

This is the author's version of the paper.

The final publication has appeared in Acta Arith. 137 (2009), 133–137.

A note on primes of the form $p = aq^2 + 1$

Kaisa Matomäki* (Egham)

Abstract

We prove that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there are infinitely many primes of the form $p = aq^2 + 1$, where $a \leq p^{1/2+\epsilon}$ and q is a prime.

1 Introduction

It is a long-standing conjecture that there are infinitely many primes of the form $n^2 + 1$. Several approximations to this problem have been made. Baier and Zhao [1, Theorem 5'] showed that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there are infinitely many primes of the form $p = aq^2 + 1$, where $a \leq p^{5/9+\epsilon}$. We improve this result as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let $\epsilon > 0$. There are infinitely many primes of the form $p = aq^2 + 1$, where $a \leq p^{1/2+\epsilon}$ and q is a prime.*

Baier and Zhao obtained their result as a corollary to their Bombieri-Vinogradov type theorem for sparse sets of moduli. Our improvement comes from using the sieve method of Harman [3, 4, 5].

We notice that in the interval $[1, X]$ there are $O(X^{3/4+\epsilon/2})$ numbers of the form $aq^2 + 1$ with $a \leq X^{1/2+\epsilon}$, so the set we are considering is quite sparse.

Throughout the paper the symbol p is reserved for a prime variable and \mathbb{P} is the set of primes. Theorem 1 is an immediate consequence of the following stronger result.

Theorem 2. *Let $\epsilon > 0$, $X \geq 1$ and $Q \in [X^{3\epsilon}, X^{1/2-\epsilon}]$. Then for all but $O(Q^{1/2}X^{-\epsilon/4})$ prime squares $q^2 \sim Q$, we have for any $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, q^2 - 1\}$, $q \nmid k$,*

$$\{aq^2 + k \mid a \sim X/Q\} \cap \mathbb{P} \gg \frac{X}{\phi(q^2) \log X}.$$

*Current address: Department of Mathematics, 20014 University of Turku, Finland MSC(2000): 11N13, 11N36.

Keywords: primes in quadratic progressions, sieve methods

The exponent $1/2$ is the limit of the current method as it is in the Bombieri-Vinogradov prime number theorem. In both cases the limit arises from a large sieve result, more precisely from the term corresponding to the number of points in outer summation in the large sieve ($Q^{3/2}$ in Lemma 3 below leading to a critical term $(XQ)^{1/2}$ in the end of the proof of Theorem 2).

2 The method

First we introduce some standard notation. Let \mathcal{E} be a finite subset of \mathbb{N} . Then we write $|\mathcal{E}|$ for the cardinality of \mathcal{E} ,

$$\mathcal{E}_d = \{m \mid dm \in \mathcal{E}\}$$

and

$$S(\mathcal{E}, z) = |\{m \in \mathcal{E} \mid (m, P(z)) = 1\}|,$$

where

$$P(z) = \prod_{p < z} p.$$

The elementary *Buchstab's identity* states that

$$S(\mathcal{E}, z) = S(\mathcal{E}, w) - \sum_{w \leq p < z} S(\mathcal{E}_p, p),$$

where $z > w \geq 2$.

We write, for $q^2 \sim Q$, $AQ = X$,

$$\mathcal{A}(q, k) = \{aq^2 + k \mid a \sim A\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}(q) = \{n \mid n \in [Aq^2 + k, 2Aq^2 + k], (n, q^2) = 1\}.$$

Here $\mathcal{A}(q, k)$ is the set to be sieved and $\mathcal{A}(q)$ is the comparison set. We notice that the number of primes in $\mathcal{A}(q, k)$ is $S(\mathcal{A}(q, k), 3X^{1/2})$. We write $\theta = 3/8 + 2\epsilon$ and $z = X^{1-2\theta}$. Then we use Buchstab's identity to decompose

$$\begin{aligned} & S(\mathcal{A}(q, k), 3X^{1/2}) \\ &= S(\mathcal{A}(q, k), z) - \sum_{z < p < X^\theta} S(\mathcal{A}(q, k)_p, z) - \sum_{X^\theta \leq p < 3X^{1/2}} S(\mathcal{A}(q, k)_p, p) \\ & \quad + \sum_{z < p_2 < p_1 < X^\theta} S(\mathcal{A}(q, k)_{p_1 p_2}, p_2) \\ &= S_1(q, k) - S_2(q, k) - S_3(q, k) + S_4(q, k) \\ &\geq S_1(q, k) - S_2(q, k) - S_3(q, k). \end{aligned}$$

We write $S_i(q)$ for the sum $S_i(q, k)$ with $\mathcal{A}(q, k)$ replaced by $\mathcal{A}(q)$. We will show in the next section that

$$\sum_{\substack{q \in \mathbb{P} \\ q^2 \sim Q}} \max_{\substack{1 \leq k < q^2 \\ q \nmid k}} \left| S_i(q, k) - \frac{S_i(q)}{\phi(q^2)} \right| \ll \frac{X^{1-\epsilon/3}}{Q^{1/2}} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3. \quad (1)$$

As in [5, Section 3.5], this implies that we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(\mathcal{A}(q, k), 3X^{1/2}) &\geq \frac{1}{\phi(q^2)} (S(\mathcal{A}(q), 3X^{1/2}) - S_4(q))(1 + o(1)) \\ &= \frac{X(1 + o(1))}{\log X \phi(q^2)} \left(1 - \int_{1/4}^{\theta} \int_{1/4}^{\min\{\alpha_1, \frac{1-\alpha_1}{2}\}} \frac{d\alpha_2 d\alpha_1}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 (1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2)} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{X(1 + o(1))}{\log X \phi(q^2)} \left(1 - \frac{5}{768} \cdot 4^2 \cdot \frac{16}{5} \right) = \frac{2X(1 + o(1))}{3 \log X \phi(q^2)} \end{aligned}$$

for almost all prime squares $q^2 \sim Q$ and all appropriate k . This implies Theorem 2.

3 Proof of the bound (1)

Proving (1) reduces to showing that for type I sums

$$\sum_{\substack{q \in \mathbb{P} \\ q^2 \sim Q}} \max_{\substack{1 \leq k < q^2 \\ q \nmid k}} \left| \sum_{\substack{mn \in \mathcal{A}(q, k) \\ m \sim M}} a_m - \frac{1}{\phi(q^2)} \sum_{\substack{mn \in \mathcal{A}(q) \\ m \sim M}} a_m \right| \ll \frac{X^{1-\epsilon/2}}{Q^{1/2}}, \quad (2)$$

and for type II sums

$$\sum_{\substack{q \in \mathbb{P} \\ q^2 \sim Q}} \max_{\substack{1 \leq k < q^2 \\ q \nmid k}} \left| \sum_{\substack{mn \in \mathcal{A}(q, k) \\ m \sim M}} a_m b_n - \frac{1}{\phi(q^2)} \sum_{\substack{mn \in \mathcal{A}(q) \\ m \sim M}} a_m b_n \right| \ll \frac{X^{1-\epsilon/2}}{Q^{1/2}}, \quad (3)$$

where $|a_m|, |b_m| \leq \tau(m)$. Indeed, by [4, Lemma 2], and handling cross-conditions using the Perron formula as in the proof of that lemma, we need to show only that (2) holds for any $M \leq X^\theta$ and that (3) holds for any $M \in [X^\theta, X^{1-\theta}]$.

We get type I information by the following elementary argument. Since

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}(q, k)_d| &= |\{a \sim A \mid aq^2 \equiv -k \pmod{d}\}| = \begin{cases} \frac{A}{d} + O(1) & \text{if } (d, q^2) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \\ &= \frac{1}{\phi(q^2)} |\mathcal{A}(q)_d| + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\sum_{\substack{mn \in \mathcal{A}(q, k) \\ m \sim M}} a_m = \frac{1}{\phi(q^2)} \sum_{\substack{mn \in \mathcal{A}(q) \\ m \sim M}} a_m + O(M(\log X)^C),$$

which gives a sufficient bound for $M \leq X^{1-\epsilon}Q^{-1}$, and hence, in particular, for $M \leq X^\theta$.

To get type II information we use the following large sieve result for square moduli.

Lemma 3. *Let $\eta > 0$. Then*

$$\sum_{q^2 \sim Q} \sum_{a=1}^{q^2} \left| \sum_{m \sim M} a_m e\left(\frac{am}{q^2}\right) \right|^2 \ll (QM)^\eta (Q^{3/2} + MQ^{1/4}) \sum_{m \sim M} |a_m|^2. \quad (4)$$

Proof. This follows from [2, Theorem 1]. \square

Remark 4. Since the outer summation in (4) goes over approximately $Q^{3/2}$ points a/q^2 , the expected form of the large sieve would be

$$\sum_{q^2 \sim Q} \sum_{a=1}^{q^2} \left| \sum_{m \sim M} a_m e\left(\frac{am}{q^2}\right) \right|^2 \ll (Q^{3/2} + M) \sum_{m \sim M} |a_m|^2.$$

A crucial point here is that Lemma 3 implies this apart from $(QM)^\eta$ -factor for $M \ll Q^{5/4}$. We have in our type II sums $\max\{M, X/M\} \ll Q^{5/4}$ in the most difficult case $Q = X^{1/2-\epsilon}$.

With standard techniques Lemma 3 implies

Lemma 5. *Let $\eta > 0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{q^2 \sim Q} \frac{q^2}{\phi(q^2)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q^2}}^* \max_{x \leq X} \left| \sum_{\substack{mn \leq x \\ M \sim M}} a_m b_n \chi(mn) \right| \\ & \ll (QX)^\eta (Q^{3/2} + MQ^{1/4})^{1/2} \left(Q^{3/2} + \frac{X}{M} Q^{1/4} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{m \sim M} |a_m|^2 \sum_{n \leq X/M} |b_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this and the classical large sieve, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{q \in \mathbb{P} \\ q^2 \sim Q}} \max_{\substack{1 \leq k < q^2 \\ q \nmid k}} \left| \sum_{\substack{mn \in \mathcal{A}(q, k) \\ m \sim M}} a_m b_n - \frac{1}{\phi(q^2)} \sum_{\substack{mn \in \mathcal{A}(q) \\ m \sim M}} a_m b_n \right| \\ & \ll \sum_{\substack{q \in \mathbb{P} \\ q^2 \sim Q}} \frac{1}{\phi(q^2)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q^2}}^* \left| \sum_{\substack{mn \in \mathcal{A}(q) \\ m \sim M}} a_m b_n \chi(mn) \right| \\ & \quad + \sum_{\substack{q \in \mathbb{P} \\ q^2 \sim Q}} \frac{1}{\phi(q^2)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{q}}^* \left| \sum_{\substack{mn \in \mathcal{A}(q) \\ m \sim M}} a_m b_n \chi(mn) \right| \\ & \ll \left((XQ)^{1/2} + \left(M + \frac{X}{M} \right)^{1/2} \frac{X^{1/2}}{Q^{1/8}} + \frac{X}{Q^{3/4}} \right) X^{\epsilon/4} \ll \frac{X^{1-\epsilon/2}}{Q^{1/2}} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

for $M \in [X^\theta, X^{1-\theta}]$ and $Q \in [X^{3\epsilon}, X^{1/2-\epsilon}]$, which completes the proof of (1). \square

Acknowledgments

The author thanks Glyn Harman for his helpful comments and suggestions. The author was supported by EPSRC grant GR/T20236/01.

References

- [1] S. Baier and L. Zhao, *Bombieri-Vinogradov type theorem for sparse sets of moduli*, Acta Arith. 125 (2006), 187–201.
- [2] S. Baier and L. Zhao, *An improvement for the large sieve for square moduli*, J. Number Theory 128 (2008), 187–201.
- [3] G. Harman, *On the distribution of αp modulo one I*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 27 (1983), 9–18.
- [4] G. Harman, *On the distribution of αp modulo one II*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 72 (1996), 241–260.
- [5] G. Harman, *Prime-detecting Sieves*, London Mathematical Society Monographs (New Series) 33, Princeton University Press, 2007.

Department of Mathematics
Royal Holloway, University of London
Egham
Surrey TW20 0EX
United Kingdom
Email: ksmato@utu.fi