Physics of accretion in the millisecond pulsar XTE J1751 *−* **305**

Marek Gierliński^{1,2,3*} and Juri Poutanen^{3*}†
¹Department of Physics, University of Durham, South Road, Durham DH1 3LE

²*Astronomical Observatory, Jagiellonian University, Orla 171, 30-244 Krakow, Poland ´*

³*Astronomy Division, PO Box 3000, FIN-90014 University of Oulu, Finland*

Accepted 2005 March 8. Received 2005 March 2; in original form 2004 November 26

ABSTRACT

We have undertaken an extensive study of X-ray data from the accreting millisecond pulsar XTE J1751 − 305 observed by *RXTE* and *XMM–Newton* during its 2002 outburst. In all aspects this source is similar to the prototypical millisecond pulsar SAX J1808.4 − 3658, except for the higher peak luminosity of 13 per cent of Eddington, and the optical depth of the hard X-ray source, which is larger by a factor \sim 2. Its broad-band X-ray spectrum can be modelled by three components. We interpret the two soft components as thermal emission from a colder (*kT* ∼ 0.6 keV) accretion disc and a hotter (∼1 keV) spot on the neutron star surface. We interpret the hard component as thermal Comptonization in plasma of temperature ∼40 keV and optical depth ∼1.5 in a slab geometry. The plasma is heated by the accretion shock as the material collimated by the magnetic field impacts on to the surface. The seed photons for Comptonization are provided by the hotspot, not by the disc. The Compton reflection is weak and the disc is probably truncated into an optically thin flow above the magnetospheric radius. Rotation of the emission region with the star creates an almost sinusoidal pulse profile with an rms amplitude of 3.3 per cent. The energy-dependent soft phase lags can be modelled by two pulsating components shifted in phase, which is naturally explained by a different character of emission of the optically thick spot and optically thin shock combined with the action of the Doppler boosting. The observed variability amplitude constrains the hotspot to lie within 3◦–4◦ of the rotational pole. We estimate the inner radius of the optically thick accreting disc to be about 40 km. In that case, the absence of emission from the antipodal spot, which can be blocked by the accretion disc, gives the inclination of the system as $\gtrsim 70°$.

Key words: accretion, accretion discs – pulsars: individual: XTE J1751 − 305 – X-rays: binaries.

1 INTRODUCTION

Millisecond radio pulsars are believed to be spun-up to their fast rotational speeds during the phase of accretion from their companion stars (for a review see for example, Bhattacharya 1995). Though fast radio pulsars have been known for over 20 years, accretionpowered millisecond pulsars had proven to be an elusive missing link for a long time. SAX J1808.4 − 3658, the first millisecond pulsar (spin period $P_s = 2.5$ ms) in a low-mass X-ray binary (LXMB) was discovered in 1998 (Wijnands & van der Klis 1998a) by the *Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer* (*RXTE*). Recent discoveries of XTE J1751 -305 ($P_s = 2.3$ ms; Markwardt et al. 2002, hereinafter M02), XTE J0902 − 314 (P_s = 5.4 ms; Galloway et al. 2002), XTE J1807 − 294 (*P*^s = 5.3 ms; Markwardt, Smith & Swank 2003), XTE J1814–338 (P_s = 3.2 ms; Markwardt & Swank 2003) and

E-mail: marek.gierlinski@durham.ac.uk (MG); juri.poutanen@oulu.fi (JP) †Corresponding Fellow: NORDITA, Copenhagen.

IGR J00291+5934 ($P_s = 1.67$ ms; Eckert et al. 2004; Markwardt, Swank & Strohmayer 2004) have brought the total number of currently known accreting millisecond pulsars to six.

Gierliński, Done & Barret (2002, hereinafter GDB02) analysed the energy spectra of SAX J1808.4 − 3658 from the 1998 outburst. The X-ray spectral shape remained almost constant throughout the outburst and could be fitted by a blackbody and thermal Comptonization. Weak Compton reflection ($\Omega/2\pi \sim 0.1$) was also detected. GDB02 interpreted the blackbody as emission from the heated spot on the neutron star, and the Comptonization taking place in an accretion column, as the material collimated by the magnetic field impacts on to the neutron star surface. The phase-resolved X-ray spectra showed that the pulsation of these two components is shifted in phase. Poutanen & Gierliński (2003, hereinafter PG03) applied the full relativistic treatment to the energy-resolved pulse profiles of SAX J1808.4 − 3658 and studied the effects of different angular distributions of the emission pattern from the blackbody and Comptonization components. They estimated the neutron star Table 1. Log of *RXTE* observations analysed in this paper. Start and end times are in the UT days of 2002 April. Exposures are in seconds and (background subtracted) count rates are in counts per second from detectors 2 and 3 of PCA and separately from cluster 0 and 1 of HEXTE. During the first observation HEXTE did not observe background.

radius to be ∼6.5 and ∼11 km for a 1.2- and 1.6-M_{\odot} neutron star, respectively.

XTE J1751 − 305 is in a very tight binary, with an orbital period of only 42 minutes and a mass function for the pulsar of 1.3×10^{-6} M_{\odot} , which gives a minimum mass for the companion of about 0.014 M_{\odot} (M02). The distance *D* to the source is not known, though it might be related to the Galactic Centre so we therefore assume $D \sim 8.5$ kpc. M02 estimated the distance to be greater than 7 kpc, using indirect arguments.

In this paper we examine the X-ray spectra of XTE J1751 -305 from its 2002 April outburst, observed by *XMM–Newton* and *RXTE*. In Section 4 we study the (phase-averaged) energy spectra, in particular the broad-band 0.7–200 keV simultaneous spectrum from both instruments. We model them by the blackbody and Comptonization from hotspot and accretion shock, respectively. We also show that another soft component, from the accretion disc, is required. In Sections 5 and 6 we study phase-resolved spectra and interpret them in terms of two independently pulsating spectral components. In Section 8 we discuss our results and derive constraints on the accretion flow geometry, which turn out to be very similar to those found for SAX J1808.4 − 3658 (GDB02).

2 O B S E RVAT I O N S

2.1 *RXTE*

RXTE observed XTE J1751 − 305 from 2002 April 3 to 2002 April 30. We reduced these data using FTOOLS version 5.3. Proportional Counter Array (PCA) units 1 and 4 were switched off for most of this period. As unit 0 lost its propane layer in 2000 May and its calibration is uncertain, we decided to use the data from units 2 and 3 only. For each of the observations with a unique identifier (obsid) we extracted energy spectra in 3–20 keV band. Analogous Crab spectra from the same period show features at a level of 1 per cent, most likely of instrumental origin. Therefore, we applied 1 per cent systematic errors in each of the PCA energy channels in addition to statistical errors.

In addition to the PCA data, we also extracted 20–200 keV High-Energy X-ray Timing Experiment (HEXTE) spectra from both detector clusters. During observations of April 3, HEXTE did not perform 'rocking' and did not record the background, so we could not use these data. Table 1 contains a log of the *RXTE* observations analysed in this paper.

XTE J1751 $-$ 305 is only 2 $^{\circ}$ away from the Galactic Centre, so its X-ray spectrum is contaminated by the diffuse Galactic ridge emission. Fortunately, *RXTE* performed several observations in the direction of XTE J1751 -305 after the outburst (see fig. 1 in M02). Between April 20 and 25, the PCA count rate remained constant and minimal (there was a small outburst later on), indicating its origin from the background. We accumulated an average PCA spectrum from this period (with an average count rate of 12.01 ± 0.04 s⁻¹ in detectors 2+3, all layers) and used it as an additional background for outburst observations. Table 1 contains PCA count rates corrected for this effect. HEXTE spectra were not affected by the diffuse emission.

We extracted phase-resolved energy spectra from the PCA event mode files (configuration E_125us_64M_0_1s) with timing resolution of 122 µs (except for the April 3 observation where we used GoodXenon mode files, with a resolution of $1 \mu s$). We generated folded light-curves in 16 phase bins, for each PCA channel, using events from all layers of units 2 and 3. We chose the beginning of the phase ($\phi = 0$) at the bin with the lowest 3–20 keV count rate. Photon arrival times were corrected for orbital movements of the pulsar and the spacecraft, using the ephemeris from M02. Background files were created from standard models, with the addition of the April 20–25 spectrum to represent the diffused emission. We also extracted power density spectra (PDS) from the same event data files, but using units 0, 2 and 3. We have created power density spectra in the (1/128)–512 Hz frequency range from averaging fast Fourier transforms over 128-s data intervals.

2.2 *XMM–Newton*

XMM–Newton observed XTE J1751 − 305 on 2002 April 7, with a total exposure of 33 ks. We reduced the data from the European Photo Imaging Camera PN (EPIC-pn) detector using SAS version 5.4.1 and following guidelines in the MPE cookbook (http://wave.xray.mpe.mpg.de/xmm/cookbook). The detector operated in timing mode, in which spatial information is compressed into one dimension. We extracted the EPIC-pn spectrum from a stripe in raw CCD coordinates RAWX (36,56), collecting single and double events only. The background was extracted from the adjacent stripe in RAWX (16,36).

All spectral analysis (both phase-resolved and phase-averaged) was done using the XSPEC 11.2 spectral package (Arnaud 1996). The error of each model parameter is given for a 90 per cent confidence interval, except for the pulse profiles in Section 6, where we used 1σ errors. The relative normalization of the PCA and HEXTE instruments is uncertain, so we allowed this to be an additional free parameter in all spectral fits.

3 OUTBURST

Fig. 1 shows the evolution of the 3–20 keV unabsorbed flux during the outburst (see also M02). The peak flux during observation 2 was 1.5×10^{-9} erg s⁻¹ cm⁻². Using a Comptonization model (see Section 4), we estimated the peak bolometric X-ray/ γ -ray flux to be 3.1×10^{-9} erg s⁻¹ cm⁻². For a distance of 8.5 kpc (assuming the source to be close to the Galactic Centre) this corresponds to a bolometric luminosity of 2.7 \times 10³⁷ erg s⁻¹, or 13 per cent of the Eddington luminosity L_{Edd} for a 1.4-M_{\odot} neutron star. This is much brighter than SAX J1808.4 − 3658, with an estimated peak bolometric luminosity of 2.2 per cent of L_{Edd} (GDB02).

The light curve of XTE J1751 -305 shows a striking similarity to that of SAX J1808.4 − 3658 (see fig. 1 in Gilfanov et al. 1998; see also M02). After the peak the flux declined exponentially, with an e-folding factor of 7.2 d (10 d in SAX J1808.4 − 3658), until it reached a break after which the flux dropped suddenly with an efolding factor of ∼0.6 d (1.3 d in SAX J1808.4 − 3658). The break in the light curve is most likely associated with the onset of the cooling wave in the accretion disc (GDB02).

Figure 1. 3–20 keV unabsorbed flux of observations 1–21 (Table 1). The solid lines correspond to $F \propto e^{-t/7.2^d}$ and $F \propto e^{-t/0.63^d}$.

4 P H A S E - AV E R AG E D E N E R G Y S P E C T R A

4.1 Physical picture and spectral models

If the neutron star magnetic field is strong enough, the accreting material will follow the magnetic field lines and form a shock close to the neutron star surface (for the accretion geometry see fig. 12 of GDB02 and Romanova et al. 2004). The shock is pinned down to the stellar surface at a luminosity of a few per cent of L_{Edd} (Basko $\&$ Sunyaev 1976; Lyubarskii & Sunyaev 1982). Gravitational energy is dissipated in the shock and is transferred from the protons to the electrons by Coulomb collisions. The main cooling mechanism is Comptonization of soft photons provided by the neutron star. The stellar surface is heated under the shock, while the hard X-rays, produced in the shock, can also irradiate the surrounding surface, so that the blackbody emission region can cover a somewhat larger area.

Such a physical picture is in agreement with observations of SAX J1808.4 − 3658 (GDB02, PG03). We presume that XTE J1751 − 305 is a similar source to SAX J1808.4 − 3658. Thus we expect emission from the hotspot on the neutron star surface, which we model as a blackbody. We also expect emission from the accretion shock, which we model by thermal Comptonization. We consider two possible geometries of the shock and hotspot, shown in Fig. 2. For the phase-averaged fits we assume that the angle between the rotation axis and the magnetic pole is small, so that the slab model of the shocked region viewed at a fixed angle is justified. We will show later in Section 8.3.4 that this assumption is feasible. In addition to the above components we might expect thermal emission from the accretion disc and Compton reflection from the disc and/or neutron star surface.

For the spectral description we use the models summarized in Table 2. Their spectral components are as follows. BBODYRAD is a single-temperature blackbody, normalized to its apparent area (at a given distance), which represents the emission from a hotspot at a neutron star surface. DISKBB is a multicolour (accretion) disc model (Mitsuda et al. 1984), normalized to the inner disc radius (at a given distance). We correct the inner disc radius for spectral hardening with the factor $f_{\text{col}} = 1.8$ (Shimura & Takahara 1995)

Figure 2. Schematic geometries of the emission region, consisting of the accretion shock above, and the hotspot on the surface of the neutron star. (a) Geometry corresponds to a small temperature gradient in the hotspot, i.e. the case where seed photons have the same temperature as the photons in the observed blackbody component (models DBTH, DBPS). (b) Geometry corresponds to a large temperature gradient where seed photons are hotter than the illuminated region of the neutron star surface around the shock, which gives rise to the observed blackbody emission (models DBTF, DBPF). The emitting region corresponds to a point where the accretion column impacts on the surface (see fig. 12 in GDB02).

and for the torque-free inner boundary condition with factor $\zeta =$ 0.37 (Gierliński et al. 1999): $R_{\text{in}} = f_{\text{col}}^2 \zeta R_{\text{in,apparent}}$. The exact value of the hardening factor is not well known, but recent numerical accretion disc simulations place it between 1.8 and 2.0, increasing with luminosity (Davis et al. 2005). Additional uncertainty comes from general and special relativistic corrections (see, for example, Cunningham 1975; Zhang, Cui & Cheng 1997). Later in this paper we discuss the effects of a disc with continuous torque through the inner radius.

THCOMP is a thermal Comptonization model, using an approximate solution of the Kompaneets (1956) equation (Zdziarski, Johnson & Magdziarz 1996). It is parametrized by the asymptotic power-law photon index Γ , electron temperature T_e and seed-photon temperature *T*seed. COMPPS is another Comptonization model (Poutanen & Svensson 1996). It finds an exact numerical solution of the Comptonization problem explicitly considering successive scattering orders. It also allows for various hot plasma geometries. For a slab geometry of the emission region, this model is parametrized by the optical depth of the scattering medium τ , electron temperature T_e , seed-photon temperature T_{seed} , and the inclination angle to the slab normal. The interstellar absorption was described by model WABS with the hydrogen column density $N_{\rm H}$ as a parameter.

4.2 *XMM–Newton*

Miller et al. (2003) successfully fitted the EPIC-pn spectrum of XTE J1751 − 305 with a simple phenomenological model of a blackbody and power law. We confirmed that this model gave a very good reduced $\chi^2/\nu = 2013/1859$, indeed. There are, however, two fundamental issues here. First, the most probable radiative process that gives rise to emission above ∼1 keV is thermal Comptonization, which can be described by a power law in a narrow energy band only. At energies around the seed-photon temperature, the Comptonization spectrum has a low-energy cut-off. Secondly, the best-fitting spectral index of the power law ($\Gamma = 1.44 \pm 0.01$) is in strong disagreement with *RXTE* data, where the spectrum is much softer, $\Gamma \sim 1.8$ –1.9 (see below).

Therefore, we tried a physically motivated model. Instead of the power law we used THCOMP, assuming that the seed photons were from the blackbody component. This model gave a rather poor fit, with $\chi^2/\nu = 2754/1859$ and broad residuals – implying the wrong shape of the continuum. In the second attempt we allowed the seed photons for Comptonization to be independent of the blackbody component. This fit was much better ($\chi^2/\nu = 2044/1858$), but the large apparent area of the blackbody, $A_{bb} \sim 1400 \,(D/8.5 \text{ kpc})^2 \text{ km}^2$, ruled out its origin from the neutron star surface. Instead, it rather originated from the accretion disc, so we replaced the blackbody

Table 3. Best-fitting parameters of the models applied to EPIC-pn data. Models are described in Table 2. Inner disc radius R_{in} and apparent area of the blackbody A_{bb} were calculated assuming a distance of 8.5 kpc. The THCOMP temperature was fixed at 30 keV.

Model	DTF	DBTH
$N_{\rm H}$ (10 ²² cm ⁻²)	$1.04^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$	$1.01_{-0.02}^{+0.01}$
$kT_{\rm disc}$ (keV)	$0.38^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$	$0.51^{+0.09}_{-0.03}$
$R_{\rm in} \sqrt{\cos i}$ (km)	$16.5^{+2.5}_{-2.6}$	$10.0^{+1.5}_{-2.2}$
$kT_{\rm bb}$ (keV)		$0.89^{+0.09}_{-0.05}$
A_{bb} (km ²)		36^{+3}_{-4}
Г	$1.90^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$	$1.72^{+0.07}_{-0.14}$
kT_{seed} (keV)	$0.68^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$	$= kT_{\text{bb}}$
χ^2/ν	2039.5/1858	2011.9/1857

by the multicolour disc (model DTF in Table 2). The results are shown in Table 3. The seed photons for Comptonization $(kT_{\text{seed}} =$ $0.68^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$ keV) were much hotter than the disc photons ($kT_{disc} =$ $0.38_{-0.02}^{+0.03}$ keV) and they might have originated from the neutron star surface (see Gierliński & Done 2002; GDB02). We tried to model the surface emission by adding a single-temperature blackbody component with its temperature tied to the seed photons (see Fig. 2a). Model DBTH improved the fit by $\Delta \chi^2 = 28$ over model DTF, with one degree of freedom less (Table 3). The apparent area of this blackbody, $A_{bb} = 36^{+3}_{-4}$ $(D/8.5 \text{ kpc})^2 \text{ km}^2$, was consistent with a hotspot on the neutron star surface.

There were no distinct features around 7 keV in the residuals, and we did not detect Compton reflection at a statistically significant level in the EPIC-pn spectrum. The best-fitting amplitude of reflection was only $\Omega/2\pi = 0.01^{+0.06}_{-0.01}$, giving a fit improvement of $\Delta \chi^2 = 1.9$ with two degrees of freedom less.

4.3 Simultaneous *XMM–Newton* **and** *RXTE*

Encouraged by the results from *XMM–Newton* we extended the observed bandwidth by adding an *RXTE* spectrum. Observation 6 from Table 1 was simultaneous with EPIC-pn data. It overlapped with a small fraction of the EPIC-pn exposure only, but there was very little spectral variability throughout this observation, so spectra from both instruments should be the same. We also checked the cross-calibration between the two instruments to look for possible response discrepancies. We fitted both spectra in the

Figure 3. EPIC-pn/PCA cross-calibration. The figure shows the residuals (data-to-model ratio) of the EPIC-pn (triangles) and PCA (squares) spectra to a power-law model. The EPIC-pn spectrum was rebinned for presentation only.

overlapping 3–10 keV band by a power law. The spectral indices were in good agreement, $\Gamma = 1.660 \pm 0.005$ and 1.667 ± 0.015 for EPIC-pn and PCA, respectively. The residuals were also quite similar (see Fig. 3), indicating nearly identical spectral shape. We decided that the broad-band analysis of the simultaneous *XMM– Newton* and *RXTE* observations was feasible. We fitted the EPICpn spectrum and PCA/HEXTE observation 6 with a sequence of models, which are summarized in Table 2. We allowed for the relative normalization of these instruments to be free and used PCA normalization for flux calculations. The relative normalizations of EPIC-pn, HEXTE clusters 0 and 1 with respect to PCA were 0.64, 0.57 and 0.74, respectively, for our best fit. The fit results are shown in Table 4 and two of the fitted spectra in Fig. 4.

First, we tested the possibility that the seed photons for Comptonization came from the accretion disc (model DTH). The model fitted the data fairly well (reduced $\chi^2/\nu = 1.15$). However, it required a very small inner radius of the disc, $R_{\text{in}}\sqrt{\cos i} = 3.6 \pm \frac{1}{2}$ 0.2(*D*/8.5kpc)km (here *i* is the disc inclination angle), smaller than the expected neutron star radius.

The model DTF, where the seed photons for Comptonization were independent of the disc temperature, gave a good fit to the EPIC-pn data in Section 4.2. We applied the same model to the broad-band spectrum (with free T_e). It improved the fit by $\Delta \chi^2 = 63.7$ (at 1983 d.o.f.) with respect to DTH. The disc temperature was much lower and its inner disc radius much larger, making it consistent with an overall picture of a millisecond accreting pulsar (GDB02) or, more generally, an atoll source in the island state (e.g. Barret 2001).

Adding the blackbody source of the seed photons (presumably neutron star surface) as an explicit component (model DBTH) improved the fit further by $\Delta \chi^2 = 53.8$ (at 1982 d.o.f.). The apparent area of the blackbody component, $A_{bb} = 49 \pm 4$ (*D*/8.5 kpc)² km², was consistent with a hotspot on the neutron star surface. However, this component did not produce enough photons for Comptonization. The Comptonization spectrum of $\Gamma \sim 1.8$ and $kT_e \sim 25$ keV requires a similar luminosity in the seed and Comptonized photons, while the observed blackbody component was ∼30 times weaker than THCOMP. This means that the majority of the seed photons were not visible, e.g. because they were covered by the Comptonizing accretion column (Fig. 2b). In fact, spectral fits with COMPPS (see below) showed that the actual area of the seed photons source greatly exceeded the expected area of the neutron star.

Therefore, we considered one more model (DBTF), which included disc emission, blackbody radiation and Comptonization of unseen seed photons of much higher temperature (see Fig. 2b). This model produced a very good fit, improving previous results by $\Delta \chi^2 = 27.3$. It gave a high seed-photon temperature of $kT_{\text{seed}} =$ 1.75 ± 0.18 keV and a much softer spectral index of $\Gamma = 1.94 \pm 0.18$ 0.05. As we show below in this section, the seed photons in this model were consistent with a hotspot on the neutron star.

Table 4. Best-fitting parameters of several models applied to EPIC-pn/PCA/HEXTE simultaneous data. The models are summarized in Table 2. Inner disc radius R_{in} and apparent area of the blackbody A_{bb} are calculated assuming a distance of 8.5 kpc. The spectrum and components of the model DBTH are shown in Fig. 4.

Model	DTH	DTF	DBTH	DBTF	DBPS	DBPF
$N_{\rm H}$ (10 ²² cm ⁻²)	$0.926_{-0.004}^{+0.005}$	$1.050^{+0.033}_{-0.019}$	$1.015_{-0.015}^{+0.020}$	$1.000^{+0.023}_{-0.011}$	$1.012_{-0.017}^{+0.018}$	$0.998^{+0.014}_{-0.013}$
kT_{disc} (keV)	1.13 ± 0.04	0.35 ± 0.02	0.46 ± 0.03	0.58 ± 0.04	$0.48 + 0.04$	0.61 ± 0.05
$R_{\rm in} \sqrt{\cos i(\rm km)}$	3.6 ± 0.2	$25.2^{+5.7}_{-2.7}$	$14.9^{+2.7}_{-1.9}$	$10.4^{+1.8}_{-1.1}$	14.0 ± 2.0	$9.8^{+1.7}_{-1.2}$
$kT_{\rm bb}$ (keV)			0.81 ± 0.03	0.95 ± 0.04	0.84 ± 0.03	1.00 ± 0.05
A_{bb} (km ²)			49 ± 4	95^{+14}_{-9}	39^{+11}_{-5}	91^{+16}_{-12}
Γ	1.78 ± 0.01	1.86 ± 0.01	1.81 ± 0.01	1.94 ± 0.05		
τ					$1.93_{-0.15}^{+0.23}$	$1.47^{+0.26}_{-0.14}$
kT_e (keV)	22^{+3}_{-2}	32^{+8}_{-5}	25^{+4}_{-3}	42^{+70}_{-10}	$29 + 4$	36^{+3}_{-5}
kT_{seed} (keV)	$= kT_{\rm disc}$	0.64 ± 0.01	$= kT_{\rm bb}$	1.75 ± 0.18	$=kT_{bb}$	$2.2^{+0.1}_{-0.3}$
A_{seed} (km ²)					790^{+50}_{-140}	20^{+17}_{-7}
χ^2/ν	2271.8/1984	2208.1/1983	2154.3/1982	2127.0/1981	2141.2/1982	2125.5/1981

Figure 4. Broad-band spectrum of XTE J1751 − 305 from EPIC-pn, PCA and HEXTE. The models are as follows: multicolour disc (green curve); singletemperature blackbody (blue curve); and thermal Comptonization of the blackbody photons (magenta curve). The dotted curves show unabsorbed spectral components. Panel (a) shows model DBTH, corresponding to the shock geometry shown in Fig. 2(a). Panel (b) shows model DBTF, with geometry as in Fig. 2(b). See Table 2 for model descriptions and Table 4 for best fitting parameters. The lower panels show the residuals of EPIC-pn (cyan), PCA (black) and HEXTE (blue).

Next, we tested how sensitive the above results were to the choice of a particular model. We replaced THCOMP by another Comptonization code, COMPPS. It computes the exact numerical solution of the radiative transfer equation for Comptonization in a given geometry and allows finding the normalization (or apparent area) of the blackbody seed photons. We chose a geometry in which a slab of hot plasma is irradiated from below by the blackbody seed photons. The parameter τ in the model is the vertical optical depth of the slab, so the actual line-of-sight optical depth depends on the inclination angle *i*. Unlike THCOMP, the unscattered seed photons transmitted through the slab are already included in the COMPPS model, so any additional blackbody component in the model corresponds to photons not entering the hot plasma. This geometry is consistent with an accretion shock above the hotspot on the neutron star surface (GDB02; PG03).

For this model we assumed that the inclination angle of the slab with respect to the observer was equal to the inclination of the system, $i = 60^\circ$. This is, certainly, an approximation, as the accretion shock rotates with the neutron star surface. However, as we show later in this paper (see Section 8.3 and Fig. 15) the magnetic inclination θ is small, \lesssim 10°, so the angle at which we see the shock doesn't vary much.

In the model DBPS, corresponding to the geometry in Fig. 2a, the temperature of the seed photons was tied to the blackbody component. The apparent area of the source of the seed photons was very large, $A_{\text{seed}} = 790^{+50}_{-140} (D/8.5 \text{ kpc})^2 \text{ km}^2$. This definitely could not be a hotspot on the surface, unless the distance to the source is less than \sim 3 kpc (but see Section 8.4).

A fit with seed photons independent of the blackbody temperature (model DBPF corresponding to a geometry in Fig. 2b) gave better χ^2 , but, more importantly, yielded a much smaller apparent area of the seed photons of 20^{+17}_{-7} $(D/8.5 \text{ kpc})^2 \text{ km}^2$. On the other hand,

the apparent area of the (independent) blackbody component was significantly larger, $A_{bb} \approx 90$ (*D*/8.5 kpc)² km².

The great advantage of the COMPPS model is that it computes an angle-dependent spectrum. For an intermediate optical depth of the slab of $\tau \sim 1.5-2.0$ the overall spectral shape of the Comptonized continuum depends only weakly on the observer's angle with respect to the slab. The main effect is the amount of unscattered seed photons seen at different angles, as it is very sensitive to the actual optical depth along the line of sight, $\tau/\cos i$. So far, we have assumed a quite large inclination angle of 60◦. To check the angular dependence we repeated the fits with models DBPS and DBPF at 30◦. The DBPS fit was dramatically worse by $\Delta \chi^2 = 75.4$. A smaller angle gave a larger amount of unscattered seed photons – not consistent with the observed spectrum. The DBPF fit, with more freedom of shaping of the low-energy part of the spectrum, gave fits worse by $\Delta \chi^2 = 7.1$ and an optical depth of $\tau = 2.54 \pm 0.15$, larger than at 60° by an expected factor of cos 30◦/cos 60◦. The actual line-of-sight optical depth to the seed photons was about 2.9, so the observed unscattered fraction of the seed photons was about 5 per cent. This explains why THCOMP, with no unscattered blackbody photons included in the model, could fit the data well. When inclination was allowed to be free, the best-fitting values were $i = 79^{+11}_{-35}$ and 65^{+10}_{-19} deg, for DBPS and DBPF, respectively.

No reflection was significantly detected in any of these models. We found only upper limits on $\Omega/2\pi$ of 0.06 and 0.41, from DBTF and DBPF, respectively. The first model incorporated reflection with a self-consistent iron line (\bar{Z} ycki, Done & Smith 1998), while the second one included an independent DISKLINE model, with one more free parameter and a less strict constraint.

The total unabsorbed bolometric flux from model DBTF was $F_{\text{bol}} = 2.9 \times 10^{-9} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, which corresponds to a luminosity $L_{\text{bol}} = 2.5 \times 10^{37} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ or 0.12 L_{Edd} for a 1.4-M_{\odot} neutron star

at a distance of 8.5 kpc. The contribution to the total luminosity from the disc and blackbody components was 9 and 13 per cent, respectively.

4.4 *RXTE* **outburst**

Finally, we analysed the *RXTE* spectra from Table 1 covering the whole outburst (observations 2–21). For spectral fitting we used some of the models from Table 2, however without the disc component (which could not be constrained by the PCA data and had little effect above 3 keV). We also fixed the absorption column at N_H = 1.0×10^{22} cm⁻².

Model DBTH gave a good total (i.e. summed over all 20 observations) of $\chi^2/\nu = 2153.7/2440$. The spectral parameters remained roughly constant throughout the outburst. In this model the soft blackbody component was very weak, its normalization (or apparent area) consistent with zero in about half of the fits and its contribution to the bolometric luminosity negligible. On the other hand its temperature was well constrained, as it was equal to the temperature of the seed photons in the Comptonized component and constrained by the low-energy cut-off in the spectrum.

When we make seed-photon temperature a free parameter (model DBTF), some of the fits become unstable, in particular the electron temperature was not constrained. We fixed $kT_e = 42 \text{ keV}$ (the value taken from the outburst average, see below). After this modification we obtain total $\chi^2/\nu = 2106.4/2240$. The results are presented in Fig. 5. The blackbody and seed-photon temperatures were slightly

Figure 5. Fitting results of the blackbody plus thermal Comptonization model (DBTF from Table 2, without disc component) to the *RXTE* spectra of the outburst. The electron temperature was fixed at 42 keV, the absorption column at 1.0×10^{22} cm⁻². Γ is the asymptotic photon spectral index, kT _{seed} (keV) is the seed-photon temperature, N _{hard} (10⁻⁹ erg s⁻¹ cm⁻²) is the normalization of the Comptonized component at 10 keV , and kT _{soft} (keV) and A_{soft} (km²) are the temperature and apparent area of the soft (blackbody) component, respectively. The fraction of Eddington luminosity, *L*bol/*L*Edd, and *A*soft are calculated for a distance of 8.5 kpc.

higher and the spectral index slightly softer in the beginning of the outburst, but apart from that the spectral shape remained amazingly constant throughout the outburst. The ratio of unabsorbed fluxes from Comptonization and blackbody radiation was ∼6.

We also fitted the average spectrum of the entire outburst (coadding observations 2–21). Model DBTF gave a very good fit, $\chi^2/\nu = 101.4/121$. The blackbody temperature was $kT_{bb} = 0.83 \pm 10^{-10}$ 0.06, seed-photon temperature $kT_{\text{seed}} = 1.46^{+0.13}_{-0.18} \text{ keV}$, spectral index $\Gamma = 1.93 \pm 0.03$ and electron temperature $kT_e = 42^{+9}_{-7}$ keV. These parameters were consistent with those obtained from the combined *XMM* and *RXTE* fits. Compton reflection was not significantly detected again: there was only an upper limit on $\Omega/2\pi < 0.15$, with a fit improvement of $\Delta \chi^2 = 2.0$ and two degrees of freedom less. This average outburst spectrum formed a base for analysis of the phase-resolved spectra in Section 6.

4.5 Summary of spectral results

In the above section we have fitted the X-ray spectrum of XTE J1751 – 305 by models consisting of emission from the accretion disc and blackbody hotspot, and optically thin Comptonization. We have considered two types of model, corresponding to the hotspot/shock geometries sketched in Fig. 2. In the first geometry the seed photons for Comptonization originated from the hotspot (DBTH and DBPS, Fig. 4a). In the second geometry the seed photons were considerably hotter than blackbody (DBTF and DBPF, Fig. 4b). The second geometry was preferred both from a statistical point of view (it gave a better fit) and from the point of view of the physical constraints, as the first geometry required the area of the seed-photon source to be larger than the neutron star surface. In the geometry of the short shock above the surface, GDB02 ruled out an origin of the seed photons from the accretion disc.

5 PULSE PROFILES

Fig. 6 shows the pulse profile of XTE J1751 − 305 accumulated over the entire outburst. It was not perfectly symmetric, a fit by a single sine function gave a rather poor $\chi^2/\nu = 28.3/13$. With the second harmonic added (its phase independent of the first one), the fit was much improved: $\chi^2/\nu = 17.3/11$. The rms amplitudes, calculated from these fits, were 3.28 ± 0.03 and 0.11 ± 0.03 per cent

Figure 6. Pulse profile of XTE J1751 − 305. The upper panel shows the folded 2–60 keV PCA light curve accumulated over the entire outburst. The errors are of the order of the symbol size. The curve represents the model consisting of two harmonics. The lower panel shows the residuals of the data minus the first and second harmonic model curve.

Figure 7. Pulse profiles (outburst averaged) in three energy bands.

Figure 8. (a) The rms amplitude of the pulse profile as a function of energy. (b) The time lag of the pulse profile versus energy, with respect to the first energy channel, 2–3.3 keV.

for the first and second harmonic, respectively, which correspond to peak-to-peak amplitudes $(F_{\text{max}} - F_{\text{min}})/(F_{\text{max}} + F_{\text{min}})$ of 4.6 and 0.15 per cent. The lower panel of Fig. 6 shows the residuals of the single-harmonic fit with the second harmonic function overplotted.

We investigated the energy dependence of the pulse profile. Fig. 7 shows the outburst-averaged pulse profile in three energy bands. The pulse amplitude decreased and its profile shifted towards earlier phase with increasing energy. This effect can be clearly seen in Fig. 8, where we calculated the amplitude and phase lag in each of the PCA energy channels by fitting their pulse profiles by a sine function. Fig. 8(a) shows the dependence of the pulse rms amplitude on energy, which decreased from around 3.3 per cent at 2–10 keV to less than 2.5 per cent at 20 keV. The absolute value of the time lag with respect to the energy channel 2–3.3 keV increased with energy up to about 120 µs at 10 keV, above which it seemingly saturated (Fig. 8b). The negative time lag means that the hard photons arrived earlier than the soft photons. This behaviour was very similar to the other millisecond pulsars SAX J1808.4 − 3658 (Cui, Morgan &

Titarchuk 1998; GDB02), XTE J0929 − 314 (Galloway et al. 2002) and IGR J00291+5934 (Galloway et al. 2005).

6 PHASE-RESOLVED ENERGY SPECTRA

The photon statistics in the phase-resolved spectra was very limited, as each of the phase bins contained only a sixteenth part of the total counts. This made spectral fitting of individual observations difficult. However, as we have shown in the previous section, the spectral shape remained almost constant throughout the outburst, so analysis of the outburst-averaged data was feasible. Thus, we fitted the average phase-resolved spectrum in each of the phase bins by the models developed earlier in this paper.

The best physically motivated models for the broad-band data were DBTF and DBPF, from which we chose DBTF as it is much faster to compute. We simplified this model by removing the disc component, which is not constrained by the PCA-only data. We fixed $N_H = 1.0 \times 10^{22}$ cm⁻² and $kT_e = 42$ keV. This, however, proved to be problematic. Though the total χ^2/v (i.e. summed over all 16 phase bins) was very good (407/672), there was a strong correlation between the spectral index and seed-photon temperature in the limited PCA bandpass. When both parameters were allowed to be free, the fits tended to give very low T_{seed} , hard Γ and much higher T_{soft} than in the broad-band fits. These results were inconsistent not only with the EPIC-pn data, but also with the PCA/HEXTE phase- and outburstaveraged spectrum. Therefore, we forced a softer spectral index, and fixed $\Gamma = 1.93$ – the value obtained from the PCA/HEXTE outburst-average fit (Section 4.4). This gave worse overall $\chi^2/\nu =$ 480/688, but the fitting parameters were consistent with broad-band fits.

We followed GDB02 in the fitting procedure to eliminate spectral parameters that did not pulsate significantly, i.e. for each the intrinsic variance (equation 1 in GDB02) was zero. In each step of the fitting procedure we fixed one of the non-pulsating parameters at its mean value from the previous fit, until we ended up with just two pulsating parameters: normalization of the blackbody (its apparent area) and normalization of THCOMP. The total χ^2/ν was 501/720. The result is presented in Fig. 9. The normalization of the Comptonized component can be well fitted by the profile

 $N_{\text{hard}}(\phi) = 0.41 + 0.017 \sin[2\pi(\phi - 0.21)] 10^{-9} \text{ ergs}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2},$

where ϕ is the phase. The blackbody apparent area can be described by

$$
A_{\text{soft}}(\phi) = 101 + 6.4 \sin[2\pi(\phi - 0.30)] \text{ km}^2.
$$

The intrinsic variance of N_{hard} and A_{soft} was 3.1 \pm 0.1 and 4.4 \pm 0.4 per cent, respectively. The second harmonic was not significantly present in any of these profiles, with upper limits of 8 and 12 per cent of the first harmonic, in N_{hard} and A_{soft} , respectively.

Next, we checked if the spectral variability with phase can be reproduced not by two independently pulsating components, but by a change in the spectral index of Comptonization. We modified the above spectral fits, starting with the model where Γ was free but the seed-photon temperature was fixed at $kT_{\text{seed}} = 1.46 \text{ keV}$ (Section 4.4). In the last-but-one step three parameters were allowed to vary: A_{soft} , N_{hard} and Γ . Both normalizations pulsated with nonzero intrinsic rms, while the intrinsic rms of Γ was zero. When we fixed A_{soft} and allowed only N_{hard} and Γ to vary, we obtained the overall fit with $\chi^2/\nu = 592/720$, much worse than in the previous case (see Fig. 10). Clearly, the model with two components pulsating independently was preferred over the pulsating spectral index.

Figure 9. Pulsation in the model consisting of a blackbody and Comptonization of the seed photons independent of this blackbody. Only normalizations of both components were free during the fits. This model with total $\chi^2/\nu = 501/688$ is preferred over the pulsating index model from Fig. 10.

Figure 10. Pulsation in the model consisting of a blackbody and Comptonization of the seed photons independent of this blackbody. Only normalization of the hard component and its spectral index were free during the fits. This model gave a worse fit, $\chi^2/\nu = 592/720$, than the two-pulsating-components model in Fig. 9.

In reality, these two approaches may not be separated. In Comptonization models, the angular distribution of the escaping radiation depends on the scattering order (see, for example, Sunyaev & Titarchuk 1985; Viironen & Poutanen 2004, and Fig. 16). Thus the spectral index of Comptonized radiation is a weak function of the viewing angle; therefore, a slab of Comptonizing plasma on the surface of the spinning star can produce a spectrum with pulsating spectral index (as in the second approach). At low energies, one expects a contribution from the blackbody seed photons, which, being a strong function of the inclination $\exp(-\tau/\cos i)$, can give rise to pulsations of relative normalizations of the soft and hard spectral component (as in the first approach). To check this, we have fitted the phase-resolved spectra with the COMPPS model, which produces an angle-dependent spectrum, where two parameters, inclination and normalization, were allowed to vary. The fits turned out to be rather unstable, producing multiple minima in χ^2 for small and large inclinations. The high-angle solution yields a mean slab inclination of about 68◦ and amplitude of only about 2◦. Such a small variation of *i* would be possible if the shock (and magnetic pole) were almost perfectly aligned with the rotational pole of the star.

6.1 Summary of phase-resolved results

In the section above we have applied two distinctly different approaches to the phase-resolved spectra. In the first approach there were two components of fixed spectral shape (blackbody and Comptonization), varying independently with phase. In the second approach the spectral slope of the hard component (Comptonization) varied as a function of phase. The crucial common feature of these two models was the lag in pulsation between softer and harder energies. This manifested itself as energy-dependent time lags (Fig. 8b) where the hard photons arrive earlier than the soft photons. The model with two independently varying components was preferred over the varying index. In reality, both models can operate together.

7 POWER DENSITY SPECTRA

Multiple Lorentzian profiles can give a good description of the power density spectra (PDS) of black hole (e.g. Nowak 2000) and neutron star binaries (e.g. van Straaten et al. 2002). We followed this approach and fitted the PDS of all observations from Table 1 by a model consisting of up to three Lorentzians. To avoid the uncertainties

Figure 11. Power density spectrum of observation 2 from Table 1 fitted by a multi-Lorentzian model.

Figure 12. Evolution of characteristic frequencies in the PDS throughout the outburst. The open squares correspond to the width of the low-frequency zero-centred Lorentzian v_{LF} . The filled squares describe the QPO frequency, $ν$ OPO.

of the high-frequency part of the spectrum we limited the fit to a frequency band of 0.01–100Hz. An example of the PDS with the best-fitting model is presented in Fig. 11.

The low- and high-frequency Lorentzians describing the broad noise components were zero frequency-centred. The mid-frequency Lorentzian function had its centre frequency free. The characteristic frequency (width) of the low-frequency Lorentzian, v_{LF} , can be attributed to the low-frequency break in the PDS. The centre frequency of the mid-frequency Lorentzian, v_{QPO} , corresponds to the quasi-periodic oscillation (QPO) at 2–6 Hz. Evolution of these two frequencies throughout the outburst is shown in Fig. 12.

8 DISCUSSION

8.1 Comparison with SAX J1808.4 − **3658**

GDB02 built a physically-motivated model of another millisecond pulsar, SAX J1808.4 − 3658, analysing its phase-averaged and phase-resolved X-ray spectra. According to this model the accretion disc is disrupted at some distance from the neutron star by its magnetic field, and collimated towards the poles to create an accretion column impacting on to the surface. The material in the column is heated by a shock to temperatures of ∼40 keV. The hotspot on the surface with temperature ≤ 1 keV provides seed photons for Comptonization in the hot plasma. Owing to a different character of emission from the optically thick spot and optically thin shock, there is a phase shift between the soft and hard photons. PG03 extended this model to incorporate relativistic effects and different angular distribution of emitted radiation from the hotspot and the shock. Indeed, they showed that the emission patterns expected from the optically thick blackbody and optically thin Comptonization can explain the observed phase-resolved spectra.

The X-ray properties of XTE J1751 $-$ 305 are remarkably similar to those of SAX J1808.4 − 3658. The outburst light curve has a similar exponentially decayed profile (Gilfanov et al. 1998) with a break or re-flare (Shahbaz, Charles & King 1998) about 13 d into the outburst. The 3–200 keV spectral shape, entirely typical of an atoll source in the hard/island state (e.g. Barret & Vedrenne 1994; Gierliński & Done 2002), is very similar to SAX J1808.4 $-$ 3658, and likewise almost constant during the outburst (Gilfanov et al. 1998; GDB02). Both sources showed coherent pulsations at around 400 Hz, with similar rms amplitude of a few per cent (Wijnands & van der Klis 1998a) and similar energy-dependent soft time lags (Cui et al. 1998). The power density spectra below 100 Hz have similar shape and frequencies of the QPO and break in the spectra correlated in a similar way (Wijnands & van der Klis 1998b).

The peak outburst luminosity of XTE J1751 $-$ 305 was about an order of magnitude greater than in SAX J1808.4 − 3658, but the distance to the former source is uncertain (see discussion in Section 8.4).

The main difference in spectra between SAX J1808.4 − 3658 and XTE J1751 − 305 was the weaker blackbody component in the latter source. This can be easily explained by the larger optical depth of the hot plasma if the blackbody hotspot is covered by the Comptonizing shock. As GDB02 used models in different plasma geometry than in this paper, it was difficult to compare them directly. Therefore, we have fitted the outburst average PCA/HEXTE spectrum of each source with the same model consisting of a blackbody and its Comptonization (COMPPS) in slab geometry, inclined at 60◦ (corresponding to the DBPS model without the disc). We found kT_e = 60^{+7}_{-9} keV and $\tau = 0.88^{+0.10}_{-0.04}$ for SAX J1808.4 – 3658 and $kT_e =$ 33^{+3}_{-2} keV and $\tau = 1.7 \pm 0.1$ for XTE J1751 – 305. Taking into account the inclination of the slab, the line-of-sight optical depths were 1.8 and 3.4, and the amounts of unscattered seed photons reaching the observer were 17 and 3 per cent, respectively. One can note here that the product τT_e is almost the same in the two sources. This is natural in two-phase models (cool neutron star plus a hot shock above), where the temperature depends on the optical depth, because of the energy balance constraints (see, for example, Haardt & Maraschi 1993; Stern et al. 1995; Poutanen & Svensson 1996; Malzac, Beloborodov & Poutanen 2001).

The pulsation of the soft component in XTE J1751 -305 was significantly smaller (intrinsic variance 4.4 per cent) than in SAX J1808.4 − 3658 (18.1 per cent), though we must stress that different models were used to estimate these numbers. The pulse profile of the hard component was less skewed than in SAX J1808.4 − 3658. The upper limit on the second harmonic was 8 per cent of the first harmonic, while SAX J1808.4 − 3658 required the second harmonic at 18 per cent level. The relative weakness of the second harmonic in XTE J1751 − 305 is expected, since the ratio of the amplitudes of the second to the first harmonic is proportional to the product $\sin i \sin \theta$ (Poutanen 2004), where *i* is the inclination of the rotational axis and θ is the co-latitude of the hotspot. At the same time, the variability amplitude is also proportional to the same product (see equation 8 below). Thus, the smaller the observed variability amplitude, the closer is the profile to a sinusoid.

Phase lags between the soft and hard photons were also smaller in XTE J1751 -305 (see Fig. 8b in this paper and fig. 4 in Cui et al. 1998). In both sources the time lags are negative, i.e. hard X-rays arrive before soft X-rays. The energy dependence was also similar. The absolute value of phase lags increased with energy up to ∼10 keV, above which they saturate at different levels: ∼200 µs (8 per cent of the pulse period) for SAX J1808.4 − 3658 and \sim 100 µs (4 per cent) for XTE J1751 $-$ 305. Smaller values of the phase lags can result from a weaker soft component – the blackbody has a very different radiation pattern from the Comptonized emission resulting in a different pulse profile (see Viironen & Poutanen 2004).

There was no Compton reflection significantly detected in any of the models used in this paper, while GDB02 reported weak ($\Omega/2\pi$ \sim 0.1) but statistically significant reflection in SAX J1808.4 − 3658. On the other hand, the upper limit on the reflection amplitude from *RXTE* data only (as used by GDB02) was 0.15, making it consistent with SAX J1808.4 – 3658.

8.2 Spectral components

8.2.1 Origin of the soft components

The best-fitting model to the broad-band data required three components (Fig. 4): two soft components ('blackbodies') and the hard one ('power law'). We interpreted them as emission from the accretion disc (low-temperature soft component), the hotspot on the neutron star surface (high-temperature soft component) and Comptonization in the shock above the stellar surface (the hard component).

The simplest argument for this interpretation of soft components came from their apparent area. We can easily compare them directly by replacing the disc component in the DBTF model with another single-temperature blackbody, so the model would consist of two blackbodies and Comptonization. We fitted this model to the broadband spectrum and obtained a good fit. As a result, the apparent area of the low-temperature blackbody was ∼10 times larger than the hotter one.

Furthermore, we would not expect any pulsation from the disc, as it rotates independently of the neutron star. Since the reflection is low, irradiation of the disc by the spinning spot/shock is negligible. The soft component in the PCA band (the hotter soft component from Fig. 4) showed clear pulsation with about 4 per cent rms, so it did not originate from the disc. We can also rephrase this argument in a model-independent way: if the disc emission had strongly contributed to the PCA spectrum, we would see a drop in the pulse rms of soft X-rays, but this was not the case (Fig. 8a); therefore, the disc emission must be weak above 3 keV, and the observed hotter blackbody comes, rather, from the neutron star.

8.2.2 Origin of the hard X-ray emission

Since the hard X-ray emission is pulsed, a fraction of it *must* originate from regions confined by the magnetic field. The most obvious source of hard X-rays is the place where material collimated by the magnetic fields impacts on to the surface (Basko & Sunyaev 1976). However, the pulsed emission can also be released in the magnetosphere further away from the surface (e.g. Aly & Kuijpers 1990).

In addition, an unknown fraction of the X-rays can come from the corona above the accretion disc (Galeev, Rosner & Vaiana 1979).

The X-ray spectrum of XTE J1751 -305 was fairly constant as a function of pulse phase and our best-fitting model consisted of two components of constant spectral shape pulsating independently. This favours geometries where all of the emission comes from the same source, as opposed to the sum of separate constant and pulsed components. The idea of the bulk of emission originating from polar caps is also supported by the observed broadening of the pulse peak in the PDS in SAX J1808.4 − 3658 due to modulation of the aperiodic variability by the harmonic spin period (Menna et al. 2003). We cannot yet confirm this result for XTE J1751 − 305, but the analogy between the two sources makes a strong argument for the emission predominantly coming from the short, heated shock in the polar region.

The constancy of the spectral slope during the whole outburst can be used as an argument that the emission region geometry does not vary much with the accretion rate. If the energy dissipation takes place in a hot shock, while the cooling of the electrons is determined by the reprocessing of the hard X-ray radiation at the neutron star surface (two-phase model, Haardt & Maraschi 1993; Stern et al. 1995; Poutanen & Svensson 1996; Malzac et al. 2001), the spectral slope is determined by the energy balance in the hot phase and, therefore, by the geometry.

Our spectral models in Section 4.3 were consistent with a slab geometry. For the two models with COMPPS we assumed a slab of hot plasma irradiated from below by a blackbody. The seed-photon area can be determined from the Comptonization theory because the amplitude of the Comptonized component depends linearly on the seed-photon flux. In model DBPS (see Table 4) the seed photons came from the blackbody component of the spectrum, with a temperature of ∼0.8 keV. This would correspond to the emission region geometry depicted in Fig. 2a. However, the area of the seed photons in this model, i.e. the spot under the slab, was very large, A_{seed} ∼ 800 km² for a distance of 8.5 kpc (see discussion of distance in Section 8.4). In the best-fitting DBPF model, the seed photons were independent of the blackbody component. This could correspond to a spot with a hotter centre and cooler edges (Fig. 2b). The central part of the spot with a temperature of ∼2 keV was covered by the Comptonizing shock and was not visible directly. This constituted the main source of the seed photons. The cooler (∼1 keV) outer part of the spot could be seen directly. The apparent area of the inner and outer spot were $A \sim 20$ and ~ 90 km², respectively, assuming a distance of 8.5 kpc.

8.3 Geometry

8.3.1 Inner radius of the accretion disc

The spectral models from Section 4.3 predicted the disc to be truncated fairly close to the neutron star, at $R_{\text{in}}\sqrt{\cos i} \gtrsim 10 \text{ km}$. Our preferred model DBPF yielded $R_{\text{in}} \approx 14$ km for an inclination of 60◦. The inner disc radii from Table 4 were calculated assuming the torque-free inner boundary condition, so the disc temperature was

$$
T_{\rm eff}^4 \propto R^{-3} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{R_{\rm in}}{R}} \right). \tag{1}
$$

The optically thick disc might be truncated into an inner hot flow, as has been suggested for black holes (e.g. Shapiro, Lightman & Eardley 1976; Esin, McClintock & Narayan 1997), or disrupted by the star's magnetic field. In either case it is possible that

Figure 13. Trajectory of a photon in the gravitational field of a neutron star. Photons emitted at angle $\alpha > \alpha_c$ relative to the stellar normal cross the disc plane.

the torque is retained through the transition region (e.g. Agol & Krolik 2000). Dropping the inner boundary condition term from equation (1) would have increased the derived R_{in} by a factor of ≈2.7 (Gierliński et al. 1999), in which case DBPF would have given $R_{\rm in} \approx 40$ km.

Another important factor is the uncertainty in the distance to XTE J1751 $-$ 305. The above values of R_{in} were calculated for a distance of $D = 8.5$ kpc. If the source were at 3 kpc (see Section 8.4), we would have $R_{\text{in}} \approx 5$ and 13 km from the DBPF model with torquefree and torqued boundary conditions, respectively.

We can estimate the inner disc radius independently from the observed amplitude of reflection. Let us assume that the emission originates from a small spot close to the rotational pole of the neutron star. (These assumptions give a lower limit on the reflection amplitude.) Because of the light bending, a photon emitted at an angle α relative to the rotation axis travels to infinity along the trajectory that makes an angle ψ with the axis (see Fig. 13). The relation between α and ψ is given by an elliptical integral (Pechenick, Ftaclas & Cohen 1983). At small α , photons propagate in the upper hemisphere never crossing the disc plane. A photon emitted at a critical angle α_c flies parallel to the disc surface. A simple estimate for this angle can be obtained using the Beloborodov (2002, hereinafter B02) approximation for light bending:

$$
\cos \alpha = R_s/R_* + (1 - R_s/R_*) \cos \psi, \tag{2}
$$

where R_* is the stellar radius and $R_s \equiv 2GM/c^2$ is the Schwarzschild radius for a star of mass *M*. Substituting $\psi = \pi/2$, we immediately get cos $\alpha_c = R_s/R_*$. Photons, emitted at an angle α satisfying condition $0 < \cos \alpha < R_s/R_*$, will cross the disc plane at a radius given by an approximate expression for the photon trajectory (B02):

$$
\frac{R_{\text{disc}}(\alpha)}{R_{\text{s}}} \approx \left[\frac{(1 - \cos \psi')^2}{4(1 + \cos \psi')^2} + \frac{b^2}{\sin^2 \psi'} \right]^{1/2} - \frac{1 - \cos \psi'}{2(1 + \cos \psi')} , \quad (3)
$$

where the impact parameter $b = R_* \sin \alpha / \sqrt{1 - R_s / R_*}, \psi' = \psi - \frac{1}{2}$ $\pi/2$ and ψ is related to α via equation (2). The smallest radius where photon trajectories can cross the disc is obtained by substituting $\alpha = \pi/2$, $\sin \psi' = -1/(R_*/R_s - 1)$ and $b = R_*/\sqrt{1 - R_s/R_*}$ into equation (3).

We can now compute the reflection amplitude as a function of the inner disc radius. Let us assume for simplicity that radiation from the spot has a constant specific intensity $I(\alpha) = I_0$ =const (as for the blackbody emission). We can compute the luminosity (from the unit area) escaping to infinity as

$$
L_{\text{out}} = 2\pi \int_0^{\alpha_{\text{c}}} I_0 \cos \alpha \, \sin \alpha \, \mathrm{d}\alpha = \left[1 - \left(\frac{R_{\text{s}}}{R_{\ast}} \right)^2 \right] \frac{I_0}{2}.
$$
 (4)

If the inner disc radius is larger than $R_{\text{disc}}(\pi/2)$, we reverse relation (3) to find the emission angle α_{in} at which a photon should be emitted to cross the disc at radius R_{in} . The reflection luminosity is then

$$
L_{\text{refl}} = 2\pi \int_{\alpha_c}^{\alpha_{\text{in}}} I_0 \cos \alpha \, \sin \alpha \, \text{d}\alpha = \left(\cos^2 \alpha_c - \cos^2 \alpha_{\text{in}} \right) \frac{I_0}{2}. \quad (5)
$$

If $R_{\text{in}} < R_{\text{disc}}(\pi/2)$, the reflection luminosity is maximal $L_{\text{refl,max}} =$ $(R_s/R_*)^2 I_0/2.$

In order to estimate the reflection amplitude, we need to specify the angular dependence of the observed escaping and reflected luminosities. The gravitational redshift affects both luminosities the same way and thus can be neglected. The escaping luminosity depends on the inclination angle as (see equation 2) $L_{out}(i) \propto R_s/R_*$ + $(1 - R_s/R_*) \cos i$, where the normalization factor is found from the condition $L_{\text{out}} = \int_0^1 L_{\text{out}}(i) d(\cos i)$. We can assume that the reflected luminosity is proportional to the disc area projected on the sky: $L_{refl}(i) = L_{refl}2 \cos i$. Thus the reflection amplitude is:

$$
\frac{\Omega}{2\pi} = \frac{L_{\text{refl}}}{L_{\text{out}}} \frac{(1 + R_s/R_*) \cos i}{R_s/R_* + (1 - R_s/R_*) \cos i}.
$$
(6)

It is plotted in Fig. 14 for different stellar compactnesses and inclination angles. Because the observed upper limit on the reflection amplitude is $\Omega/2\pi < 0.07$ (see Section 4.2), the inner disc cannot be closer than about 90 km for inclination smaller than 60◦. At

Figure 14. Reflection amplitude as a function of the inner disc radius. The source of photons is a small spot at the rotational pole of the neutron star. The intrinsic radiation pattern is assumed to be $I(\mu)$ =const (as for blackbody emission). Dotted curves correspond to $R_* = 2R_s$, solid curves to $R_* = 2.5R_s$ and dashed curves to $R_* = 3R_s$. The upper curves are for the inclination $i = 30^\circ$, middle ones for $i = 60^\circ$, and the lower curves are for $i = 80^\circ$. A neutron star mass of 1.4 M_{\odot} is assumed.

 $i = 80°$, constraints become much weaker and depend on stellar compactness. If stellar radius $R_* < 2.5 R_s = 10.5$ km (a neutron star mass of 1.4 M_{\odot} was assumed), then $R_{\rm in} > 40$ km, but if $R_* = 3R_{\rm s}$ reflection is smaller (since bending is weaker) and any inner radius would satisfy these constraints.

Menna et al. (2003) estimated the magnetospheric radius for SAX J1808.4 − 3658 to be \sim 17 km and argued that the accretion disc is disrupted at this radius; van Straaten, van der Klis & Wijnands (2004) analysed QPO frequency correlations in SAX J1808.4 – 3658 and found that the upper-kilohertz QPO has a frequency that is by a factor ∼1.5 lower when compared to other atoll sources, while low-frequency QPOs were comparable. They suggested that the stronger magnetic field of SAX J1808.4 − 3658 moved the inner edge of the disc further away, and decreased the Keplerian frequency, responsible for the upper-kilohertz QPO, while low-frequency QPOs were formed further out, and were not affected. There was no similar frequency shift in XTE J1751 − 305 (van Straaten et al. 2004), suggesting weaker magnetic field and smaller disruption radius than the aforementioned 17 km.

Our results about the inner disc radius are not conclusive. The direct disc emission observed in the broad-band spectrum corresponded to the disc truncated close to the neutron star. This result depended on the assumed disc model and a radius of ∼40 km was possible for the disc without the torque-free inner boundary condition. Similar or larger radius was estimated from the rather small amount of Compton reflection from the disc. If the inner disc radius had been larger than the magnetospheric radius, it would have been truncated into an optically thin hot inner flow, similarly to the low/hard state of black holes (Shapiro et al. 1976; Esin et al. 1997). We would like to present an argument in favour of this scenario.

The low-frequency QPO and a break in the power spectrum are likely to be related to the truncation radius of the disc. The shape of the low-frequency power spectrum and frequency correlations are very similar in black holes and neutron stars (e.g. Wijnands & van der Klis 1999), so their origin should be independent of the neutron star surface and magnetic field. The lack of correlation between the break/QPO frequency and the luminosity (see Figs 1 and 12) supports this idea. Indeed, if the disc were truncated at the magnetospheric radius, we would expect its inner radius to be given by $R_{\text{in}} = R_{\text{magn}} \propto L^{-2/7}$, where *L* is the luminosity (e.g. Hayakawa 1985). Let us assume that the low-frequency QPO is connected to the precession time-scale of a vertical perturbation in the disc (Stella & Vietri 1998; Psaltis & Norman 2000). This yields $v_{QPO} \propto$ $R_{\text{in}}^{-3/2}$, so we should expect $v_{\text{QPO}} \propto L^{3/7}$. Any other link between the QPO frequency and the truncation radius would have resulted in correlation between v_{OPO} and *L*. This has not been observed. Therefore the optically thick disc was probably truncated above the magnetospheric radius by a mechanism independent of the magnetic field. This could be the same mechanism truncating the disc of the low/hard state in black hole binaries, for example evaporation (see Różańska & Czerny 2000). The low-frequency part of the PDS is formed in this region. Below the truncation radius the disc is replaced by a hot, optically thin inner flow, which is eventually disrupted by the magnetic field at or near the magnetospheric radius.

8.3.2 Spot size

Let us estimate the physical size of the emission region using the model DBPF (hot shocked region surrounded by a cooler blackbody edges, see Fig. 2b) which seems physically more realistic. The inner spot area of $A \sim 20 \text{ km}^2$ corresponds to the 'observed' at the infinity

radius of $R_{\infty} = 2.5$ km. These estimations, however, do not take colour hardening and gravitational redshift and light bending into account.

The observed blackbody (i.e. colour) temperature is different from the effective temperature by a colour hardening factor, $f_{\text{col}} \equiv$ $T_{\text{col}}/T_{\text{eff}}$. For the hydrogen and helium atmospheres of weakly magnetized neutron stars, the exact value of the colour correction is not very well known, but it has been estimated to be *f* col ∼ 1.3 (Lewin, van Paradijs & Tamm 1993; Zavlin, Pavlov & Shibanov 1996; Madej, Joss & Różańska 2004). This would result in a blueshift of the blackbody spectrum, and the effective radius of the blackbody would be $R_{\text{spot,eff}} = f_{\text{col}}^2 R_{\infty}$. Spectral hardening results from the fact that the absorption opacity rapidly decreases with increasing photon energy and one sees deeper and hotter layers at high energies. The situation in accreting pulsars can be just the opposite (see, for example, Deufel, Dullemond & Spruit 2001): the hot layers are at the top and low-energy photons having larger absorption cross-section come from the hotter outer layers. It is possible that the resulting colour correction could be negligible, e.g. $f_{\text{col}} \sim 1$.

Implementing gravitational corrections requires quite complicated numerical treatment. However, in the case when the (small) spot is always visible (which is the case for XTE J $1751 - 305$, because we observe almost sinusoidal oscillations), one can obtain a simple relation between the observed size at infinity and the physical size at the neutron star surface: $R_{\text{spot}} = R_{\infty} Q^{-1/2}$ (B02; PG03), where $Q = R_s/R_* + (1 - R_s/R_*) \cos i \cos \theta$, and θ is the colatitude of the spot centre (magnetic inclination). The smallest possible radius is obtained for $i = \theta = 0$; then $Q = 1$ and $R_{\text{spot}} = R_{\infty}$. This corresponds to the minimum angular size of the spot of $\rho =$ $12°(14°)$ for $R_* = 3R_s(2.5R_s)$. A reasonable upper limit can be obtained taking $i = 90°$ and $\theta = 0$ (or $i = 0$ and $\theta = 90°$); now *Q* $= R_s/R_*$ and $R_{\text{spot}} = R_{\infty} \sqrt{R_*/R_s}$. (Note that for the isotropically emitting star the stellar radius is related to the observed radius by $R_* = R_{\infty} \sqrt{1 - R_s/R_*}$, and is *smaller* than that.)

8.3.3 Constraints from the absence of the secondary maximum

The pulse profile of XTE J1751 -305 is almost sinusoidal. This means that we see the emission from only one polar cap, as an antipodal spot would have created a secondary maximum (or a plateau) in the profile (e.g. B02; Viironen & Poutanen 2004). At the same time, the primary spot should never be eclipsed by the star. The second shock can be obscured by the star or by the optically thick accretion disc. Let us consider first the possibility of obscuration by the star.

Obscuration by the star. The condition of obscuration of the secondary spot (class I according to the classification of B02) is $\cos \alpha_s$ < 0. Using the analytical formula (2) for light bending, where $\psi = \psi_s$ is now the angle between the direction to the observer and the normal to the secondary spot, cos $\psi_s = -(\cos i \cos \theta + \sin i \sin \theta \cos \phi)$ and ϕ is the pulsar phase, we get cos ($i + \theta$) > κ , where $\kappa \equiv R_s/(R_* -$ *R*_s). For a more compact star, $R_* \lesssim 2R_s$ (or about 1.8 R_s if the exact bending formula is used), such a region does not exist at all.

In Fig. 15 we show an allowed region at the $i-\theta$ plane where obscuration is possible. We restrict the inclination of the system to lie in the interval 30 \degree < i < 85 \degree , as argued by M02. The hatched lower region corresponds to the allowed space of parameters*i*–θ. One sees that the allowed region is rather small. It becomes even smaller if we account for the finite spot size. The obscuration condition is then $\cos(i + \theta + \rho) > \kappa$, and the boundary of the region shifts further to the left by an angle ρ (i.e. by ~15°), further diminishing the allowed

Figure 15. Constraints on the inclination and magnetic inclination of the system. The lines $i = 30^\circ$ and $i = 85^\circ$ are the lower and upper limits on the inclination of the system (M02). A small, $\rho = 0$, antipodal spot is not visible in the region $cos(i + \theta) > \kappa$ (below the corresponding line). A finite ρ shifts this line further to the left by angle ρ . The primary spot is eclipsed sometimes when $cos(i + \theta) < -\kappa$ (to the right from the corresponding line), and is therefore forbidden. The upper hatched area gives the allowed regions for parameters i and θ , where the antipodal spot is blocked by the disc of inner radius $R_{in} = 40$ km, and the primary spot is visible all the time. Contours of constant variability amplitude $A = 0.045$ are shown for a blackbody spot (dashed curve, computed using equation 8) and for the spot radiation pattern corresponding to the 5th scattering order in a slab of Thomson optical depth τ _T = 1.5 (dotted curve, numerical simulations for a pulsar of frequency 435 Hz). A neutron star of mass $M = 1.4$ M_{\odot} and radius $R_* = 3R_s$ is assumed.

region. This region is smaller for more compact stars. Thus, we find that obscuration of the second spot by the star is highly improbable, unless the inclination is smaller than 30◦ and/or the stellar radius is larger than $3R_s$. In that case, the inner disc radius has to be at least ∼200 km, so as not to overproduce reflection.

The primary spot is sometimes eclipsed in the upper-right corner of the diagram, $cos(i + \theta) < -\kappa$ (i.e. $i + \theta > 180^\circ$ – arccos κ , which is class III according to the B02 classification), and is therefore forbidden. Again, for a spot of finite size the limiting line shifts to the left by ρ .

Obscuration by the disc. Let us consider now obscuration of the secondary spot by the accretion disc. First, we need to compute radii R_{disc} at which photon orbits (reaching the observer) cross the disc plane. One can easily show that in flat space

$$
R_{\rm disc} > R_* \sqrt{1 + \cos^2 \theta / \cos^2 i}.
$$
 (7)

In Schwarzschild metrics, photon orbits cross the disc at somewhat larger radius due to the light bending. We numerically computed photon trajectories for every pulsar phase and found the minimum disc-crossing radius. Now we can determine the region in the *i*–θ plane where this radius is larger than the disc inner radius, which (as we argued above) is $R_{\text{in}} \sim 40 \text{ km}$ (see Section 8.3.1). We show this region in Fig. 15 (the upper hatched region; the upper-right boundary is determined by the condition for visibility of the primary spot). We see that the second spot is not visible only for inclinations above

 $∼67°$ (for $R_* = 3R_s$). Larger R_{in} will further reduce the allowed region.

If we account for the finite size of the spot, the allowed region shifts to the left by the angular size of the spot. However, the lower limit on inclinations is not affected much, because now it is a rather flat function of θ . We conclude that the second spot can be blocked by the accretion disc. We obtain constraints $i \geq 67°$ ($i \geq 70°$) and θ $< 30°(\theta < 35°)$ for $R_* = 3R_s(2.5R_s)$ for a spot size of $\rho \sim 15°$.

8.3.4 Constraints from the variability amplitude

Important constraints on the physical parameters of the system are given by the variability amplitude. The observed peak-to-peak amplitude $A \approx 0.045$ gives the minimum intrinsic variability. Let us first assume that all the emission comes from the spot. For a slowly rotating star, the variability amplitude (for a blackbody spot) can be estimated from (B02; PG03)

$$
A = U/Q, \tag{8}
$$

where $U = (1 - R_s/R_*) \sin i \sin \theta$. The dashed curve in Fig. 15 shows the relation between i and θ satisfying the observed A .

In reality, the star rotates rapidly and the radiation pattern from the Comptonized emission is far from blackbody. Rapid rotation causes a slight shift of the maximum emission from the phase where the projected area has a maximum towards the phase where the Doppler factor has a maximum (i.e. quarter of the period earlier, see PG03). Additionally, light travel time delays skew the profile.

Changes in the emission pattern cause even larger changes in the oscillation profile. The angular dependence of the radiation escaping from a slab of Thomson optical depth τ _T = 1.5 (as suggested by spectral fitting, see Section 4.3 and Table 4) is shown in Fig. 16 (see also Viironen & Poutanen 2004). It depends on the scattering order n , which is in turn related to the photon energy by

Figure 16. Intensity (normalized to unity at $\mu = 1$) of the radiation escaping from a slab of Thomson optical depth τ T = 1.5 for different scattering orders *n* as a function of μ (here μ is the cosine of the zenith angle). The seed photons with intensity $I(\mu) = 1$ are injected from the bottom of the slab. Calculations are performed in the Thomson-scattering approximation (see Sunyaev & Titarchuk 1985; Viironen & Poutanen 2004).

 $E/3kT_{\text{seed}} \approx (1 + 4kT_{\text{e}}/m_{\text{e}}c^2)^n$. Thus we expect 3–7 scatterings to contribute most of the flux in the PCA range. As an example we compute the light curves expected for $n = 5$ using the fully relativistic method described in PG03 and Viironen & Poutanen (2004). Contour plots in the *i*– θ plane for $A = 0.045$ are shown in Fig. 15 by dotted curves. We see that Comptonized emission produces smaller variability compared to blackbody, and, for the same *i*, larger θ is needed to get the same amplitude.

If we take the upper hatched region as the allowed region of parameters, we immediately find that the magnetic pole is misaligned from the rotational pole by a very small angle θ of between 3° and 4◦. This angle becomes larger if it is only a fraction of the emission that comes from the spot.

8.4 Distance

We used a distance of 8.5 kpc throughout this paper, assuming that the source is close to the Galactic Centre. M02 placed XTE $J1751 - 305$ at a distance of at least 7 kpc, using indirect arguments. There are, however, clues that this distance might actually be much smaller.

First, the source is very bright, $L_{\text{peak}} = 0.13 L_{\text{Edd}}$, when placed at 8.5 kpc. It is about an order of magnitude brighter than SAX J1808.4 − 3658, while all other properties are very similar. At higher accretion rates, typically more that a few per cent of the Eddington limit, atoll sources switch to a soft (banana) spectral state (e.g. Hasinger & van der Klis 1989). This is not the case here. But the transition luminosity can be quite high, in particular during the onset of the outburst, where hysteresis effects have been observed and the source can remain in the hard state despite a high accretion rate (Maccarone & Coppi 2003). For example, Aql X-1 and 4U 1705−44 have been observed in the hard island state at luminosities ∼20 per cent of *L*_{Edd} (Barret & Olive 2002; Done & Gierliński 2003). Therefore, it cannot be ruled out that XTE J1751 − 305 could exist in the hard state at 13 per cent of the Eddington luminosity.

Cumming, Zweibel & Bildsten (2001) argued that the crucial difference between millisecond pulsars and non-pulsating atolls is in the average accretion rate (related to the size of the disc, which is smaller in millisecond pulsars). In atolls, the accretion rate is high enough to bury the magnetic field under the surface. The diffusion time-scale for rebuilding the field is $\sim 10^3$ yr – much larger than the typical period between outbursts. In millisecond pulsars the accretion rate *m* is never high enough to bury the field. Cumming et al. (2001) estimated that magnetic screening is ineffective for \dot{m} < 0.02 \dot{m}_{Edd} . Then, 0.13 L_{Edd} would have been too much for the magnetic field to survive in XTE J1751 $-$ 305 during the outburst. It would need $D\lesssim$ 3 kpc for \dot{m} to be low enough for the field not to be buried. This issue requires further study to resolve.

Indeed, if XTE J1751 $-$ 305 were located at $D = 3$ kpc it would decrease the blackbody apparent areas quoted in Table 4 by a factor of $(8.5/3)^2 \approx 8$ and the disc inner radius by a factor of $8.5/3 \approx 3$. The model DBPS (and DBTH), where the seed photons were from the blackbody component, would give a blackbody area of ∼100 km, and could not be rejected on the basis of the spot size. The inner spot area in the DBPF model would be \sim 3 km² and its linear size derived as in Section 8.3.2 would be less by a factor of ∼3.

9 SUMMARY

We have analysed the 2002 outburst of the second accretion-powered millisecond pulsar XTE J1751 − 305. The broad-band 0.7–200 keV spectrum of XTE J1751 − 305 obtained by*XMM–Newton* and*RXTE* is complex. The best-fitting models consist of two soft components and thermal Comptonization. The cooler soft component most probably comes from the accretion disc, the hotter one from the surface of the neutron star, while Comptonization takes place in a shocked region in the accretion column. We estimate the electron temperature of the plasma to be 30–40 keV and the Thomson optical depth to be $\tau \sim 1.5$ (for a slab geometry). In our best spectral models, the temperature of the soft seed photons for Comptonization is higher than the temperature of the visible soft blackbody component – which is consistent with a picture where photons from a heated spot under the shock are scattered away by the shocked plasma. In this model, the 'observed' (from infinity) area of the blackbody emission is about 100 km2 – corresponding to a spot of radius ∼5–6 km. The area corresponding to a shock was estimated to be 20 km^2 , which translates to a radius of 2.5–4.5 km depending on the assumed compactness of the neutron star and the viewing angle.

Physics of accretion in XTE J1751 − *305* 1275

Analysis of the *RXTE* spectra obtained during the outburst shows that the temperature of soft blackbody photons, as well as the temperature of seed photons, decreased monotonically. The spectral slope of the hard Comptonized component did not vary much. We argue that this favours a constant geometry (e.g. slab) emission region interpretation and two-phase models, where energy is dissipated in the hot phase and seed photons for Comptonization are the result of reprocessing the hard X-rays.

The pulse profile cannot be fitted by a simple sinusoid: a second harmonic is required. The mean peak-to-peak amplitudes of the first and second harmonics are about 4.5 and 0.15 per cent, respectively. There is a clear energy dependence of the profile, the amplitude gradually decreases and the peak at higher energies appears at earlier phases (soft lags). The time lags reach ∼100 µs and seem to saturate at about 10 keV. This behaviour is almost identical with that of SAX J1808.4 − 3658, where lags are a factor of two larger. The observed energy dependence of the pulse profiles can be explained by a model where blackbody and Comptonization components vary sinusoidally with a small phase shift. Such behaviour can result from Doppler boosting and different angular distributions of the emission from these components (PG03).

The non-detection of a reflected component in the time-averaged spectra and the absence of emission from the second antipodal spot (we see almost sinusoidal variations) put constraints on the geometry of the system. We argue that the inner radius of the optically thick accreting disc is about 40 km. In that case, the secondary can be blocked by the accretion disc if the inclination of the system is larger than ∼70◦. Blockage of the secondary by the star itself is highly unlikely for neutron star radii $R_* < 3R_s$. The observed variability amplitude constrains the magnetic pole to lie within 3–4◦ of the rotational pole, if most of the observed X-ray emission comes from a hotspot and a shock.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Academy of Finland grants 100488 and 201079, the Jenny and Antti Wihuri Foundation, and the NORDITA Nordic project on High Energy Astrophysics. We thank the referee for helpful comments.

REFERENCES

Agol E., Krolik J. H., 2000, ApJ, 528, 161 Aly J. J., Kuijpers J., 1990, A&A, 227, 473

- Arnaud K. A., 1996, in Jacoby G. H., Barnes J., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. 101, Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems V. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 17
- Barret D., 2001, Adv. Space Res., 28, 307
- Barret D., Olive J.-F., 2002, ApJ, 576, 391
- Barret D., Vedrenne G., 1994, ApJS, 92, 505
- Basko M. M., Sunyaev R. A., 1976, MNRAS, 175, 395
- Beloborodov A. M., 2002, ApJ, 566, L85 (B02)
- Bhattacharya D., 1995, in Lewin W. H. G., van Paradijs J., van den Heuvel E. P. J., eds, X-ray Binaries. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, p. 233
- Cui W., Morgan E. H., Titarchuk L. G., 1998, ApJ, 504, L27
- Cumming A., Zweibel E., Bildsten L., 2001, ApJ, 557, 958
- Cunningham C. T., 1975, ApJ, 202, 788
- Davis S. W., Blaes O. M., Hubeny I., Turner N. J., 2005, ApJ, 621, 372
- Deufel B., Dullemond C. P., Spruit H. C., 2001, A&A, 377, 955
- Done C., Gierliński M., 2003, MNRAS, 342, 1041
- Eckert D., Walter R., Kretschmar P., Mas-Hesse M., Palumbo G. G. C., Roques J.-P., Ubertini P., Winkler C., 2004, ATel, 352
- Esin A. A., McClintock J. E., Narayan R., 1997, ApJ, 489, 865
- Galeev A. A., Rosner R., Vaiana G. S., 1979, ApJ, 229, 318
- Galloway D. K., Chakrabarty D., Morgan E. H., Remillard R. A., 2002, ApJ, 576, L137
- Galloway D. K., Markwardt C. B., Morgan E. H., Chakrabarty D., Strohmayer T. E., 2005, ApJ, 622, L45
- Gierliński M., Done C., 2002, MNRAS, 337, 1373
- Gierliński M., Zdziarski A. A., Poutanen J., Coppi P. S., Ebisawa K., Johnson W. N., 1999, MNRAS, 309, 496
- Gierliński M., Done C., Barret D., 2002, MNRAS, 331, 141 (GDB02)
- Gilfanov M., Revnivtsev M., Sunyaev R., Churazov E., 1998, A&A, 338, L83
- Haardt F., Maraschi L., 1993, ApJ, 413, 507
- Hasinger G., van der Klis M., 1989, A&A, 225, 79
- Hayakawa S., 1985, Phys. Rep., 121, 317
- Kompaneets A. S., 1956, Soviet Phys. JETP, 31, 876
- Lewin W. H. G., van Paradijs J., Taam R., 1993, Sp. Sci. Rev., 62, 223
- Lyubarskii Yu. E., Sunyaev R. A., 1982, SvA Lett., 8, 330
- Maccarone T., Coppi P. S., 2003, MNRAS, 338, 189
- Madej J., Joss P. C., Różańska A., 2004, ApJ, 602, 904
- Malzac J., Beloborodov A. M., Poutanen J., 2001, MNRAS, 326, 417
- Markwardt C. B., Swank J. H., 2003, IAUC, 8144, 1
- Markwardt C. B., Swank J. H., Strohmayer T. E., in 't Zand J. J. M., Marshall F. E., 2002, ApJ, 575, L21 (M02)
- Markwardt C. B., Smith E., Swank J. H., 2003, IAUC, 8080, 2
- Markwardt C. B., Swank J. H., Strohmayer T. E., 2004, ATel, 353
- Menna M. T., Burderi L., Stella L., Robba N., van der Klis M., 2003, ApJ, 589, 503
- Miller J. M. et al., 2003, ApJ, 583, L99
- Mitsuda K. et al., 1984, PASJ, 36, 741
- Nowak M. A., 2000, MNRAS, 318, 361
- Pechenick K. R., Ftaclas C., Cohen J. M., 1983, ApJ, 274, 846
- Poutanen J., 2004, in Kaaret P., Lamb F. K., Swank J. H., eds, X-ray Timing 2003: Rossi and Beyond. AIP, Melville, NY, p. 228
- Poutanen J., Gierliński M., 2003, MNRAS, 343, 1301 (PG03)
- Poutanen J., Svensson R., 1996, ApJ, 470, 249
- Psaltis D., Norman C., 2000, astro-ph/0001391
- Romanova M. M., Ustyugova G. V., Koldoba A. V., Lovelace R. V. E., 2004, ApJ, 610, 920
- Różańska A., Czerny B., 2000, A&A, 360, 1170
- Shahbaz T., Charles P. A., King A. R., 1998, MNRAS, 301, 382
- Shapiro S. L., Lightman A. P., Eardley D. M., 1976, ApJ, 204, 187
- Shimura T., Takahara F., 1995, ApJ, 445, 780
- Stella L., Vietri M., 1998, ApJ, 492, L59
- Stern B. E., Poutanen J., Svensson R., Sikora M., Begelman M. C., 1995, ApJ, 449, L13
- Sunyaev R. A., Titarchuk L. G., 1985, A&A, 143, 374
- van Straaten S., van der Klis M., di Salvo T., Belloni T., 2002, ApJ, 568, 912
- van Straaten S., van der Klis M., Wijnands R., 2004, Nucl. Phys. B. (Proc. Suppl.), 132, 664
- Viironen K., Poutanen J., 2004, A&A, 426, 985
- Wijnands R., van der Klis M., 1998a, Nat, 394, 344
- Wijnands R., van der Klis M., 1998b, ApJ, 507, L63
- Wijnands R., van der Klis M., 1999, ApJ, 514, 939
- Zavlin V. E., Pavlov G. G., Shibanov Yu. A., 1996, A&A, 315, 141
- Zdziarski A. A., Johnson W. N., Magdziarz P., 1996, MNRAS, 283, 193
- Zhang S. N., Cui W., Chen W., 1997, ApJ, 482, L155
- Życki P., Done C., Smith D. A., 1998, ApJ, 496, L25

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.