## **Combinatorial Structures 2019**

## Problem set 5 Feb 19

**Exercise 5.1.** Let  $g: E_2(D) \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  and let  $\alpha: D \to D$  be a permutation. Show that  $\alpha([g]) = [\alpha(g)]$ . In particular, if  $\alpha(h) \in [g]$  for some  $h \in [g]$ , then  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of [g].

**Solution.** By Lemma 8.4, we have  $\alpha(g^{\sigma}) = \alpha(g)^{\sigma \alpha^{-1}} \in [\alpha(g)]$  for each  $\sigma$ , and so  $\alpha([g]) \subseteq [\alpha(g)]$ . Now if  $h \in [\alpha(g)]$ , say  $h = \alpha(g)^{\tau}$ , then, again by Lemma 8.4,  $h = \alpha(g^{\tau \alpha}) \in \alpha([g])$ , and the claim follows.

Let  $\Delta$  be a set of colours containing the special *symmetric* **zero label** 0. We do not assume that 0 occurs in a  $\Delta$ -graph *g*, and so there is no essential restriction to the *g*'s. Denote

$$E_g = \{e \mid g(e) \neq 0\}.$$

For a fixed edge  $e \in E_g$ , let g-e be obtained by recolouring the edges e and  $e^{-1}$  by 0:

$$(g-e)(e') = \begin{cases} g(e') \text{ if } e' \notin \{e, e^{-1}\}, \\ 0 \quad \text{if } e' = e \text{ or } e' = e^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

So  $E_{g-e} = E_g \setminus \{e, e^{-1}\}$ . A truly primitive *g* is said to be **unstable** if g-e is not primitive for all  $e \in E_g$ .

**Exercise 5.2.** Characterize all *n*-vertex unstable  $\Delta$ -graphs for n = 3 and 4.

Solution. Systematic search.

**Exercise 5.3.** Let a  $\Delta$ -graph g be **triangle-free**, i.e., every 3-subset  $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  has a zero edge  $g(x_i, x_j) = 0$  for some  $i \neq j$ . Let

$$N_{\sigma}(x) = \{ y \mid (x, y) \in E_{\sigma} \}.$$

Show that

(i) for each vertex x, either  $N_g(x) = \emptyset$  or  $g[N_g(x)]$  is discrete (all zero edges);

(ii) each g[X], with  $X \in \mathcal{C}(g)$ , is discrete, or X is a union of connected components of g.

**Solution.** For (i), suppose that  $N_g(x) \neq \emptyset$ . If  $y, z \in N_g(x)$ , then (x, y) and (x, z) are non-zero edges, and thus g(y, z) = 0, since g is triangle-free.

For (ii), assume that *X* is a proper clan of *g*, which is not a union of connected components. This means that  $N_g(X) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $z \in N_g(X)$ . Since  $X \in \mathcal{C}(g)$ , we have  $X \subseteq N_g(z)$ . By (i),  $g[N_g(z)]$  is discrete and thus g[X], as a subgraph of  $g[N_g(x)]$ , is also discrete.

**Exercise 5.4.** Assume that g is a triangle-free  $\Delta$ -graph such that the associated undirected graph  $(D, E_g)$  is connected. Show that the maximal proper clans of g are disjoint. In particular, the quotient  $g/\mathscr{P}_{\max}(g)$  is primitive.

**Solution.** Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be two maximal proper clans. If they intersect, then  $X_1 \cup X_2 \in \mathscr{C}(g)$ , and hence  $X_1 \cup X_2 = D$ . Let  $y \in X_1 \cap X_2 \in \mathscr{C}(g)$ . By connectivity, there exists  $x \in X_1 \setminus X_2$  (or symmetrically  $x \in X_2$ ) such that  $g(x, y) = a \neq 0$ , and hence g(x, z) = a for all  $z \in X_2$ . Since g is triangle free,  $g[X_2]$  is discrete, and so  $|X_2| = 2$  and  $Y = \{z\}$ . Now g(z, y) = 0 implies that g(z, x) = 0 for all  $x \in X_1$  contradicting the connectivity.

The proof of the next result is bit complicated.

**Theorem 5.1.** Each unstable  $\Delta$ -graph is triangle-free.

**Exercise 5.5.** Let  $|D| \ge 3$  be a finite set.

- (i) Show that for a family of 3-subsets  $\Omega$  of D,  $\Omega$  is a two-graph if and only if there exists  $g: E_2(D) \to \mathbb{Z}_2$  such that  $\Omega = \Omega(g)$ .
- (ii) Show that for  $g, h: E_2(D) \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ ,  $\Omega(g) = \Omega(h)$  if and only if [g] = [h].

**Solution.** Let  $g: E_2(D) \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ , and consider the 4-sets with elements  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\} \subseteq D$ . Then do a systematic search of triangles in these vertices:  $\Omega(g)$  is a two-graph.

Let then  $\Omega$  be a two-graph on D. Fix  $x \in D$ . We construct a graph  $g_{\Omega}$  as follows. Let  $g_{\Omega}(x, y) = 0$  for all  $y \neq x$ , and let for all  $y, z \neq x$ ,

$$g_{\Omega}(y,z) = 1 \iff \{x, y, z\} \in \Omega$$

Hence, for each 3-subset  $\{x, y, z\}$  of D,  $g(\langle x, y, z \rangle) = 1$  if and only if  $\{x, y, z\} \in \Omega$ . Moreover, for all 3-subsets  $\{y, z, u\}$  of  $D \setminus \{x\}$ ,

$$g(\langle x, y, z \rangle) + g(\langle x, y, u \rangle) + g(\langle x, z, u \rangle) = g(\langle y, z, u \rangle),$$

from which it follows that  $g(\langle y, z, u \rangle) = 1$  if and only if  $\{y, z, u\} \in \Omega$ . Therefore  $\Omega = \Omega(g_{\Omega})$ . For (ii), suppose  $\Omega(g) = \Omega(h)$ , i.e.,  $g(\langle x, y, z \rangle) = h(\langle x, y, z \rangle)$  for all 3-subsets  $\{x, y, z\}$  of *D* (same parity). Hence [g] = [h] by Theorem 8.4 (and the fact that *g* and *h* are undirected). The converse claim follows similarly from Theorem 8.4.