested to be a good compromise between unequivocal peptide assignment and more accurate quantification [34,37]. For each fraction, peptides were matched across different LC-MS/MS runs based on mass and retention time (‘match between runs’ option in MaxQuant) using the default time window of 2 min. Manual inspection of the chromatographic shifts between samples verified the suitability of this option. To increase the quantification accuracy, co-fragmented peptides were distinguished by enabling the second peptide option in MaxQuant [38].

2.3. Statistical analyses

The label-free quantification (LFQ) algorithm of MaxQuant performs normalisation across samples after retention time alignment, matching between runs and assembling protein identifications. However, normalisation of the reported LFQ values across biological replicates can be employed to minimise technical variation in label-free experiments (J. Cox, pers. com.). Therefore, the LFQ intensities in each whitefish population were log2-tranformed, loess-normalised using the median values across biological replicates as a reference set. To estimate the proteome variance within each whitefish population per salinity condition we used eight data points for calculating the variance over all quantified proteins in each population per salinity condition we used eight data points for calculating the variance over all quantified proteins for each individual and then group variances are compared using an F test corrected for multiple comparisons with the FDR method [28]. We focused on comparisons between FW and BW whitefish. To ensure an equal and maximum amount of valid information, proteins with missing expression values were stripped from the dataset. Homo sapiens orthologs, to use in the PathVar software, were identified by performing blastp searches against the Human reference proteome submitted in the UniProt database (release 2013_10, www.uniprot.org). To ensure correct ortholog identification we applied a rather conservative E value threshold for blastp (≤6E-19) [40]. Proteins assigned to the same human ortholog were not included in the analysis as a precaution against expression variation from alternative isoform regulation [41]. GO and pathway annotations were as of 2012_12. We used pathway information as submitted in the Reactome database (www.reactome.org), a free online database of manually curated, peer-reviewed molecular pathways [42]. To summarise the functional information of significant GO terms we used the program REVIGO [43]. SimRel was used to calculate semantic similarity (default allowed similarity = 0.7), and UniProt as database for GO term sizes. To explore the matrix of semantic similarities we used multidimensional scaling. We used this approach to summarise also the functional information contained in the molecular pathways of interest. For each quantified protein in the pathway we retrieved the GO annotations using the human orthologs and then summarised this information with REVIGO. Allowed similarity was set to a lower level in this case, 0.5, due to the larger amount of GO annotations. Results from the F test for each protein used to assign significance with regard to variance next to each GO term. GO annotations for the human orthologs obtained from the official site of the GO consortium (release 22 November 2013, www.geneontology.org).

3. Results

3.1. Protein expression variation in FW and BW whitefish

Overall, 955 proteins were used to calculate the proteome variance in FW and BW whitefish. Protein quantification was based on an average of 5.33 unique and 0.49 ‘razor’ peptides (Supplementary Table 1). BW whitefish showed significantly higher proteome variance than FW whitefish in both salinity conditions (Fig. 1; Wilcoxon test: 0 ppt: P = 0.0011, 10 ppt: P = 0.0002). On a protein-by-protein basis, 176 proteins showed significantly different levels of expression variance between populations (F test: P < 0.05, q-value = 0.2038; Supplementary Table 1). Of these proteins, 154 showed higher expression variance in BW whitefish and just 22 had higher expression variance in FW whitefish (Fig. 2; Supplementary Table 1).

There were 21 GO terms associated with proteins that had significantly different levels of within-population expression variance between FW and BW whitefish (P ≤ 0.016, FDR < 0.05; Supplementary Table 2). Ion transport, metal ion binding, and mitochondrion were the GO terms for Biological Process, Molecular Function, and Cellular Component GO categories, respectively with the highest significance (Table 1). Summarised for semantic similarity, ion transport showed further minimum dispensability (Supplementary Table 2) and characterised of one of the groups of semantically similar GO terms for Biological Process (Fig. 3).
We found 31 pathways in the Reactome database that had significantly different levels of within-population expression variance between FW and BW whitefish (Supplementary Table 3). The three most significant pathways were G-protein coupled receptor downstream signaling (comprising 138 proteins), Metabolism (142 proteins), and Signaling by G-protein coupled receptors (144 proteins) (Table 1). These pathways highly overlapped in terms of the proteins they contained (135 proteins in common, Supplementary Table 4) and expression variance was significantly higher in BW whitefish (not shown). GO summation for the proteins in the G-protein coupled receptor downstream signaling pathway returned positive regulation of transferase activity, cellular zinc ion homeostasis, and protein ubiquitination as the most significant GO terms (Fig. 4). These GO terms summarised several others including MAPK cascade and regulation of apoptotic process or release of sequestered calcium ion into cytosol and regulation of membrane potential (Supplementary Table 5).

Table 1 – The three most significant GO terms per GO category and molecular pathways with different expression variance between FW and BW whitefish.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annotation</th>
<th>Proteins in the dataset</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>FDR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GO biological process</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ion transport (GO: 0006811)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.30E-04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA splicing (GO: 0008380)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>4.90E-04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteolysis (GO: 0006508)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8.10E-04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO molecular function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal ion binding (GO: 0046872)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>4.20E-05</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein binding (GO: 0005515)</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>6.40E-05</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isomerase activity (GO: 0016853)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2.00E-04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO cellular component</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitochondrion (GO: 0005739)</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>1.00E-05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endoplasmic reticulum (GO: 0005783)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.50E-05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytoplasm (GO: 0005737)</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>4.00E-04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular pathway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPCR downstream signaling</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>1.00E-04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolism</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>1.20E-04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signaling by GPCR</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>1.40E-04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We found 31 pathways in the Reactome database that had significantly different levels of within-population expression variance between FW and BW whitefish (Supplementary Table 3). The three most significant pathways were G-protein coupled receptor downstream signaling (comprising 138 proteins), Metabolism (142 proteins), and Signaling by G-protein coupled receptors (144 proteins) (Table 1). These pathways highly overlapped in terms of the proteins they contained (135 proteins in common, Supplementary Table 4) and expression variance was significantly higher in BW whitefish (not shown). GO summation for the proteins in the G-protein coupled receptor downstream signaling pathway returned positive regulation of transferase activity, cellular zinc ion homeostasis, and protein ubiquitination as the most significant GO terms (Fig. 4). These GO terms summarised several others including MAPK cascade and regulation of apoptotic process or release of sequestered calcium ion into cytosol and regulation of membrane potential (Supplementary Table 5).

**Fig. 1** – Box plots of the calculated variance of normalised expression levels across 955 proteins in eight hatch-stage larvae from the FW and BW whitefish population grown at 0 ppt and 10 ppt salinities. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001.

**Fig. 2** – Scatter plot of normalised protein expression variance in FW and BW whitefish for 955 proteins. Colours indicate significantly higher variance in FW (green, 22 proteins) and BW (red, 154 proteins) whitefish (P < 0.05).

**Fig. 3** – Scatter plot of the GO terms for biological process summarised by employing multidimensional scaling to the matrix of semantic similarities. Colour is proportional to the P-value of difference in protein expression variance between FW and BW whitefish. Size is proportional to the term frequency in the GO annotation database, also indicating general terms. Names present the most significant terms in each group of semantically similar terms.
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4. Discussion

Based on 955 proteins, we found that BW whitefish had significantly higher levels of proteome variance compared to FW whitefish, regardless of salinity condition (Fig. 1). This result is confirmed by the analysis performed on individual proteins, as 154 proteins showed higher expression variance in BW whitefish vs. 22 proteins with higher variance in FW whitefish (Fig. 2). Functional annotations associated with osmoregulation showed the highest difference of within-population proteome variance between the two populations and higher variance in BW whitefish. Ion transport, the most significant and least dispensable GO term for biological process (Fig. 3; Table 1; Supplementary Table 2), and GPCR downstream signaling, the most significant molecular pathway (Table 1), are two representative cases. Regulation of ion flux is quintessential for fish during salinity adaptation [44] as GPCR proteins sense environmental salinity and activate downstream signaling [25,26].

What additional insights were revealed about salinity adaptation in whitefish populations from the assessment of within-population proteome variance? First, we identified new candidate genes for salinity adaptation. The most striking case involved key proteins for ion regulation which in fish are thought to be the Na+-K+-ATPase (NKA), the Na+/K+/2Cl- co-transporter (NKCC), and the V-H+-ATPase ion pumps [44]. Previously, we found that an NKA protein was significantly overexpressed in higher salinity in BW whitefish [24]. Remarkably, V-type proton ATPase subunits showed significant differences in protein expression variance between salinity conditions in BW whitefish (Atlantic salmon UniProt AC: B5X1Y1, B5X274, Supplementary Table 1). Together with NKCC (Atlantic salmon UniProt AC: A9NJG3), V-type proton ATPase subunits were included in the GO term ion transport with the most significant difference in proteome variance between FW and BW populations (Supplementary Tables 1, and 4). In other words, the study of within-population proteome variance captured the remaining two categories of key proteins for ion regulation. Several other proteins, many of which are novel candidates for salinity adaptation in fish, had significant differences in protein expression variance (Supplementary Table 1). A particular example involves the GrpE protein (Atlantic salmon UniProt AC: B9EM46), a mitochondrial co-chaperone that modulates the function of the heat shock 70 kDa proteins, HSP70 [45]. GrpE protein concentration is essential for the cellular machinery capable of repairing stress-induced protein damage [45]. This protein was among those with the highest significance in different levels of protein expression variance between FW and BW whitefish (P = 1.13E−05, q-value = 0.0012).

Second, by comparing levels of within-population pathway expression variance we confirmed the importance of GPCR downstream signaling in salinity adaptation for the whitefish populations. Previously, interaction network analysis on the proteins with significantly different levels of protein expression between salinities provided only indirect evidence on the activity of GPCR pathway. Specifically, we recognised a central position in the network for a highly conserved pleiotropic cytokine, tumour necrosis factor, suggested to play a critical role in salinity adaptation and regulated by the activity of GPCR proteins that act as salinity sensors in fish [24]. In this study, GPCR downstream signaling showed the most significant difference in pathway expression variance between whitefish populations (Table 1). Studying further the proteins in this pathway in terms of their levels of difference in expression variance, we found more evidence suggesting that GPCR downstream signaling, and in this regard differences in expression variance, are of relevance for salinity adaptation in the whitefish populations. Cellular zinc ion homeostasis and positive regulation of transferase activity (Fig. 4) summarised stress-activated MAPK cascade, apoptotic process, regulation of membrane potential, and release of sequestered calcium ion into cytosol (Supplementary Table 5) with known roles during osmoregulation. For example, salinity sensors in fish are calcium receptors [25,26] and these receptors have evolutionary conserved functional domains for MAPK activation [27]. Apoptosis is a fundamental process in fish during osmoregulation [46,47].

Although the assessment of just two populations precludes drawing firm conclusions about the potential origins of the clear difference in within population expression variation, several hypotheses can be proposed for testing in future research. Firstly, variable environments may select for higher gene expression variance in organisms [9], particularly in molecular mechanisms that sense environmental fluctuations. In yeast for example, sequence variants in environmental sensor genes have been identified as loci that can dramatically increase cell-to-cell gene expression variability of downstream pathways without necessarily changing mean expression [48,49]. Following these observations, our data are in line with the notion that BW whitefish may have adapted to the fluctuating salinity conditions in the Baltic Sea compared to FW whitefish.

Fig. 4 – Scatter plot of the GO terms for biological process for the genes of the GPCR downstream signaling pathway with significant difference in protein expression variance between FW and BW whitefish, summarised by multidimensional scaling on the semantic similarity matrix. Colour is proportional to the P value of difference in protein expression variance between FW and BW whitefish. Size is proportional to the term frequency in the GO annotation database. Names indicate the most significant terms in each part of two-dimensional semantic space.
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that inhabit a more stable environment in terms of salinity. In any case, a greater number of freshwater and marine populations would need to be tested before any conclusions can be drawn. It would also be interesting to include anadromous whitefish populations that experience both brackish- and freshwater environments during different phases of their life history, in such a study. Secondly, the FW whitefish studied here originate from a hatchery-reared stock, which could also potentially have had an effect on protein expression variance. There are in fact several factors related to hatchery rearing that may be expected to have contrasting effects on expression variance. On the one hand, lower effective population sizes and drift in farmed whitefish could reduce levels of gene expression variance. On the other, fish of hatchery origin may accumulate slightly deleterious mutations because of relaxed purifying selection in the benign farmed environment [50] which would increase gene expression variance. The latter, however, is not supported by our findings. Thirdly, natural differences in the level of genetic diversity could also potentially affect within-population expression variation [51]. Microsatellite data from nine markers (data from [52]) show slightly higher levels of mean genetic variation in BW than in FW whitefish i.e. towards the same direction as proteome variance, albeit non-significant (Allelic richness = 8.411 and 7.525, respectively, \( P = 0.09 \)) thus suggesting further research to assess this possibility in more detail may be warranted.

We assessed gene expression variance directly at the protein level as that it is ultimately the most relevant functional measurement [53,54]. Proteins are the typical effectors of biological function and variance in protein levels has been shown repeatedly that cannot fully explained by mRNA abundance [53,55,56]. However, label-free methods are known to have low accuracy in protein quantification and are prone to the introduction of experimental variation that may be a possible confounding factor [32,33]. Regardless, it is very unlikely that our observations were the result of any systematic error in quantification. Mixing between samples as recommended for label-free experiments and good depth in biological replication coupled with technical replication ensured that any technical variation would have the same impact on each population and condition.

In conclusion, by quantifying hundreds of proteins in a well-described system of salmonid fish with differences in early development to salinity environments, we demonstrate that within-population gene expression variance can provide useful information as a complementary approach to more standard methods of gene expression profiling. Our analysis returned several interesting candidates, many of which with a previously undescribed role, for salinity adaptation in fish. Pathway expression variance seems a promising approach as pathway perturbations may be of potential evolutionary and ecological relevance.
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