

# Ordered Sets

## Problem Set 3 (Jan 30, 2015)

- 1** Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be locally finite posets, and assume the mapping  $\varphi: P \rightarrow Q$  preserves the cover relation: i.e., if  $x \prec_P y$  then  $\varphi(x) \prec_Q \varphi(y)$ . Show that  $\varphi$  is isotone.

**Solution.** We prove the claim by induction on the size  $s(x, y)$  of the intervals  $[x, y]_P$  that  $x \leq_P y$  implies  $\varphi(x) \leq_Q \varphi(y)$ . If  $s(x, y) = 2$ , then the claim follows from the assumption. Suppose that  $s(x, y) \geq 3$ . Hence there is an element  $z$  with  $x \prec_P z \prec_P y$ . Now  $s(x, z) < s(x, y)$  and  $s(z, y) < s(x, y)$ , and so the induction hypothesis gives  $\varphi(x) \leq_Q \varphi(z)$  and  $\varphi(z) \leq_Q \varphi(y)$ . Therefore also  $\varphi(x) \leq_Q \varphi(y)$ .  $\square$

- 2** (Part of Theorem 1.40) Prove that if  $P$  is partially well-ordered then in every infinite sequence  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  in  $P$  there are elements  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  such that  $x_i \leq_P x_j$  with  $i < j$ .

**Solution.** Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  be such that  $x_i \not\leq_P x_j$  for all  $i < j$ , i.e., for all  $i < j$ , either  $x_i >_P x_j$  or  $x_i \parallel x_j$ . Let

$$M = \{x_i : x_i \parallel x_j \text{ for all } j > i\}.$$

Then  $M$  is an antichain and thus finite by FAC. Let  $m$  be the maximum index such that  $x_m \in M$ . Hence, for each  $i > m$ , there exists  $j$  with  $j > i$  such that  $x_i \geq_P x_j$ . This gives an infinite descending chain; a contradiction.  $\square$

- 3** Let  $P$  be a finite poset such that the greatest lower bound exists for each pair  $x, y \in P$ . Show that, if  $P$  has a top element, also the least upper bound exists for all elements  $x$  and  $y$ .

**Solution.** It follows by induction that every subset  $A \subseteq P$  has the greatest lower bound. Then for all  $x, y \in P$ , consider  $A = \{x, y\}^u = \{z \mid x \leq_P z, y \leq_P z\}$ . Here  $A$  is nonempty since  $P$  has the (unique) maximum element. Now the greatest lower bound of the set  $A$  is the least upper bound of  $x$  and  $y$ .  $\square$

An isotone mapping  $\alpha: P \rightarrow P$  is called a **retraction** of the poset  $P$ , if  $\alpha^2 = \alpha$ , i.e.,  $\alpha(\alpha(x)) = \alpha(x)$  for all  $x \in P$ . Then we say that the subposet  $\alpha(P)$  is a **retract** of  $P$ .

- 4** Show that an isotone mapping  $\alpha: P \rightarrow P$  is a retraction if and only if its restriction  $\beta = \alpha \upharpoonright \alpha(P)$  to the image  $\alpha(P)$  is the identity mapping.

**Solution.** Assume that  $\alpha^2 = \alpha$ , and let  $y \in \alpha(P)$ , say  $\alpha(x) = y$  or some  $x \in P$ . Then  $\beta(y) = \alpha(\alpha(x)) = \alpha(x) = y$ . Hence  $\beta$  is the identity.

Conversely, suppose  $\beta$  is the identity function. Then  $\alpha(\alpha(x)) = \beta(\alpha(x)) = \alpha(x)$  for all  $x \in P$ .  $\square$

- 5** Assume every isotone mapping  $\alpha: P \rightarrow P$  of the poset  $P$  has a fixed point (i.e.,  $\alpha(x) = x$  for some  $x \in P$ ), and let  $Q$  be a retract of  $P$ . Show that every isotone mapping  $\beta: Q \rightarrow Q$  has a fixed point.

**Solution.** Let  $\alpha: P \rightarrow Q$  be a retraction of  $P$  onto  $Q (= \alpha(P))$ , and let  $\beta: Q \rightarrow Q$  be isotone. Then  $\beta\alpha: P \rightarrow Q$  is an isotone mapping (of  $P$ ), and by assumption it has a fixed point  $x$ . Obviously  $x \in Q$ , and thus, by the previous exercise,  $\alpha(x) = x$ . Now,  $\alpha(x) = x = \beta\alpha(x)$ , and thus  $\alpha(x)$  is a fixed point of  $\beta$ .  $\square$

**6** Let  $P$  be a finite poset. A mapping  $r: P \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is a **rank function** on  $P$  if it satisfies:

- (1)  $r(x) = 1$  if  $x$  is a minimal element of  $P$ ;
- (2)  $r(x) = n$  if  $x$  is a maximal element of  $P$ ;
- (3)  $r(y) = r(x) + 1$  if  $x <_P y$ .

We say that  $P$  is **graded of rank  $n$**  if all maximal chains of  $P$  have length  $n$ . (The *length*  $|C|$  of a chain  $C$  is the number of its elements.)

Show that a finite poset  $P$  is graded of rank  $n$  if and only if it has a rank function  $r: P \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

**Solution.** Suppose  $P$  is graded of rank  $n$ . For  $x \in P$ , let  $C_x$  be a maximal chain containing  $x$ , and define  $r(x) = |\{y \in C_x \mid y <_P x\}|$ . We show first that  $r(x)$  is well defined, i.e., it does not depend on the choice of  $C_x$ . Indeed, let  $C$  and  $C'$  be any two maximal chains containing  $x$ , and consider the disjoint unions

$$C = C_L \cup \{x\} \cup C_U \quad \text{and} \quad C' = C'_L \cup \{x\} \cup C'_U$$

for  $C_L = \{y \in C \mid y <_P x\}$  and  $C'_L = \{y \in C' \mid y <_P x\}$ . Also  $C'_L \cup \{x\} \cup C_U$  is a chain containing  $x$  and thus  $|C'_L| \leq |C_L|$ . By symmetry, there is equality here, and also  $|C'_U| \leq |C_U|$ . This shows that  $r$  is well defined, and it follows from the maximality of the chains that  $r$  is rank function.

In converse, let  $r: P \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be a rank function, and  $x_1 <_P \dots <_P x_m$  a maximal chain. Then necessarily  $x_1$  is a minimal element and  $x_m$  is a maximal element, and  $x_i <_P x_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ . Now,  $r(x_1) = 1$  and  $r(x_m) = n$ , and  $r(x_{i+1}) = r(x_i) + 1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ . Hence  $m = n$ , and this proves the claim.  $\square$

**Solved problem.** Assume that  $\alpha: P \rightarrow P$  is an isotone mapping of the poset  $P$  of  $n$  elements. Show that the composition  $\alpha^{n!}$  is a retraction of  $P$ .

**Solution** Since  $|P| = n$ , for each  $x \in P$ , among  $x, \alpha(x), \dots, \alpha^n(x)$  there is a repeated element:  $\alpha^{r(x)}(x) = \alpha^{s(x)}(x)$  with  $t(x) = s(x) - r(x) > 0$ . Now  $\alpha^{s(x)+j}(x) = \alpha^{r(x)+j}$  for all  $j \geq 0$ , and thus for all  $j \geq r(x)$ , we have

$$\alpha^j(x) = \alpha^{(j-r(x))+r(x)}(x) = \alpha^{(j-r(x))+s(x)}(x) = \alpha^{j+t(x)}(x).$$

Therefore each  $\alpha^j(x)$  for  $j \geq r(x)$  is  $t(x)$ -periodic:  $\alpha^j(x) = \alpha^{j+k \cdot t(x)}(x)$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . Consider then  $y = \alpha^{n!}(x)$ . By the above,  $\alpha^{i+k \cdot t(x)}(x) = y$  for some  $i \leq n$  and all  $k \geq 0$ . Consequently, when  $k = n!/t(x)$ , then

$$\alpha^{n!}(y) = \alpha^{n!+i+t(x)\frac{n!}{t(x)}}(x) = \alpha^{i+m \cdot t(x)}(x) = y,$$

where  $m = n!(1 + 1/t(x))$ . The claim follows from this. (We need the bound  $n!$  to ensure that we have an integer in each possible  $n!/t(x)$ .)  $\square$