

## Combinatorial Structures 2019

## Problem set 3 Feb 7

**Exercise 3.1.** By definition an undirected graph  $g$  is a **cograph (complement reducible graph)** if it does not have any induced subgraph that is a path  $P_4$  on four vertices.

Let  $g$  be an undirected graph. The following conditions are equivalent for  $g$  to be a cograph:

- (1) Every non-singleton induced subgraph has a clan of cardinality two.
- (2) The graph  $g$  has only complete special quotients  $g[P]/\mathcal{P}_{\max}(g[P])$  for  $P \in \mathcal{P}(g)$ .

**Solution.** If  $g$  is primitive with  $|D_g| \geq 4$ , then it has a subgraph  $P_4$ , since there are no primitive undirected graphs of three vertices, and  $P_4$  is the only primitive undirected graph of four vertices.

(1) Assume  $g$  is a cograph. Then it is non-primitive. Consider a smallest nontrivial clan  $X$  of  $g$ , and suppose  $|X| > 2$ . Then  $g[X]$  contains  $P_4$ ; a contradiction.

On the other hand, if  $g$  is not a cograph, it has  $P_4$ , and that is primitive.

(2) For undirected graphs the special quotients are either complete or primitive.

Each quotient is isomorphic to a subgraph, and the subgraphs of cographs are cographs. The special quotients  $g[P]/\mathcal{P}_{\max}(g[P])$  miss  $P_4$ , i.e.,  $g[P]/\mathcal{P}_{\max}(g[P])$  is not primitive. Then they are complete.

For the converse, suppose  $g[P]/\mathcal{P}_{\max}(g[P])$  is always complete for all prime clans  $P$ . If  $g$  has a  $P_4$ , it must be inside some  $P \in \mathcal{P}(g)$ . Let  $P$  be minimal with this property. Then the  $P_4$  is in a maximal prime clan  $Q \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}(g[P])$ ; a contradiction to minimality.

**Exercise 3.2.** Let  $\Delta$  be a group.

- (a) Assume that the order  $|\Delta|$  is even. Then, by Cauchy's Theorem,  $\Delta$  has an element  $a$  of order two:  $a^2 = \varepsilon$ . Show that the mapping  $\delta_a(x) = ax^{-1}a$  ( $x \in \Delta$ ) is an involution.
- (b) The group  $\Delta$  is abelian if and only if the identity function  $\iota_\Delta: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  is an involution.

**Solution.** (a) Calculation.

(b) True, since involutions are anti-homomorphisms.

**Exercise 3.3.** Let  $\Delta^\delta$  be a group with an involution  $\delta$ .

- (a) Show that either there exists a fixed point  $a^\delta = a$  with  $a \neq \varepsilon$ , or  $\Delta$  is of odd order and  $\delta$  is the inversion of  $\Delta$ .
- (b) Show that either there exists an element  $a \neq \varepsilon$  such that  $a^\delta = a^{-1}$ , or  $\Delta$  is an abelian group of odd order and  $\delta$  is the identity function.

**Solution.** (a) We have  $(aa^\delta)^\delta = aa^\delta$ , and hence  $aa^\delta$  is a fixed point. Suppose  $aa^\delta = \varepsilon$  for all  $a$ . Then  $a^\delta = a^{-1}$  for all  $a$ . Hence  $\delta$  is the inversion of  $\Delta$ .

If  $|\Delta|$  is even, it contains an element  $a$  of order two,  $a = a^{-1}$  by Cauchy's theorem. In this case, we have a fixed point  $a = a^\delta$ .

(b) First  $aa^\delta = bb^\delta$  implies

$$(a^{-1}b)^\delta = b^\delta(a^{-1})^\delta = b^{-1}aa^\delta(a^\delta)^{-1} = b^{-1}a = (a^{-1}b)^{-1},$$

and, in this case, there exists an element  $c = a^{-1}b$  such that  $c^\delta = c^{-1}$ .

Suppose then that  $aa^\delta \neq bb^\delta$  for all  $a \neq b$ . Let  $\alpha: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$  be defined by  $\alpha(a) = aa^\delta$ . It is a bijection, and hence  $\Delta = \{aa^\delta \mid a \in \Delta\}$ . Thus for each  $b \in \Delta$  there exists an  $a$  such that  $b = aa^\delta$ . So for all  $b$ ,  $b^\delta = b$ , and  $\delta$  is the identity function. In this case,  $\Delta$  is abelian. If  $a^\delta \neq a^{-1}$  for all  $a \neq \varepsilon$ , then  $|\Delta|$  is odd, since  $\varepsilon^\delta = \varepsilon$  and the other elements come in pairs  $(a^\delta, a^{-1})$ , where  $a^\delta \neq a^{-1}$ .

**Exercise 3.4.** Show that the centre  $Z(\Delta) = \{a \mid ax = xa \text{ for all } x \in \Delta\}$  of a group  $\Delta$  is closed under every involution of  $\Delta$ : if  $a \in Z(\Delta)$  and  $\delta$  is an involution, then also  $a^\delta \in Z(\Delta)$ .

**Solution.** Let  $a \in Z(\Delta)$ , and let  $\delta$  be an involution. Then

$$a^\delta x = (x^\delta a)^\delta \stackrel{Z}{=} (ax^\delta)^\delta = xa^\delta.$$

**Exercise 3.5.** (Cournier, Ille 1998) Let  $g: E_2(D) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  be a primitive undirected graph with  $|D| \geq 4$ , and let  $x \in D$  be a vertex that is not contained in any induced path  $P_4$ .

(a) Show that  $g[N_i(x)]$  is complete of colour  $i$  where  $N_i(x) = \{y \mid g(x, y) = i\}$  for  $i = 0, 1$ .

(b) Show that  $x$  is the only vertex with the property that it belongs to no induced  $P_4$ .

**Solution.** (a) Assume there exists a connected component  $X$  of colour 1 in  $g[N_0(x)]$  with  $|X| \geq 2$ . By primitivity there exists a  $y \in N_1(x)$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  such that  $g(y, x_1) = 1$  and  $g(y, x_2) = 0$ . By looking at the connecting path between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , we can assume without restriction that  $g(x_1, x_2) = 1$ . But now  $\{x, y, x_1, x_2\}$  forms a  $P_4$ ; a contradiction.

Hence  $g[N_0(x)]$  is complete of colour 0. While considering the complement graph, we deduce that the connected components of  $g[N_1(x)]$  of colour 0 are trivial, i.e.,  $g[N_1(x)]$  is complete of colour 1.

(b) Assume that both  $x$  and  $y$  elude all  $P_4$ 's. By symmetry we can suppose that  $g(x, y) = 1$ . Since  $g[N_1(x)]$  is complete for the colour 1 and  $y \in N_1(x)$ ,  $N_1(x) \subseteq N_1(y)$  (with  $x$  and  $y$  included there). By symmetry, also the other way round, and so  $N_1(x) = N_1(y)$ , which means that  $\{x, y\}$  is a clan (twin); a contradiction.