Poisson PCA for matrix count data

J. Virta¹ A. Artemiou²

¹University of Turku

²University of Limassol

EcoSta 2023, 1st of August

 \leftarrow \Box

 QQ

1 [Normal factor model](#page-1-0)

[Poisson factor model](#page-6-0)

[Data example](#page-14-0)

[Simulation study](#page-20-0)

5 [Closing remarks](#page-23-0)

4 D F

• Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be a sample of $p_1 \times p_2$ matrices, representing, for example, abundances of *n* species in combinations of p_1 areas and p_2 time periods.

Abundance matrix for the ith species

$$
\text{Area}_{1} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Time}_{1} & \cdots & \text{Time}_{p_{2}} \\ x_{i,11} & \cdots & x_{i,1p_{2}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{i,p_{1}}1 & \cdots & x_{i,p_{1}p_{2}} \end{array} \right)
$$

• For large dimensions p_1, p_2 , the first step of data analysis is often dimension reduction.

つひひ

One of the simplest models for the dimension reduction of matrix data is the normal factor model,

$$
X = \mu + U_1 Z U_2^{\top} + \varepsilon,
$$

where

- $Z \sim \mathcal{N}_{d_1 \times d_2}(0, \tau \Lambda_1, \tau \Lambda_2)$ is a latent matrix with independent elements.
- The scales Λ_1, Λ_2 are diagonal matrices.
- The loadings U_1, U_2 have orthonormal columns.
- The error $\varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}_{\rho_1\times\rho_2}(0,\sigma I_{\rho_1},\sigma I_{\rho_2})$ is independent of $Z.$

つひひ

- The normal model is not suitable for abundance data which takes non-negative integer values.
- To later extend the normal model to such count-valued data, we prefer to write it in conditional form:

$$
\begin{cases}\nZ \sim \mathcal{N}_{d_1 \times d_2}(0, \tau \Lambda_1, \tau \Lambda_2) \\
X \mid Z \sim \mathcal{N}_{p_1 \times p_2}(\mu + U_1 Z U_2^\top, \sigma I_{p_1}, \sigma I_{p_2})\n\end{cases}
$$

• Thus, the model is a *Normal-Normal mixture*.

 Ω

• Assuming that the latent dimensions d_1, d_2 are known, the model parameters can be estimated from the sample versions of the following moments

$$
E(X),
$$

\n
$$
E[{X – E(X)}{X – E(X)}^T],
$$

\n
$$
E[{X – E(X)}^T{X – E(X)}}].
$$

• The latent variables are estimated as

$$
U_1^\top(X-\mu)U_2=Z+\varepsilon_0.
$$

 Ω

[Normal factor model](#page-1-0)

2 [Poisson factor model](#page-6-0)

[Data example](#page-14-0)

[Simulation study](#page-20-0)

5 [Closing remarks](#page-23-0)

4 D F

Poisson-Normal mixture

$$
\begin{cases} Z \sim \mathcal{N}_{d_1 \times d_2}(0, \tau \Lambda_1, \tau \Lambda_2) \\ X \mid Z \sim \text{Poisson}_{p_1 \times p_2} \{ \exp(\mu + U_1 Z U_2^{\top}) \}, \end{cases}
$$

where $\operatorname{Poisson}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}_1\times\boldsymbol{\rho}_2}(\mathit{M})$ has independent Poisson-elements with mean matrix M.

- The latent variables are normal (continuous)
- They influence the observed counts through an exponential link function.

- If $p_2 = 1$, we obtain a vector Poisson-Normal mixture that was originally proposed by [\[Aitchison and Ho, 1989\]](#page-25-0).
- They called it the Poisson-Lognormal model.
- The model was later studied by [\[Hall et al., 2011,](#page-25-1) [Kenney et al., 2021\]](#page-26-1).

 Ω

Parameter estimation

The method of moments yields closed form solutions for the model parameters.

$$
\theta := (\mu, U_1, \Lambda_1, U_2, \Lambda_2, \tau).
$$

• For example, the left loadings U_1 and scale Λ_1 can be estimated from the eigendecomposition of the matrix S_1 defined as,

$$
\begin{aligned} s_{1,jk} &:= \frac{1}{\rho_2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\rho_2} \log \left\{ \frac{\mathrm{E}(x_{j\ell} x_{k\ell})}{\mathrm{E}(x_{j\ell}) \mathrm{E}(x_{k\ell})} \right\}, \\ s_{1,jj} &:= \frac{1}{\rho_2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\rho_2} \log \left[\frac{\mathrm{E}\{x_{j\ell}(x_{j\ell}-1)\}}{\{\mathrm{E}(x_{j\ell})\}^2} \right], \end{aligned}
$$

- **Standard limiting theory shows that the resulting sample estimator** θ_n converges to a limiting normal distribution at root-n rate.
- The limiting covariance matrix has a particularly cumbersome form.

 Ω

- \bullet We estimate the latent matrix Z as the mode of the conditional distribution $Z \mid X$.
- The resulting distribution is not of standard form but its density is log-concave and admits a unique mode.
- Standard gradient descent can be used for the estimation.

- We estimate the latent dimensions d_1 , d_2 using predictor augmentation [\[Luo and Li, 2020\]](#page-26-2).
	- The dimension d_1 equals the rank of the matrix S_1 .
	- We augment the observed data as

$$
X_i^* = \begin{pmatrix} X_i \\ R_i \end{pmatrix},
$$

where R_i have iid $Poisson(1)$ -elements.

- By comparing the sample estimates S_{n1} and S_{n1}^* it is possible to identify where the d_1 -dimension signal "ends".
- [\[Luo and Li, 2020\]](#page-26-2) prove consistency of this procedure but their proof does not apply to discrete data.

 QQQ

Augmentation curve

Figure: The minimum value of the augmentation curve is achieved at $d_1 = 3$.

4 **D**

- [Normal factor model](#page-1-0)
- [Poisson factor model](#page-6-0)

[Simulation study](#page-20-0)

5 [Closing remarks](#page-23-0)

4 0 8

 QQ

- Abundance data available at <https://github.com/rfrelat/Multivariate2D3D>.
- The data consists of abundances of a total of $n = 65$ fish species
	- in seven areas (RA $1 RA$ 7), $p_1 = 7$,
	- \bullet during 6 time periods (1985 1989, . . . , 2005 2009, 2010 2015), $p_2 = 6.$
- [\[Frelat et al., 2017\]](#page-25-2) identified six biologically meaningful clusters (Southern, Northern, NW Increasing, SE Increasing, Increasing and Decreasing) in the data.

Figure: Image from [\[Frelat et al., 2017\]](#page-25-2).

4 0 3

Þ

Dimension estimation

Figure: The dimensions are estimated as $d_1 = 3$ and $d_2 = 1$.

4 0 F

 299

э

Service ∍

- We estimate a total of three latent components, $z_{i,11}$, $z_{i,21}$ and $z_{i,31}$.
- The first of these turns out to measure the overall abundances of the species.
- We plot the remaining two together with the column loadings into a biplot.

 Ω

Biplot

- [Normal factor model](#page-1-0)
- [Poisson factor model](#page-6-0)
- [Data example](#page-14-0)
- 4 [Simulation study](#page-20-0)
- 5 [Closing remarks](#page-23-0)

4 0 8

 QQ

- We simulated samples of 4×3 matrices from the Poisson factor model and estimated its parameters using three different methods:
	- Our proposal.
	- Vectorizing and method of moments [\[Aitchison and Ho, 1989\]](#page-25-0).
	- Vectorizing and MLE with variational inference [\[Hall et al., 2011\]](#page-25-1).
- Average errors over 1000 replicates for various sample sizes and covariance structures are shown on the following slide.

Figure: Average estimation errors of the three methods.

イロト イ押ト イヨト イヨト

重

- [Normal factor model](#page-1-0)
- [Poisson factor model](#page-6-0)
- [Data example](#page-14-0)
- [Simulation study](#page-20-0)
- 5 [Closing remarks](#page-23-0)

4 0 8

 QQ

Þ

- The presentation is based on [\[Virta and Artemiou, 2023\]](#page-26-3).
- Many count-valued data exhibit sparsity \rightarrow zero-inflated variant?
- Bernoulli-Normal mixture for binary matrix data?

Aitchison, J. and Ho, C. (1989).

The multivariate Poisson-log normal distribution.

Biometrika, 76(4):643–653.

Frelat, R., Lindegren, M., Denker, T. S., Floeter, J., Fock, H. O., Sguotti, C., Stäbler, M., Otto, S. A., and Möllmann, C. (2017). Community ecology in 3D: Tensor decomposition reveals spatio-temporal dynamics of large ecological communities. PloS one, 12(11):e0188205.

Hall, P., Ormerod, J. T., and Wand, M. P. (2011).

Theory of Gaussian variational approximation for a Poisson mixed model.

Statistica Sinica, pages 369–389.

Kenney, T., Gu, H., and Huang, T. (2021).

Poisson PCA: Poisson measurement error corrected PCA, with application to microbiome data.

Biometrics.

- E.
- Luo, W. and Li, B. (2020).

On order determination by predictor augmentation. Biometrika.

Virta, J. and Artemiou, A. (2023). Poisson PCA for matrix count data. Pattern Recognition, 138:109401.