

Author's final manuscript version

Published version can be found in Aleksi Mäkilähde, Tommi Alho & Anneli Pajunen (eds) (2026), *The Diversity and the Unity of Linguistics. Studies in Honour of Esa Itkonen*. New York & Oxon: Routledge. Pp. 332–359. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429341601>
For the very final published version: jussi.ylikoski@utu.fi.

15. Degrammaticalization drift in North Saami

Jussi Ylikoski

1. Introduction

This chapter presents a little-studied morphological phenomenon in North Saami, a Uralic language of the northernmost parts of Norway, Sweden and Finland. The focus is on partial debonding of originally bound morphemes, and the extraordinary range of the phenomena makes it possible to state that North Saami is experiencing a wholesale “degrammaticalization drift” that can be best explained with regard to the general morphological makeup of the language.

North Saami has a relatively fusional inflectional morphology, and its derivational morphology is quite fusional as well. However, there are also a number of disyllabic suffixes that are by definition much less fusional. From a diachronic perspective, the language is considered to belong to the most fusional representatives of Uralic, although the reconstructed Proto-Saami is generally depicted as a somewhat agglutinative language (Sammallahti 1998: 61–94, 203–225; Koponen 2022: 107–111). Even when bound grammatical suffixes have been preserved, multiple sound changes and analogical restructurings have resulted in remarkable variation and asymmetry in and across the inflectional paradigms and derivational processes. As a result, the fusional features of North Saami are intertwined with a high degree of irregularity and counterintuitive form–meaning relations, as witness verb forms like *juhke* (infinitive *juhkát* ‘drink’), which may mean both ‘drink.PRS.1DU’ and ‘drink.PST.3PL’, and *juhket*, which means ‘drink.PRS.3PL’, ‘drink.PST.2SG’ and ‘drink.IMP.2PL’, whereas *jugat* stands for not only ‘drink.PRS.2SG’ but also for the IMP.2SG and so-called connegative forms of both the causative verb *jugahit* ‘make drink’ and the frequentative derivation *jugadit* ‘tipple; drink (alcohol, repeatedly)’. When the inflectional suffixes are less fusional, there is considerable allomorphy such as in the forms *juhka-beahtti* [drink-PRS.2DU] but *juga-h-eahppi* [drink-CAUS-PRS.2DU], or *juhka-behtet* [drink-PRS.2PL] but *juga-h-ehpet* [drink-CAUS-PRS.2PL].

In addition to the fusional and allomorphy depicted above, North Saami does also have suffixes of more regular nature. For example, the simultaneous converb suffix *-(d)ettiin* yields verb forms like *jugadettiin* for ‘drink.CVB.SIM’ and ‘tipple.CVB.SIM’, and *jugahettiin* for ‘drink.CAUS.CVB.SIM’, and the negative converb in *-keahtá* is used to create forms like *jugakeahtá* [drink.CVB.NEG] and *jugatkeahtá* [tipple.CVB.NEG/drink.CAUS.CVB.NEG]. This chapter focuses on the latter type of morphemes and their position within the overall system of North Saami morphology. More precisely, the focus is on the occasional instances in which bound morphemes like *-keahtá* appear

as less bound, when instead of a coordinated phrase like *borakeahtta ja jugakeahtta* for ‘without eating and (without) drinking’ it is possible to attest phrases like *bora- ja jugakeahtta* where the first member of the phrase undergoes a similar conjunction reduction that is usually reserved only for compound words (1).

- (1) *Fástu, bora-keahttai-vuohta lea oskku vierru ahte*
 fasting eat-CVB.NEG-hood be.3SG faith.GA practice COMP
eallit veahá bottu bora- ja juga-keahtta.
 live.INF little while.GA eat and drink-CVB.NEG
 ‘Fasting, abstaining from food [i.e., “uneatenness”] is a religious practice of going a little while without eating and drinking.’ (*Odda Testamenta* 1998: 669)

Following Norde (2009), the degrammaticalization phenomena in question are characterized as *debonding*.

After a brief introduction to the background and the state of research in Section 2, Section 3 provides data and observations about the debonding phenomena that can be attested in about twenty North Saami inflectional and derivational suffixes. Section 4 draws the individual observations together and provides a general discussion and further remarks on the topic. Unless otherwise specified, the data presented in this study comes from texts made available by the *SIKOR* corpus at UiT The Arctic University of Norway. Additional examples stem from various other texts and observations from daily communication with native speakers. Most of my examples come from newspapers and fiction originally written in North Saami, except for (4), (16) and (20), which are translations from Norwegian, which has nevertheless not triggered the use of the debonding phenomena.

Although the main bulk of this chapter concentrates on the concrete observations on a previously little studied phenomenon and the main aim is to provide data for further theoretical research on degrammaticalization, it is proposed that we are witnessing a typologically extraordinary development: Nearly all of the morphemes in question have long suffixal roots in Saami and other Uralic languages, and the debonding phenomena can be therefore regarded as counterevidence to the so-called unidirectionality hypothesis. Most importantly, the same phenomenon is repeatedly observed in a variety of so-called bound morphemes. As a result, it is claimed that this state of affairs makes it possible to label the situation as a “degrammaticalization drift” in the sense of Sapir (1921: 166), who characterizes a drift as a developmental tendency in a language “constituted by the unconscious selection on the part of its speakers of those individual variations that are cumulative in some special direction”. The most obvious reason for the degrammaticalization drift may be that in a predominantly fusional language like North Saami, non-fusional disyllabic bound morphemes are prone to be perceived as word-like morphemes.

2. Background and state of research

Phrases such as *bora- ja jugakeahtta* ‘without eating and drinking’ mentioned above were first discussed in Ylikoski (2009: 123), where such constructions are compared with a number of newly

emerged non-finite verb forms exhibiting similar behavior that can, however, be explained as the inheritance of the earlier adpositional origin of the non-finite suffixes. Similar examples of conjunction reduction have long been observed in the use of the plural comitative case marker *iguin* that likewise goes back to a postposition (**guoimmi* ‘with’) attached to its complement (plural genitive in **-i*).

There is thus a major diachronic difference between *bora- ja jugakeahtá* (1) and phrases like the plural comitative *bissu-i- ja sáitti-iguin* [gun-PL(.OBL) and spear-PL.COM] ‘with guns and spears’: Whereas the latter example can be regarded as a remnant of earlier postpositional phrases that have quite recently grammaticalized in a cross-linguistically common way, *bora- ja jugakeahtá* is an instance of unexpected debonding of the descendant of the Proto-Saami negative converb.

Additional background for the present study is provided by the study of degrammaticalization in North Saami. In general typological linguistics, the best-known grammatical morpheme of the language is *haga* ‘without’. From a synchronic perspective, *haga* is an ordinary postposition that is also occasionally used as a preposition. Moreover, *haga* also functions as a free adverb, e.g. *Báhcen haga* [remain.PST.1SG without] ‘I was left without [X]’. From a diachronic perspective, the morpheme has been extensively studied as a comparatively rare example of degrammaticalization, as the ultimate origin of the adposition is the Proto-Saami abessive case marker *-ptākeḡ* or **-ptāken*, with even deeper roots in Proto-Uralic **-ktAk*. This phenomenon was first understood and described by Wiklund (1902: 57–59) and later made known to the general linguistic public by Nevis (1986). (See also, for example, Campbell 2001: 127; Joseph 2003: 485; Norde 2009: 207–209 et passim; 2010: 142–144; Ylikoski 2016: 119–129.) It may be added that even though the cognate of North Saami *haga* is usually considered an abessive case (*-tää*) in the neighboring Skolt Saami, even there conjunction reduction appears to be possible in phrases like *veelk da neei'b-tää* [fork.GEN and knife-ABE] ‘without a fork and a knife’ (Feist 2015: 252).

The most recent discussion on degrammaticalization in North Saami is Ylikoski’s (2016) study on the history of *(-)naga*, a denominal derivational suffix that goes back to the Proto-Saami essive case marker **-nA* that has been augmented with an unknown element (*-ga*). Although most descriptions of *-naga* forms have characterized them as adverbs, only about half of the corpus occurrences of these forms are used in a predicative function (e.g., *báidi lea varranaga* [shirt be.3SG blood.naga] ‘the shirt was stained with blood’), whereas the other half are adnominal modifiers (*varranaga báidi* [blood.naga shirt] ‘a shirt stained with blood’), which makes them look very much like adjectives, although comparative and superlative forms seem to be absent, and unlike nearly all regular adjectives, *-naga* lacks plural forms in contexts where a plural would be otherwise expected. In any case, the morpheme *-naga* has traditionally had no features typical of nouns or other parts of speech, and is thus to be understood as a derivational suffix. Moreover, especially the predicative functions of the suffix can be seen as near-synonyms to some of the marginal functions of the essive case (e.g., *varra-n* [blood-ESS] ‘stained with blood’), as also witnessed by intermediate forms such as *varrana* id. (Ylikoski 2016: 129–139).

However, the entire suffix *-naga* ‘stained with’ has later been reanalyzed as a noun for ‘stain; trace’ in the Guovdageaidnu dialect located in the heart of the North Saami language area, whereas the original derivational functions are still retained all over the speaking area. One of the intermediate

stages of the degrammaticalization appears to be manifested in phrases like *varra- ja guomo-naga* [blood and chyme-stained] ‘stained with blood and chyme’, which could be replaced with unreduced *varranaga ja guomonaga*.

Ylikoski (2009) has paid attention to a number of similar instances of conjunction reduction, and some of these observations are developed further in Ylikoski’s (2016) discussion on the degrammaticalization of *naga* ‘stain; trace’ and its near-namesake *haga* ‘without’. However, it has been proven difficult to establish clear criteria for what counts as “degrammaticalization”, a concept still categorically denied by many linguists. This section concludes with a brief definition of a subtype of degrammaticalization, “debonding” and is followed in the next section by a systematic presentation of the individual types of North Saami morphemes that may undergo such debonding.

In the only major monograph on degrammaticalization to date, Norde (2009) differentiates between three subtypes of degrammaticalization: “degrammation”, “deinflectionalization” and “debonding”. According to her definition, “[d]ebonding is a composite change whereby a bound morpheme in a specific linguistic context becomes a free morpheme” (2009: 186).

Most of the morphemes discussed in the present study do not meet Norde’s definition in the strict sense. As a matter of fact, it is possible that the words *haga* ‘without’ and *naga* ‘stain; trace’ may be the only truly free debonded morphemes in North Saami; one more candidate will be mentioned in Section 3.16. However, Norde and almost all historical linguists certainly understand that linguistic change is usually gradual, and this applies to debonding as well.

I wish to reformulate Norde’s words by emphasizing that the examples presented in the following section can be characterized as debonding in the sense that my data provides plenty of evidence about situations in which “a bound morpheme in a specific linguistic context becomes a freer morpheme”. By this I am simply referring to cases in which various bound morphemes are able to undergo conjunction reduction in expressions where two or more coordinated word forms are connected with the coordinating conjunction *ja* ‘and’ or, more seldom, with *dahje/dehe* ‘or’. Unlike with newly agglutinated suffixes such as plural comitative *-iguin* mentioned above, the following section provides examples of debonding among a number of truly bound morphemes whose earlier phases or cognates in other languages have not been attested as showing similar behavior. The following section focuses on morphological issues. Semantic properties of the morphemes in question are of lesser importance, but those will also be addressed in Section 4.

3. Observations

3.1. Abessive case to adposition (*haga* ‘without’), adverbial derivative to noun (*naga* ‘stain; trace’)

The morphemes *haga* ‘without’ and *naga* ‘stain; trace’ were shortly described already in Section 2. Their full degrammaticalization into truly free words has been discussed at length in the earlier literature, and their significance to the present study will be discussed in Section 4.

3.2. Negative converb (and abessive marker) in *-keahtá*

The history of the negative converb marker *-keahtá* is partly unclear, but it is obvious that it consists of the abessive case marker (*-htá* ~ present-day adposition *haga* ‘without’) that has originally attached to a derivational or stem-final element **(k)kV* (cf. Korhonen 1974: 171ff.; Sammallahti 1998: 87). Although possibly none of the more than six thousand occurrences of *-keahtá* in the 28.4M word corpus of North Saami (*SIKOR*) exhibit debonding, I have come across the *hapax legomenon* seen in (1). As mentioned above, the expected variant of the debonded phrase *bora- ja jugakeahtá* would be *borakeahtá ja jugakeahtá* ‘without eating and (without) drinking’. Moreover, certain western dialects of North Saami use *keahtá(i)* also as a case-like element that is often written as a separate word and may be better analyzed as a postposition, in analogy to the abessive marker *haga* ‘without’ discussed above (see Korhonen 1974: 181–182; Ylikoski 2009: 101–102):

- (2) [...] *váldit* *iešválddálaččat* *alcceseaset* *oaffara*
take.INF headstrongly REFL.ILL.POSS.3PL sacrifice.GA
almmá su dáhtu- ja lobi-keahtái.
without 3SG.GA will.GA and permission.GA-without/ABE
‘[...] to take themselves a sacrifice without his will or permission.’ (Hætta 2019: 52–53)

The standard North Saami alternative for the phrase *almmá su dáhtu- ja lobikeahtái* would be the postpositional phrase *almmá su dáhtu ja lobi haga* [without 3SG.GA will.GA and permission.GA without] ‘without his will and permission’.

3.3. Simultaneous converb in *-dettiin*

The origin of the simultaneous converb marker *-dettiin* is analogous to *-keahtá* seen above: According to the prevailing view, the suffix goes back to a deverbal derivational suffix **-nta* followed by the plural locative (**-j-na*) or the singular comitative (**-jna*) marker (Korhonen 1974: 145ff.; Sammallahti 1998: 86, 222). As a rule, *-dettiin* is a bound suffix that does not undergo debonding, but it is not uncommon to encounter phrases like *boade- dahje manadettiin* instead of the expected *boadedettiin dahje manadettiin* ‘when coming or going’:

- (3) *Báiki lea 20 min eret olgoriikka girdinšilju*
 place be.3SG 20 min off foreign.country.GA airport.GA
ahte doppe gánneha fitnat jogo boađe- dahje
 COMP there pay.off.3SG visit.INF either come or
mana-dettiin luvvemin dehkiid.
 go-CVB.SIM loosen.PROG muscle.PL.GA
 ‘The place is 20 minutes from the international airport, so that it is worthwhile to visit the place and get a massage either on arrival or departure.’

For another example, *hála- ja čále-dettiin* [speak and write-CVB.SIM] ‘when speaking and writing’ in an authentic sentence, see Ylikoski (2009: 123–124).

3.4. Negative participle in *-keahtes*

The negative participle in *-keahtes* is mostly an attributive counterpart of the negative converb in *-keahtá* (§ 3.2); the two suffixes have parallel origins. As a consequence, it is not surprising to observe debonding of *-keahtes* (4) in analogy to *-keahtá* (1):

- (4) *Jagiin manjil 1850 ledje=ge olu*
 year.PL.LOC after 1850(.GA) be.PST.3PL=DPT many
čujut- ja mávsse-keahtes sierradivadiid
 plead and pay-PTCP.NEG separate.fee.PL.GA
murrenráנגgáštusáššit, [...]
 wood.chopping.lawsuit.PL
 ‘In the years after 1850, there were indeed many lawsuits concerning special fees on felling trees and chopping wood that had not been paid or argued against [i.e., no pleas were offered in defense] [...]’ (NAC 1993:34: 198)

Again, the far more expected variant of the debonded construction above would be *čujutkeahtes ja mávssekeahtes* ‘unpleaded and unpaid’.

3.5. Negative adjective in *-keahtes*

The suffix *-keahtes* can be added to virtually all verb stems and regarded as a participle marker that has the invariable meaning ‘un-V-ed’. The same element can also be added to nouns, resulting in denominal caritive adjectives with the meaning ‘N-less’. According to prescriptive grammar rules (Nickel & Sammallahti 2011: 640), only a minor part of nouns – a class with consonant-final stems – are able to turn to adjectives such as (*sohkar* ‘sugar’ → *sohkarkeahtes* ‘sugarless’, whereas other nouns take the suffix *-heapme* : *-his* instead. However, in non-standard language, *-keahtes* is also common with various stem types, and besides derivations like *alkoholahis* ‘alcohol-free’, synonymous adjectives like *alkoholakeahtes* also occur.

Given the debonding possibility of participial *-keahtes* forms (4), it may not come as a surprise that the denominal *-keahtes* forms may also undergo conjunction reduction (5).

- (5) *Unjárgga nuoraidráđdi ja Sletta klubba (Unjárgga Unjárga.GA youth.council and Sletta club Unjárga.GA nuoraidklubba) lágidit ovttas Unjárgga youth.club arrange.3PL together Unjárga.GA nuoraide álkohola- ja gárrenmirk[k]o-keahtes doaluid youngster.PL.ILL alcohol and narcotic-less party.PL.GA miessemánu 16. beaivvi. May.GA 16th day.GA*
 ‘The Unjárga Youth Council and Sletta club (an Unjárga youth club) will arrange an alcohol- and drug-free party for the youth in Unjárga on May 16th.’

For an analogous example, *reant[t]o- ja divatkeahtes loatna* [interest and fee-less loan] ‘interest-free and fee-free loan’, see Ylikoski (2009: 121).

3.6. Negative adjective in *-heapme* : *-his*

Most of the North Saami nouns – especially those with vowel-final stems – can be turned into caritive adjectives by adding the suffix *-heapme* (predicative) : *-his* (attributive) to the oblique stem. For example, *áhčči* ‘father’ yields *áhčeheapme* (predicative) : *áhčehis* (attributive) ‘fatherless’ and *eadni* ‘mother’ yields *eatneheapme* (predicative) : *eatnehis* (attributive) ‘motherless’.

In comparison to most other suffixes discussed in this chapter, *-heapme* : *-his* is a morpheme that experiences conjunction reduction relatively often. Ylikoski (2009: 120–121) mentions the examples *áhče- ja eatneheapme* ‘fatherless and motherless’ and *tuollo- ja divathis* ‘duty-free and fee-free’ (instead of *tuollohis ja divathis*), and it is also possible to present examples such as (6):

- (6) *Earret dábálaš groava láibi, oidno maid láhččojuvvon*
 besides ordinary coarse bread be.seen.3SG also spread.out.PST.PTCP
beavdái gluten- ja laktose-his ja spelt nammasaš
 table.ILL gluten and lactose-less.ATTR and spelt name.ADJ
jáffot láibi.
 flour.ADJ bread

‘In addition to ordinary coarse bread, there is also gluten- and lactose-free bread on the table, as well as bread made of the flour called *spelt*.’

Unlike any of the disyllabic suffixes discussed this far, the attributive variant *-his* in *tuollo- ja divathis* and *gluten- ja laktosehis* consists of one syllable only. The predicative variant of the suffix has cognates in many Uralic languages and consists of **-ktA-mA*, the former part being identical to the Proto-Uralic abessive in **-ktAk*, also present in the North Saami *haga* ‘without’ and the suffix pair *-keahtta* and *-keahtes* seen above (§§ 3.4–3.5).

3.7. Negative deverbal adjective in *-meahttun*

There is one more negative suffix that behaves in a similar way. The suffix *-meahttun* is usually attached to verb stems. Due to lesser productivity, irregular semantics and the lack of typical morphosyntactic features of verbs, deverbal adjectival derivations in *-meahttun* are better seen as such, instead of as non-finite verb forms such as participles (Ylikoski 2009: 164–167). This suffix is able to behave just like the morphemes seen above:

- (7) *Vaikko dilli lei áibbas čilget- ja*
 although situation be.PST.3SG totally explain and
ipmir-meahttun, de olbmot ain ferteje dahkat
 understand-NEG.ADJ then person.PL still must.PST.3PL do.INF
hommáid mat galget doallat sin
 undertaking.PL.GA REL.PL shall.3PL keep.INF 3PL.GA
badjin.
 up
 ‘Although the situation was totally unexplainable and incomprehensible, the people still had to take care of their undertakings that were to keep them going.’

Historically, *-meahttun* is a loan suffix from Finnish *-maton*, ultimately originating in Proto-Uralic, as evidenced by the cognates of adjectives like *dovdameahttun* ‘unknown’ in Finnish (*tuntematon* id.) and Tundra Nenets (*tumtəw^adawej^a* ‘unrecognized’) (Sammallahti 1998: 91; Aikio 2022: 19).

3.8. Denominal adjectives and nouns in *-laš* : *-lačča-*

The derivational suffix *-laš* turns a noun stem to a proprietive nominal that may function as an adjective or a noun. For example, *gielalaš* may mean ‘language-related; linguistic’ and *guovdageaidnulaš* may mean ‘related to the municipality of Guovdageaidnu’ or ‘person from Guovdageaidnu’. Historically, *-laš* is a loan suffix from Finnic, but synchronically it is possible to distinguish between two *-laš* suffixes: one of them is attached to a weak stem of a noun (e.g., *giella* : *giela-*), but especially toponyms take the suffix in the strong stem (nominative, e.g. *Guovdageaidnu*) (Nickel & Sammallahti 2011: 629, 640–641). Both types are able to experience conjunction reduction. The examples (8–9) do not contain either *gielalaš* or *guovdageaidnulaš*, but instead, the derivational suffix occurs in the latter parts of the coordinated phrases only:

- (8) *Giela- ja árbeviro-lačča-t gullet sii*
 language and tradition-ADJ-ADV belong.3PL 3PL
nuortasámeálbmogii ja leat ortodoksalaš.
 Eastern.Saami.people.ILL and be.3PL Orthodox
 ‘Linguistically and culturally, they belong to the Eastern Saami and they are Orthodox.’

- (9) *Duhát vuosttaš nama almmuhuvvojitjuo beaivvi*
 thousand first name.GA publish.PASS.3PL alreadyday.GA
ovdal, vai guovdageaidnu- ja loabát-lačča-t
 before in.order.that Guovdageaidnu and Loabát-ADJ-PL
besset ráhkkanit vuolgit Áltái válljet
 can.3PL prepare.INF leave.INF Áltá.ILL choose.INF
oaggunsaji ja jándora goas bivdá.
 fishing.spot.GA and day.GA when fish.3SG
 ‘The first thousand names are made public already the day before, so that those from Guovdageaidnu and Loabát are able to make themselves ready to leave for Áltá to choose their fishing spots and days.’

Neither of the examples contain the monosyllabic *-laš* as such, but the derivations are further turned to adverbs (8) or inflected in number (9), and the suffixal element undergoing conjunction reduction is thus the disyllabic *-laččat*. Even more examples could be presented, but for morphologically complex disyllabic suffixes only.

3.9. Denominal adjectives in *-saš* : *-sačča-*

The denominal adjectival suffix *-saš* : *-sačča-* ‘-ly’ in (10) is formally, functionally and etymologically (Sammallahti 1998: 91) quite similar to *-laš* : *-lačča-* in the previous examples:

- (10) *Dattetge gullen sámegiela mánno- dahje*
 anyhow hear.PST.1SG Saami.language.GA month or
vahkko-sačča-t go boarráset fuolkkit sámástedje, ja
 week-ADJ-ADV when old.CMPV relative.PL speak.Saami.PST.3PL and
áhku luhtte Deanus gos mánát maid
 grandmother.GA at Deatnu.LOC where child.PL also
sámástedje.
 speak.Saami.PST.3PL
 ‘Anyhow, I used to hear Saami monthly or weekly when my older relatives spoke it, and at grandma’s in Deatnu where children spoke Saami, too.’

Albeit a hapax only, it is notable that again, the attested instance is an example of a combined disyllabic suffix.

3.10. Denominal reciprocal nouns in *-žaga-*

The suffix *-žaga-* is used within a closed set of kinship terms and for some similar terms to create reciprocal derivatives that usually refer to symmetric relationships such as (*viellja* ‘brother’ → *vieljažagat* ‘brothers (to each other)’). In example (11), written by a Saami translator on a Saami language mailing list as a reply to a query on the North Saami word for Norwegian *helsøsken* ‘full siblings’, the suffix occurs only in the latter part of the coordinated phrase:

- (11) *Ii=bat leat “olles vielja- ja oappá-žagat”,*
 NEG.3SG=DPT be.CNG full brother and sister-REC(.PL)
go halvsøsken lea “viellja- ja oabbá-beležat”
 as halvsøsken be.3SG brother and sister-half.REC(.PL)
 ‘I guess it [Norwegian *helsøsken* ‘full siblings’] is *olles vielja- ja oappážagat* [‘full brothers and sisters to each other’ in North Saami], as *halvsøsken* [‘half-siblings’] is *viellja- ja oabbá-beležat?* [Email message on the *Giella* mailing list (giella@list.uit.no), 25 January 2013]

In the latter part of the sentence, the element *-beležat* is used in a similar position instead of the full phrase *vielljabeležat ja oabbábeležat* [half.brother.REC(.PL) and half.sister.REC(.PL)], but *-beležat* can be regarded as a part of compound nouns; the etymological stem of *-beležat* is the noun *bealli* ‘half’.

3.11. Inchoative verbs in *-(iš)goahtit*

All the suffixes discussed above turn nouns, adjectives and verbs into new nouns or adjectives, or verbs into non-finite verb forms with adjectival or adverbial functions. In what follows, our attention is directed to verb derivation.

The suffix *-(iš)goahti-* is one of the most productive aspectual derivational suffixes in the language. The most common variant is *-goahti-* (e.g., *hábmēt* ‘shape’ → *hábmegoahtit* ‘begin to shape’), but the variant *-išgoahti-* is used especially for verbs with trisyllabic stems (e.g., *jorgalit* ‘turn; translate’ → *jorgališgoahtit* ‘begin to turn; begin to translate’). The origin of the morpheme is not entirely clear, but in any case it functions as a bound suffix throughout the Saami languages (see Kuokkala 2019). However, this suffix is not entirely bound either:

- (12) *Dieđu maŋŋá gohpa-ja hábme-gohten daid dáiddan.*
 news.GA after carve and shape-INCH.PST.1SG that.PL.GA art.ESS
 ‘After the news I began carving and shaping those into art.’

The oldest recorded occurrence of debonding of this morpheme – *hállan-iš- ja šleangas-išgoahtá* [lean-*iš-* and rock-INCH.3SG] ‘(the sledge) begins to lean and rock’ – dates back to the 19th century, and the first part of the compounded phrase contains the initial element *-iš-* of the debonded suffix instead of consisting of the verb stem *hállan-* ‘lean’ only (see Ylikoski 2009: 124 for the full sentence). In addition to the two occurrences just mentioned, the text corpus also includes sentences with predicates like *dovda- ja geavah-išgoahtá* [know and use-INCH.3SG] ‘begins to know and use’.

3.12. Denominal transformative verbs in -iduhttit

The morpheme *-iduhtti-* is a relatively unproductive means to create denominal transformative verbs such as (*ráfi* ‘peace’ →) *ráfáiduhttit* ‘pacify, tranquilize’. Among the most frequent ones are verbs pertaining to the colonialist practices of nation-states. In the following example, the action nominalization in the genitive-accusative case (*-ma*) is based on the verbs (*dárru* ‘Norwegian’ →) *dáruiduhttit* ‘Norwegianize’ and (*suopma* ‘Finn; Finnish’ →) *suomaiduhttit* ‘Finnicize’, but in the first part, only a fragment of the suffix (*-i-*, phonologically /-j-/) is seen:

- (13) *Son lei earret eará njunnožis nannet*
 3SG be.PST.3SG among.other.things forefront.LOC strengthen.INF
iešdovddu erenoamážit nuorain go Sápmi gillái
 self-esteem.GA especially youth.PL.LOC when Sápmi suffer.PST.3SG
stuorámus dáru-i- ja suoma-iduhtti-m-a.
 big.SUP Norwegian-*i* and Finnish-TRANSF-AN-GA
 ‘Among other things, he was in the forefront strengthening the self-esteem especially among the youth at the time when Sápmi was experiencing the harshest Norwegianization and Finnicization.’

A more expected full variant of the compounded phrase would be *dáruiduhttima ja suomaiduhttima*. For another example, see Ylikoski (2009: 124–125) and Section 4.

3.13. Denominal frequentative-essive verbs in -stallat

Another denominal verb suffix that is able to undergo conjunction reduction is *-stalla-*. The suffix is rather productive and results in verbs whose exact meaning depends on the meaning of the noun stem. The noun *ávki* ‘use; advantage’ yields *ávkkástallat* ‘make use of; exploit’, and *leaika* ‘play’ yields *leaikkástallat* ‘joke; play around’, for example. The element goes back to a combination of two ancient suffixes (Sammallahti 1998: 92–93), but the disyllabic morpheme is able to undergo conjunction reduction:

- (14) *Go bargu gárvána, galget olbmot maid*
 when work get.finished.3SG shall.3PL person.PL also
vuogas gávdnat báikkiin govddiid gos sii sáhttet
 convenient find.INF place.PL.LOC shelter.PL.GA where 3PL can.3PL
káfe- ja ija-stalla-t.
 coffee and night-FREQESS-INF
 ‘When the work is finished, people will also find shelters in nice places where they can stop to make coffee or stay overnight.’

A pair of full verbs – *káfestallat ja ijastallat* [have.a.coffee.break.INF and spend.night.INF] – would be possible and more expected.

3.14. Denominal translative-causative verbs in *-mahttit*

Deadjectival verbs in *-mahttit* are not very productive and frequent, but when needed, many adjectives may be turned to verbs in which the derivational element is a combination of the derivational suffix *-m-* and the causative suffix *-ahtti-*. For example, the adjective *čielggas* ‘clear’ yields the verb *čielggasmit* ‘become clearer’, labeled as a so-called translative verb in the Finno-Saami grammatical tradition (Nickel & Sammallahti 2011: 606). This in turn may be causativized to *čielggasmahttit* ‘clarify’. In the same vein, *nanus* ‘firm’ leads to *nanosmit* ‘become firm’ and further to *nanosmahttit* ‘strengthen’, and *ođas* ‘new’ leads to *ođasmit* ‘become new’ and *ođasmahttit* ‘make new; renew’. However, when coordinated, the verb pair *nanosmahttit ja ođasmahttit* can be reduced to *nanos- ja ođasmahttit*:

- (15) *Norgga* *museaid* *nanos- ja* *ođas-m-ahtti-n*
 Norway.GA museum.PL.GA firm and new-TRANSL-CAUS-AN(.GA)
olis *ovttastuvvojedje* *olu* *museat* *ovtta*
 in.connection.with unite.PASS.PST.3PL many museum.PL one.GA
hálddahusa *vuollái,* *maiddái* *sámi museat.*
 administration.GA under also Saami museum.PL
 ‘When the Norwegian museum system was strengthened and renewed, many museums were placed under a common administration, Saami museums included.’

Again, also these derivational elements have purely suffixal cognates in other Saami languages and beyond.

3.15. Factitive-cum-passive verbs in *-(i)d-uvvot*

Example (16) contains the periphrastic perfect predicate *leat baji- dehe vuoliduvvon* instead of a fuller variant *leat baji-d-uvvon dehe vuoli-d-uvvon* [be.3PL “up”-FACT-PASS-PST.PTCP or “down”-FACT-PASS-PST.PTCP]; their meaning without a specific context is ‘have been raised or lowered’.

- (16) *Logut* *leat baji- dehe vuoli-d-uvvo-n*
 number.PL be.3PL “up” or “down”-FACT-PASS-PST.PTCP
lagamus olles tonnii.
 near.SUP full ton.ILL
 ‘The figures have been rounded up or down to the nearest ton.’

The expected past participles *bajiduvvon* and *vuoliduvvon* are based on the passive derivations which in turn are based on the active verbs *bajidit* ‘raise’ and *vuolidit* ‘lower’, characterized as factitive verbs by Nickel & Sammallahti (2011: 608). Ultimately, all these verbs are based on the spatial nominal stems *badji-* “up” and *vuolli-* “down”, but it looks like the underlying verb *bajiduvvon* has been cut on the syllable border.

3.16. Denominal (compound) nouns in *-sássa* ‘prospective relative’

To turn back to denominal nouns, consider (17) from a birthday greeting in a newspaper:

- (17) *Váimmolaš* *dearvuodat* *isidis,* 9 *mánáin,* 20
 wholehearted greeting.PL husband.LOC 9 child.PL.LOC 20
áhkkubiin, *vivain,* *manjis,*
 woman’s.grandchild.PL.LOC son.in.law.PL.LOC daughter.in.law.LOC
mannje- ja vivva-sássa-in
 daughter.in.law and son.in.law-future.relative-PL.LOC
 ‘Wholehearted congratulations from your husband, 9 children, 20 grandchildren, sons-in-law, daughter-in-law, and the prospective daughters-in-law and sons-in-law’

The element *-sássa* refers to prospective relatives such as *mannjesássa* ‘prospective daughter-in-law’ and *vivvasássa* ‘prospective son-in-law’. Again, full-form coordination like *mannjesásain ja vivvasásain* ‘from the prospective daughters-in-law and sons-in-law’ could be expected. However, what is more interesting is that *sássa* also occurs as an independent word:

- (18) *Dearvuodat* *mánáin,* *áhkkubiin,* *manjis*
 greeting.PL child.PL.LOC woman’s.grandchild.PL.LOC daughter.in.law.LOC
ja sássa-in.
 and future.relative-PL.LOC
 ‘Congratulations from your children, grandchildren, daughter-in-law, and the prospective relatives.’

As mentioned in Ylikoski (2009: 119–120), grammarians and lexicographers have been ambivalent about the status of the morpheme *-sássa*. For example, Nielsen (1932–1962, s.v. *sāssā*) has a dictionary entry for the noun *sássa*, but despite an example of a free-standing *sássa* like the one seen in (18), he adds that the element is generally used as the last part of compounds. In his grammar of the language, Nielsen (1926: 56–57) describes *-sássa* as a derivational suffix that comes close to compounds. According to his dictionary, the use of *-sássa* translates as ‘future bridegroom or bride, father-in-law or mother-in-law, son-in-law or daughter-in-law, brother-in-law or sister-in-law’. Accordingly, the plural comitative *sásain* is presumably to be understood as referring to the prospective daughters- and sons-in-law of a person who is already a grandmother. However, in light of the text corpus (*SIKOR*), Nielsen’s list can be amended by a number of expressions like *ipmesássa* and *lážasássa*, referring to the prospective relatives under the labels *ipmi* ‘wife of uncle or of father’s or mother’s male cousin’ and *láža* ‘son’s or daughter’s father-in-law or mother-in-law’, respectively.

Unlike the partly debonded morphemes seen in §§ 3.2–3.15 above, *sássa* resembles *haga* ‘without’ and the noun *naga* ‘stain; trace’ (§ 2 and § 3.1) in particular in that a former derivational suffix seems to have degrammaticalized into an entirely free-standing noun. To my knowledge the origin of *-sássa* has never been discussed and neither is it possible to delve into the details of this question in the confines of the present chapter, but in any case, *-sássa* has suffixal counterparts throughout

the Saami languages, and there may be good reasons to relate the morpheme to the many descendants of the Proto-Uralic suffix **-ksi*. For further discussion and examples similar to (17–18), see Ylikoski (2009: 119–120).

3.17. Denominal and deadjectival nouns in *-vuohta* ‘-ness’

The next to last element to be discussed is *-vuohta*, a suffix that largely corresponds to English *-ness*, *-hood* and *-ship*: Attached to adjectives like *garas* ‘hard’ and nouns like *mánná* ‘child’ and *olmmái* ‘friend’, *-vuohta* yields abstract nouns with meanings like ‘state/quality of being A’ (*garasvuohta* ‘hardness’) or ‘state of being N’ (*mánnávuohta* ‘childhood’, *olmmáivuoha* ‘friendship’). In Ylikoski (2009: 119ff.), *-vuohta* is shortly discussed because of its ability to undergo conjunction reduction as follows:

- (19) *Mikkela jietna lei áibbas earalágan go*
 Mikkela.GA voice be.PST.3SG totally different as
lávii skuvllas, ii lean dat sihkkar-
 use.to.PST.3SG school.LOC NEG.3SG be.PST.CNG that sure
ja garas-vuohta.
 and hard-ness
 ‘Mikkel’s voice was totally different from what it used to be at school; the sureness and hardness were missing.’

Again, *sihkkar- ja garasvuohta* is a reduced variant of *sihkkarvuohta ja garasvuohta* ‘sureness and hardness’. It is remarkable that of all morphemes presented in this section, *-vuohta* is especially prone to conjunction reduction – my main corpus (*SIKOR*) and other texts contain dozens of occurrences analogous to (19). Examples include, among others, *ánggir- ja viššal-vuohta* [keen- and industrious-ness] ‘keenness and industriousness’, and *mánná- ja nuorravuohta* [child- and youth- hood] ‘childhood and youthhood’, and such noun phrases are further inflected in case and number just like ordinary nouns.

The morpheme *-vuohta* also differs from the other suffixes discussed above in that it is generally regarded as originating in a free noun. According to the traditional view, it originates in Proto-Saami-Finnic **vōti* ‘year’ (Sammallahti 1998: 90), but Aikio (2012: 234) has recently disputed this etymology on both phonological and semantic grounds and suggested that the Saami suffix could be cognate with East Khanty *ot* ‘matter’. From a semantic perspective, Aikio doubts that a noun for ‘year’ could have acquired its abstract meaning already as an independent word, as suggested by South Saami *voete* ‘manner, way’.

Although the origins of North Saami *-vuohta* and South Saami *(-)voete* may lie in a prehistoric noun, the morpheme has been a suffix for a considerable time, as all present-day Saami languages know it as a suffix. Also in South Saami, the independent *voete* seen above is a relatively uncommon word that is outnumbered by hundreds of derivational (or compounded) *-voete* nouns such as (*gieries* ‘dear’ → *gieriesvoete* ‘love (dearness)’ and (*güektiengielen* ‘bilingual’ → *güektiengielenvoete* ‘bilingualism’). In fact, in light of the data presented in this study it is not

unimaginable that South Saami *voete* ‘manner, way’ is a new, degrammaticalized noun originating in the derivational suffix *-voete*, no matter what the ultimate origin of the element is. From this perspective, the origin of *-vuohta/-voete* may still remain unknown, and the element is therefore worth remembering along with the many derivational suffixes discussed above.

3.18. Third person plural possessive suffix *-easet*

The last relevant element in this connection is *-easet*, one of the many possible forms of possessive suffixes in North Saami. Although the following example could be understood as simply having a non-possessive-suffixed noun in free variation with possessive suffixed forms such as *áhku-id-easet* [grandmother-PL.GA-POSS.3PL], it is notable that the possessive suffix is omitted in a context where the expression *áhkut ja ádját* (grandmother.PL and grandfather.PL) is used as the normal phrase for ‘grandparents’ in coordination with *vánhemat* ‘parents’, as the language traditionally lacks a gender-neutral or collective word for ‘grandparent(s)’:

- (20) [...] *olbmot* *leat* *dál* *dan* *dilis* *ahte* *eai*
 person.PL be.3PL now that.GA situation.LOC COMP NEG.3PL
máhte *vánhemiiddiset* *dahje* ***áhkuid*** *ja*
 can.CNG parent.PL.GA.POSS.3PL or grandmother.PL.GA and
ádjáid-easet *giela*.
 grandfather.PL.GA-POSS.3PL language.GA
 ‘[...] people are now in a situation where they do not know the language of their parents or grandparents.’

It is possible to see the absence of the possessive suffix *-easet* in *áhkuid* as one more manifestation of conjunction reduction in North Saami.

4. Discussion

4.1. Suffixes that look like words

The concrete instances of the conjunction reduction described here are, admittedly, quite rare and marginal in North Saami: For some of the examples discussed above, we are dealing with *hapax legomena* among hundreds of unreduced word pairs. However, most of my examples have been written by professional and highly qualified native-speaking writers, and it is very unlikely that even mediocre authors would resort to a typologically extraordinary conjunction reduction if it was not in harmony with the language system itself. Although the absolute number of the occurrences depicted above remains quite small, the most impressive feature of the phenomenon in North Saami is that the conjunction reduction phenomena can be observed in a number of semantically and diachronically unrelated morphemes – inflectional and derivational suffixes alike. There is obviously a tendency for these kinds of phenomena in the language, thus making it possible to regard the situation as a drift as characterized by Sapir (1921: 166): “The drift of a language is

constituted by the unconscious selection on the part of its speakers of those individual variations that are cumulative in some special direction.”

What is the special direction of North Saami, then? The conjunction reduction phenomena can be viewed from both functional and formal perspectives. It appears that the latter perspective is the most fruitful one, whereas the functional perspective has relatively little to say: The morphemes discussed above belong to the realm of inflection and derivation alike; within derivation, many suffixes modify the meaning of the stem without changing the word-class (§§ 3.8–3.11 and 3.16–3.17), but various word-class-changing morphemes behave in the same way, as shown by deverbal adjectives in *-meahttun* ‘un-V-able’ (§ 3.7) and deadjectival verbs in *-mahttit* ‘A-ify’ (§ 3.14), for example. There is no obvious semantic gain from the conjunction reduction – the only gain seems to be the omission of two unneeded syllables.

From a morphophonological perspective, the attributive forms of the denominal adjectives in *-heapme* : *-his* constitute the sole exception in my data, as the two attested phrases – *gluten- ja laktosehis* ‘gluten- and lactose-free’ and *tuollo- ja divathis* ‘duty-free and fee-free’ (§ 3.6) – are the only known instances in which the debonded suffix consists of only one syllable. While the denominal adjectives and nouns in *-laš* as well as the denominal adjectives in *-saš* have monosyllabic suffixes in the nominative singular, the attested debonding phenomena are limited to their disyllabic allomorphs triggered by either inflection (9) or further derivation (10).

On the other hand, disyllabicity is not the most dominating feature either, as it is also possible to observe trisyllabic elements that have undergone conjunction reduction. Example (13) presented the phrase *dáru- ja suomaiduhttima* in which the action nominals *dáruiduhttin* ‘Norwegianization’ and *suomaiduhttin* ‘Finnicization’ are in the genitive-accusative and the debonded element thus consists of three syllables (*-duht-ti-ma*). An additional example would be the analogous comitative in *suomai-, dáru-, ruotai- ja ruoššaiduhttimiin* ‘by Finnicization, Norwegianization, Swedification and Russification’ presented in Ylikoski (2009: 124–125).

As a matter of fact, the above examples take us even further away from a purely morphological point of view: It is remarkable that while the verbs *dáruiduhttit* ‘Norwegianize’, *suomaiduhttit* ‘Finnicize’, *ruotaiduhttit* ‘Swedify’ and *ruoššaiduhttit* ‘Russify’ consist of the ethnonyms *dárru*, *suopma*, *ruotta* and *ruošša* followed by the transformative verb suffix, it is not the morpheme *-iduhtti-* in its entirety that undergoes conjunction reduction but the underlying phonological word forms: Although the initial <i> /j/ of the transformative suffix is entirely meaningless *per se*, it remains in the syllable-final position in the sequences *dáru-*, *suomai-* and *ruotai-*. The inchoative suffix *-(iš)goahti-* behaves in the same way: As mentioned in § 3.11, the variant *-goahti-* (with further internal morphophonological changes) is attached to disyllabic verb stems and *-išgoahti-* to trisyllabic ones, the latter resulting in verbs like (*hállanit* ‘lean’ →) *hállanišgoahtit* ‘begin to lean’ and (*šleangasit* ‘rock; swing’ →) *šleangasišgoahtit* ‘begin to rock’. However, when coordinated, debonding does not affect the inchoative suffix in its entirety, but it is split into two on the first syllable border. Again, the truncated sequence *hállaniš-* is meaningless and thus ungrammatical in itself, but in *hállan-iš- ja šleangas-išgoahtá* [lean-iš- and rock-INCH.3SG] ‘(the sledge) begins to lean and rock’ it might be the only possible sequence if the phrase is to experience conjunction reduction.

Although the data presented in this study comes from literary sources, it is worthwhile to look at the debonding phenomena from the prosodic perspective. In North Saami, virtually all autochthonous members of open word-classes – nouns, verbs, adjectives and adverbs as seen in the examples of conjunction reduction – have a uniform stress pattern. The primary stress is always on the first syllable. Non-compounded word forms of four (or more) syllables have two (or more) disyllabic feet, and the initial syllables of non-initial feet receive secondary stress. In other words, all odd-number syllables except for the last syllables receive primary or secondary stress.

As for inflectional and derivational suffixes, syllabic suffixes most often fall on the third or fifth syllable, and when a suffix consists of two syllables, the first of them receives secondary stress. This in turn makes such word forms resemble compound words: *loabát-lačča-t* [Loabát-ADJ-PL] ‘persons from Loabát’ has about the same stress pattern as the compound *gávpot-dáčča-t* [city-Norwegian-PL] ‘urban Norwegians’. From this perspective, it becomes more understandable to observe conjunction reduction such as in *'guovda,geaidnu- ja 'loabát,laččat* ‘persons from Guovdageaidnu and Loabát’ and *'mánno- dahje 'vahkko,saččat* ‘monthly or weekly’ – prosodically, these phrases do not considerably differ from the compound *'gávpot- ja 'riddo- ,dáčča-t* [city and coast-Norwegian-PL] ‘urban and coastal Norwegians’ where conjunction reduction is more of a rule than an exception (cf. Ylikoski 2016: 163).

Further, inchoative verbs in *-išgoahtit* (§ 3.11) and property nouns in *-vuohta* (§ 3.17) differ from other suffixes in having the extraordinary stress pattern in which the secondary stress is on the derivational suffix regardless of the syllable count of the word. It may also be added that *-keahtes* in words like the four-syllable *'gluten,keahtes* ‘gluten-free’ (6) and the five-syllable *'reseapta,keahtes* ‘prescription-free’ seems to behave similarly. Moreover, it has been observed already by Nielsen (1926: 56–57) that there are only a few bound morphemes with the vowel *á* (/aa/ ~ /ä(ä)/) or the diphthongs *ie*, *oa* and *uo*, and his examples include *-(iš)goahti-* (§ 3.11), *-sássa* (§ 3.16) and *-vuohta* (§ 3.17). He further declares that these suffixes lie on the border of compounds, though without justifying this statement (see also Korhonen 1974: 101). The examples of conjunction reduction seen above serve as a partial justification for Nielsen’s view, and in fact, the denominal adjectival derivatives in *-naga* (§ 3.1) have experienced reanalysis as nouns via compound nouns: For example, it has been possible to reanalyze the derivation *málanaga* ‘stained with paint’ as a compound for ‘paint stain’, and such compounds have further yielded the free noun *naga* ‘stain; trace’.¹

Many of the word forms presented above are also examples of phonotactically unusual consonant combinations that occur at the border of two feet only in case of a morpheme border. According to Sammallahti (2019), these include /rv/ and /sv/ as seen in *sihkkarvuohta* ‘sureness’ and *garasvuohta* ‘hardness’ (19), /hl/ as seen in *loabátlaččat* ‘persons from Loabát’ (9), /šk/ in *šleangasišgoahtá* ‘begins to rock’ (§ 3.11) and /hm/ and /rm/ in *čilgetmeahttun* ‘unexplainable’ and *ipmirmeahttun* ‘incomprehensible’ (7).

In conclusion, virtually all of the examples of conjunction reduction presented in § 3 contain inflectional or derivational suffixes that are formally analogous to many free disyllabic word forms

of open word-classes. Table 15.1 illustrates this fact by comparing the suffixes in question with examples of phonologically similar free words.²

Table 15.1. Comparison of the suffixes undergoing conjunction reduction and formally analogous free word forms

Suffix	Comparable free word	Gloss
<i>-haga, -naga</i> (§ 3.1)	<i>daga</i>	do.IMP.2SG
<i>-keahttá</i> (§ 3.2)	<i>Heahttá</i>	(name of a village)
<i>-dettiin</i> (§ 3.3)	<i>vettiin</i>	root.fibre.COM/root.fibre.PL.LOC
<i>-keahtes</i> (§§ 3.4–3.5)	<i>čeahpes</i>	skillful.ATTR
<i>-heapme ~ -heapmi : -his</i> (§ 3.6)	<i>eapmi</i>	carpel; pistil
<i>-meahttun</i> (§ 3.7)	<i>leahttun</i>	speed.ESS
<i>-laš : -lačča-</i> (§ 3.8)	<i>dáčča</i>	Norwegian
<i>-saš : -sačča-</i> (§ 3.9)	<i>dáčča</i>	Norwegian
<i>-žagat</i> (§ 3.10)	<i>dagat</i>	do.2SG
<i>-(iš)goahtit</i> (§ 3.11)	<i>boahtit</i>	come.INF/come.1PL
<i>-(i)duhttit</i> (§ 3.12)	<i>muhttit</i>	change.INF/change.1PL
<i>-stallat</i> (§ 3.13)	<i>ballat</i>	fear.INF/fear.1PL
<i>-mahttit</i> (§ 3.14)	<i>muhttit</i>	change.INF/change.1PL
<i>-(i)d-uvvot</i> (§ 3.15)	<i>buvvot</i>	choke.INF/choke.1PL
<i>-sássa</i> (§ 3.16)	<i>lássa</i>	lock
<i>-vuohta</i> (§ 3.17)	<i>duohta</i>	true
<i>-easet</i> (§ 3.18)	<i>geaset</i>	reindeer calf or lamb born in summer

4.2. Grammaticalization theory or conspiracy theory: How can this be possible?

Norde (2009: 1) characterizes the topic of her *Degrammaticalization* as the “ugly duckling of grammaticalization studies” and presents a comprehensive overview of the development and reception of this relatively new concept. The concept of *degrammaticalization* has received criticism and skepticism ever since the term was coined by Lehmann in 1982 to refer to something that was not supposed to exist (see Lehmann 2015); for his unshaken position, see Lehmann (2015: 193–194). While many of those who do not subscribe to the existence of degrammaticalization do not usually deny the factual language history, they often understand and define both grammaticalization and the proposed idea of degrammaticalization in their own ways that make degrammaticalization appear an impossible or at least unattested phenomenon (see Norde 2009: 67ff.; Ylikoski 2016: 117–118). For some proponents of the unidirectionality hypothesis, it seems possible to ward off potential counterexamples by labeling them as ordinary analogical changes, which easily makes them appear as harmless or even banal examples of linguistic change (see Kiparsky 2012: 20ff.).

Even for those who are truly fascinated by the broad range of phenomena under the label of degrammaticalization, these phenomena have appeared as quite exceptional and difficult to generalize on. There are indeed good reasons to admit that degrammaticalization may be characterized as an ugly duckling when compared to the continuous flow of examples of mostly

unidirectional grammaticalization processes that were well known already by the greatest linguists of the 19th century (e.g., Whitney 1867: 57ff.; Paul 1880: 175ff.; cf. Itkonen 2005: 109–110). To be sure, the ugly duckling should be all the more interesting in this context. This is also the main reason for providing plenty of examples along with short notes on the history of the morphemes in question, although it may be anticipated that none of the data presented here is enough to convince those who have already rejected the possibility of degrammaticalization and debonding as its subspecies (see Ylikoski 2016: 118).

While all of the above examples come from North Saami except for one reference to South Saami (§ 3.17), it may be added that at least Lule Saami has been documented to have the expression *bielje- ja giela-dipme* [ear- and language-less] ‘deaf-mute’ (Korhonen 2007, s.v. *bielje-*), fully in line with North Saami *bealje- ja giela-heapme*. However, in the absence of comparable text corpora for the minor languages of the Saami branch, there is little evidence that other Saami languages would have experienced “degrammaticalization drifts” similar to that in North Saami. In fact, it may be added that most if not all of the examples presented above come from texts written by North Saamis living in Norway, and according to my preliminary observations they are also best accepted by such speakers, whereas they are less accepted among the one-fourth of North Saami speakers who live in Finland and Sweden.

As for the global diversity of languages, it would be daring to claim that a debonding drift like that in North Saami is not known in any other languages, but it is not unimaginable that this could indeed be the case. In January 2018, I posted a query entitled “Languages with multiple debonding of bound morphemes?” to the *Lingtyp* mailing list provided by the Association for Linguistic Typology (*Lingtyp* 2018). I received a handful of friendly comments from various parts of the world, but nearly none of those turned out to provide information about languages comparable to North Saami. However, an interesting parallel to my findings comes from West Iranian: In his paper “Debonding of inflectional morphology in Kurdish and beyond” Haig (2019) describes a number of phenomena where the bonds between old case and number markers and their bases have been loosened. This is manifested in the way the more recently grammaticalized indefiniteness and definiteness markers “have somehow intervened between inherited morphology (case and plural) and the base, thus violating the morphological integrity of the word” (Haig 2019: 119). As a result of his study, Haig concludes “that inherited inflectional morphology is not automatically doomed to erosion and loss, but may in fact extend its distributional possibilities and loosen its morphological integration with the base, a process referred to as debonding” (2019: 117).

In spite of the number of Haig’s examples, his examples come from many different West Iranian languages and none of them are examples of affixes becoming independent words. Therefore, I am content to cite my earlier stance on the extraordinary nature of North Saami:

Doyle (2002: 77–78) characterizes the degrammaticalization of the Irish *muid* ‘we’ from the first person plural verb suffix *-mid* as an outcome of “a conspiracy of syntactic and phonological factors”. In light of everything that has been said about the development of North Saami *haga* ‘without’ and *(-)naga* ‘stain; trace; stained with’ in the preceding sections, it is easy to agree with Doyle’s sentiments and conclude that in contrast to the more or less unidirectional grammaticalization theory that by no means is able to explain a large part of the ordinary morphological innovations in the language [...], the

histories of *haga* and (-)*naga* are better understood with the help of “conspiracy theories” consisting of extraordinary combinations of phonological, morphological, syntactic and semantic circumstances that have provided for multiple unusual reanalyses of earlier suffixes. (Ylikoski 2016: 164)

Other attempts to refer to such conspiracies contain vague impressionistic wordings like “paradigmatic pressure”, “system disruption”, “a rather special kick” and “some kind of powerful innovation” (Ylikoski 2016: 160–161).

At least a part of the conspiracies that have resulted in the full degrammaticalization of *haga* and *naga* seems to account for the North Saami debonding phenomena in general. Although there are little signs of other earlier suffixes having gained full independence in the language – *sássa* ‘future relative’ (§ 3.16) being a potential exception – it appears that the best available explanation lies in the interplay of the relatively fusional morphology and the existence of clearly less fusional, almost word-like bound morphemes. For the about twenty inflectional and derivational suffixes that may undergo conjunction reduction, the nearly universal common denominator for all of them is that they consist of two syllables and occur in positions where they receive secondary stress, which makes them appear very similar to the second parts of compounds.

When discussing the degrammaticalization of *haga* and *naga*, Ylikoski (2016: 161) also comments on the following claim by Viti (2015), who claims that most cases of degrammaticalization

[...] are drawn from agglutinative languages or language families, such as Finno-Ugric or, more generally, from Uralic. Often quoted examples of degrammaticalization are the cliticization of suffix *-gi* in Estonian (Nevis 1984, Nevis 1988) and the formal independence of the abessive case in Saami (Nevis [1986]; Joseph and Janda 1988: 200). (Viti 2015: 405)

In Viti’s view, North Saami *haga* would be an example of such development. However, on the contrary it must be repeated that North Saami is definitely one of the least agglutinative languages within the Uralic language family. On the other hand, this forgotten fact may actually be the main key to understanding the degrammaticalization of not only *haga* and *naga*, but possibly all of the debonding phenomena discussed in this chapter. To continue quoting Ylikoski (2016: 163), the following reasoning seems to apply to virtually all instances of conjunction reduction described in § 3:

Moreover, it seems that instead of Viti’s (2015: 406, 411) claim that morphemes like (-)*haga* and (-)*naga* are prone to be identified and separated from their bases because of the overall agglutinativity of the language, it may be precisely the relatively high degree of fusionality that makes such untypical affixes stick out as something more than mere affixes and thus open to reanalysis in potentially ambiguous sentence contexts. On the other hand, it is true that North Saami has also been agglutinative enough to develop the agglutinative morphemes that have been able to degrammaticalize. It is probably a mere coincidence that *haga* and *naga* are formally so close to each other, but it might not be a coincidence that it has not been any of the nonsyllabic, stem-changing suffixes like the illative case marker *-i /-j/* or the derivational suffix *-i /-j/* ‘-y’ as in *varrii* [blood.ILL] ‘to blood’ or *varrái* [blood.ADJ] ‘ruddy’ that have undergone gradual debonding and finally degrammaticalized into independent words. (Ylikoski 2016: 163)

It may be added that the other Uralic language mentioned by Viti, Estonian, is also far from the best representative of agglutinative languages within the family. Moreover, in addition to Estonian and North Saami, Norde's (2009: 186–227) systematic case studies on debonding consist mostly of examples from languages like Dutch, English, Irish and Swedish, and none of the better-known agglutinative languages. On the other hand, another way of looking at it can be found in Haig's (2019: 139) remark that one of the outcomes of cumulative debonding may be that a previously fusional morphology of languages like Old and Middle Iranian – or North Saami – becomes gradually more and more agglutinative.

5. Conclusion

The main point of the present study is that even though only some debonded suffixes have acquired a truly new life as new degrammaticalized words, there is a subtle tendency in North Saami to give special privileges to disyllabic morphemes whose phonological makeup is more typical of words than of non- or monosyllabic morphemes without even a secondary stress. It may be impossible to identify the first suffixes to have undergone conjunction reduction in the language, but by the 21st century the language has witnessed a wholesale debonding drift where each new instance of a debonded morpheme probably adds to the drift effect.

Acknowledgments

I wish to thank Olle Kejonen for insightful remarks on an earlier version of this chapter. This research was partially funded by the Research Council of Finland (Project 349818).

Abbreviations

ABE	abessive	INCH	inchoative
ADJ	adjective	INF	infinitive
ADV	adverb	LOC	locative
AN	action nominalization	NEG	negative
ATTR	attributive	OBL	oblique stem
CAUS	causative	PASS	passive
CMPV	comparative	PL	plural
CNG	connegative	POSS	possessive suffix
COM	comitative	PROG	progressive
COMP	complementizer	PRS	present
CVB	converb	PST	past
DPT	discourse particle	PTCP	participle
DU	dual	REC	reciprocal
ESS	essive	REFL	reflexive pronoun
FACT	factitive	REL	relative
FREQESS	frequentative-essive	SG	singular
GA	genitive-accusative	SIM	simultaneous
GEN	genitive	SUP	superlative
ILL	illative	TRANSF	transformative
IMP	imperative	TRANSL	translative (verb)

References

- Aikio 2012 = Luobbal Sámmol Sámmol Ánte (Aikio, Ante). 2012. On Finnic long vowels, Samoyed vowel sequences and Proto-Uralic *x. In Hyytiäinen, Tiina & Jalava, Lotta & Saarikivi, Janne & Sandman, Erika (eds.), *Per Urales ad Orientem: Iter polyphonicum multilingue: Festschrift tillägnad Juha Janhunen på hans sextioårsdag den 12 februari 2012*, 227–250. Société Finno-Ougrienne.
- Aikio 2022 = Luobbal Sámmol Sámmol Ánte (Aikio, Ante). 2022. Proto-Uralic. In Bakró-Nagy, Marianne & Laakso, Johanna & Skribnik, Elena (eds.), *The Oxford guide to the Uralic languages*, 3–27. OUP. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198767664.003.0001>)
- Campbell, Lyle. 2001. What's wrong with grammaticalization? *Language Sciences* 23. 113–161. ([https://doi.org/10.1016/S0388-0001\(00\)00019-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0388-0001(00)00019-X))
- Doyle, Aidan. 2002. Yesterday's affixes as today's clitics: A case-study in degrammaticalization. In Wischer, Ilse & Diewald, Gabriele (eds.), *New reflections on grammaticalization*, 67–81. Benjamins. (<https://doi.org/10.1075/tsl.49.07doy>)
- Feist, Timothy. 2015. *A grammar of Skolt Saami*. Société Finno-Ougrienne. (<https://doi.org/10.33341/sus.14>)
- Haig, Geoffrey. 2019. Debonding of inflectional morphology in Kurdish and beyond. In Gündoğdu, Songül & Öpengin, Ergin & Haig, Geoffrey & Anonby, Erik (eds.), *Current issues in Kurdish linguistics*, 117–144. Bamberg UP. (<https://doi.org/10.20378/irb-56326>)
- Hætta, Lars. 2019. Historjjá muitalusat mus Lásses, Jakoba bártnis vuoiñjalaš ja rumašlaš dilálašvuoda birra. In Oskal, Nils & Ijäs, Johanna Johansen & Bjørklund, Ivar (eds.), *Lars Hætta ja Anders Bær: Muitalusat*, 24–99. Orkana Akademisk.
- Itkonen, Esa. 2005. *Analogy as structure and process: Approaches in linguistics, cognitive psychology and philosophy of science*. Benjamins. (<https://doi.org/10.1075/hcp.14>)
- Joseph, Brian D. 2003. Morphologization from syntax. In Joseph, Brian D. & Janda, Richard D. (eds.), *Handbook of historical linguistics*, 472–492. Blackwell. (<https://doi.org/10.1002/9781405166201.ch13>)
- Joseph, Brian D. & Janda, Richard D. 1988. The how and why of diachronic morphologization and demorphologization. In Hammond, Michael & Noonan, Michael (eds.), *Theoretical morphology: Approaches in modern linguistics*, 193–210. Academic Press. (https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004454101_014)
- Kiparsky, Paul. 2012. Grammaticalization as optimization. In Jonas, Dianne & Whitman, John & Garrett, Andrew (eds.), *Grammatical change: Origins, nature, outcomes*, 14–51. OUP. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199582624.003.0002>)
- Koponen, Eino. 2022. Saami: General introduction. In Bakró-Nagy, Marianne & Laakso, Johanna & Skribnik, Elena (eds.), *The Oxford guide to the Uralic languages*, 103–112. OUP. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198767664.003.0007>)
- Korhonen, Mikko. 1974. *Die Konjugation im Lappischen: Morphologisch-historische Untersuchung*, vol. 2. Suomalais-Ugrilainen Seura.
- Korhonen, Olavi. 2007. *Báhkogirjje: Julevusámes dárrui, dáros julevusábmái. Sámiđ áhpadusguovdásj*.
- Kuokkala, Juha. 2019. Saami -(š)goahti inchoatives: Their variation, history, and suggested cognates in Veps and Mordvin. *Journal de la Société Finno-Ougrienne* 97. 153–181. (<https://doi.org/10.33340/susa.75718>)
- Lehmann, Christian. 2015. *Thoughts on grammaticalization*. 3rd edn. Language Science Press. (<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7520796>)

- Lingtyp* 2018 = Languages with multiple debonding of bound morphemes? 2018. (Discussion thread on the *Lingtyp* mailing list, January 2018.) (<http://listserv.linguistlist.org/pipermail/lingtyp/2018-January/006044.html>) (Accessed 2018-01-29.)
- NAČ 1993:34 = *Norgga almmolaš čielggadeamit: NAČ 1993:34 S: Vuoigatvuohta Finnmárkku eatnamiidda ja čáziide ja daid hálddašeapmi: Sámi vuoigatvuođalávdegotti duogášávnnas*. 1993. (https://www.regjeringen.no/se/dokumenter/nac_1993-34/id139380/) (Accessed 2025-06-26.)
- Nevis, Joel A. 1984. A non-endoclititic in Estonian. *Lingua* 64. 209–224. ([https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3841\(84\)90017-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3841(84)90017-2))
- Nevis, Joel A. 1986. Decliticization and deaffixation in Saame: Abessive *taga*. In Joseph, Brian D. (ed.), *Studies on language change*, 1–9. The Ohio State University, Department of Linguistics.
- Nevis, Joel A. 1988. On the development of the clitic postposition category in Estonian. *Finnisch-Ugrische Forschungen* 48. 171–197. (<https://doi.org/10.33339/fuf.114345>)
- Nickel, Klaus Peter & Sammallahti, Pekka. 2011. *Nordsamisk grammatikk*. Davvi Girji.
- Nielsen, Konrad. 1926. *Lærebok i lappisk*, vol. 1. Brøgger.
- Nielsen, Konrad. 1932–1962. *Lappisk ordbok*. Aschehoug.
- Norde, Muriel. 2009. *Degrammaticalization*. OUP. (<https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/97801992079923.001.0001>)
- Norde, Muriel. 2010. Degrammaticalization: Three common controversies. In Stathi, Katerina & Gehweiler, Elke & König, Ekkehard (eds.), *Grammaticalization: Current views and issues*, 123–150. Benjamins. (<https://doi.org/10.1075/slcs.119.08nor>)
- Odđa Testamenta*. 1998. Norgga Biibbalsearvi.
- Paul, Hermann. 1880. *Principien der Sprachgeschichte*. Niemeyer.
- Sammallahti, Pekka. 1998. *The Saami languages: An introduction*. Davvi Girji.
- Sammallahti, Pekka. 2019. *Láidehus sámegiela jietnadatoahpa dutkamii*. Oulu universitehta.
- Sapir, Edward. 1921. *Language: An introduction to the study of speech*. Harcourt, Brace.
- SIKOR = SIKOR. 2021. (UiT The Arctic University of Norway and the Norwegian Saami Parliament’s Saami text collection, Version 01.12.2021.) (<https://gtweb.uit.no/korp/sme/>) (Accessed 2025-06-26.)
- Viti, Carlotta. 2015. On degrammaticalization: Controversial points and possible explanations. *Folia Linguistica* 49(2). 381–419. (<https://doi.org/10.1515/flin-2015-0014>)
- Whitney, William Dwight. 1867. *Language and the study of language: Twelve lectures on the principles of linguistic science*. Scribner.
- Wiklund, K. B. 1902. Zur geschichte des urlappischen *ā* und *ū* in unbetonter silbe. *Finnisch-Ugrische Forschungen* 2. 41–71.
- Ylikoski, Jussi. 2009. *Non-finites in North Saami*. Société Finno-Ougrienne.
- Ylikoski, Jussi. 2016. Degrammaticalization in North Saami: Development of adpositions, adverbs and a free lexical noun from inflectional and derivational suffixes. *Finnisch-Ugrische Mitteilungen* 40. 113–173.

¹ While Ylikoski (2016) was not able to present any spontaneous examples of *naga* as a free noun, I wish to thank the mother of a young child (Guovdageaidnu, Norway) for reporting to me in 2017 how her child had asked the mother to come to the toilet to wipe (*sihkkut*) *daid nagaid* [that.PL.GA stain.PL.GA] ‘those stains/traces’.

² At the very final stages of the present study, Olle Kejonen kindly informed me about two more bound morphemes that may undergo conjunction reduction in North Saami. Space and time do not allow a more detailed documentation and description of the morphemes *-laga* (situative adverbs) and *-viissaid* (temporal repetitive adverbs), but phrases such as *báldda- ja badjálaga* ‘side by side and one upon the other’ and *vahkko- ja mánnoviissaid* ‘for weeks and months’ occasionally occur instead of the expected expressions *bálddalága ja badjálaga* [side.by.side and one.upon.the.other] and *vahkkoviissaid ja mánnoviissaid* [for.weeks and for.months]. Not unlike the morphemes discussed in more detail in this chapter and summarized in Table 15.1, the disyllabic morphemes *-laga* and *-viissaid* resemble free words like *daga* [do.IMP.2SG] (cf. *haga* and *naga*) and *kriissaid* [crisis.PL.GA].