## HIGH ENERGY ASTROPHYSICS

Compulsary Home Exercises. Problem set 4. Solutions.

## Problems

4.1: Consider a LMXRB that shows X-ray bursts. Estimate the interval between bursts if the accretion persistent uninosity is 1% of  $L_{\rm Edd}$ . Assume a neutron star mass of  $1.4M_{\odot}$  and radius 10 km. Assume the efficiency of nuclear burning during the burst of 0.7% and you may also assume that the burst is a 5-second 'spike' at  $L_{\rm Edd}$ . Estimate (using Stefan-Boltzmann law) the maximum effective temperature (in keV) reached during the burst.

Solution: The Eddington limit for a neutron star of mass  $1.4M_{\odot}$  is about

$$L_{\rm Edd} = 1.3 \times 10^{38} \frac{M_{\rm NS}}{M_{\odot}} \approx 1.8 \times 10^{38} \, {\rm erg \, s^{-1}}.$$

The mass "burned" during the time  $\tau = 5$  s can be obtained from the total energy emitted during the burst:

$$E = L_{\rm Edd}\tau = \eta_{\rm nucl}Mc^2,$$

where  $\eta_{\text{nucl}} = 0.007$  is the efficiency of nuclear burning. Thus we get

$$M = \frac{L_{\rm Edd}\tau}{\eta_{\rm nucl}c^2}.$$

This mass has to be accreted during time T between the bursts:

$$M = MT$$

The accretion luminosity is related to the accretion rate as

$$L_{\rm acc} = 0.01 L_{\rm Edd} = \eta_{\rm acc} \dot{M} c^2,$$

where  $\eta_{\rm acc} = GM_{\rm NS}/Rc^2 \approx 0.2$  is the accretion efficiency. Thus we get

$$T = \frac{M}{\dot{M}} = \frac{L_{\rm Edd}\tau}{\eta_{\rm nucl}c^2} \frac{\eta_{\rm acc}c^2}{L_{\rm acc}} = 100\tau \frac{\eta_{\rm acc}}{\eta_{\rm nucl}} = 14300\,\rm s \approx 4\,\rm hr$$

Stefan-Boltzmann law states:

$$\sigma_{SB} T_{\text{eff}}^4 = \frac{L_{\text{Edd}}}{4\pi R_{\text{NS}}^2}.$$

T

Thus we get

$$T_{\rm eff} = \left(\frac{L_{\rm Edd}}{\sigma_{SB}4\pi R_{\rm NS}^2}\right)^{1/4} = \left(\frac{1.8 \times 10^{38}}{5.67 \times 10^{-5} \times 4\pi 10^{12}}\right)^{1/4} = 2.24 \times 10^7 \,\rm K = 1.93 \,\rm keV.$$

4.2: Neutron star in an X-ray burster EXO 0740–676 rotates 552 times a second. Estimate what would be the observed physical width of the emission line due to the Doppler effect for an observer at an inclination i = 0, 60, 90 degrees to the rotational axis. Assume that the line energy in the star frame is 1 keV, the neutron star mass is  $M = 1.5M_{\odot}$  and the radius R = 12 km. Ignore light bending.

Solution: Consider spherical coordinates with the z-axis along the rotation axis of the star. Let the direction to the observer be  $\vec{k} = (\sin i, 0, \cos i)$ . The largest rotational velocity is reached at the equator (in units of speed of light):

$$\beta_{\rm eq} = \frac{v_{\rm eq}}{c} = 2\pi \frac{\nu}{\sqrt{1 - R_{\rm S}/R}} \frac{R}{c} = 0.175.$$

Here we assumed the neutron star radius R = 12 km, mass  $M = 1.5 M_{\odot}$  (i.e. Schwarzschild radius  $R_{\rm S} = 4.45$  km) and we have corrected the observed rotational frequency by the redshift  $1 + z = 1/\sqrt{1 - R_{\rm S}/R} = 1.26$ . The corresponding Lorentz factor  $\gamma_{\rm eq} = 1/\sqrt{1 - \beta_{\rm eq}^2} =$ 1.032. Velocity depends on the azimuth  $\phi$  of a point at the equator:

$$\vec{\beta}(\phi) = \beta_{\rm eq}(-\sin\phi,\cos\phi,0)$$

Ignoring light bending, the angle  $\xi$  velocity makes with the line-of-sight is

$$\cos \xi = \frac{\vec{\beta}(\phi)}{\beta_{\rm eq}} \cdot \vec{k} = -\sin i \sin \phi.$$

The equatorial Doppler factor

$$\mathcal{D}_{\rm eq}(i,\phi) = \frac{1}{\gamma_{\rm eq}(1-\beta_{\rm eq}\cos\xi)} = \frac{1}{\gamma_{\rm eq}(1+\beta_{\rm eq}\sin i\sin\phi)}$$

reaches extrema at  $\sin \phi = \pm 1$ :

$$\mathcal{D}_{eq,min} = 0.843, \quad \mathcal{D}_{eq,max} = 1.144, \quad \text{for } i = 60^{\circ},$$
  
 $\mathcal{D}_{eq,min} = 0.826, \quad \mathcal{D}_{eq,max} = 1.177, \quad \text{for } i = 90^{\circ}.$ 

For zero inclination, we cannot just use the same formula, because the biggest effects would come from variation of  $\gamma$  from 1 at the pole to  $\gamma_{eq}$  at the equator. Thus

$$\mathcal{D}_{\min} = 1/\gamma_{eq} = 0.97, \quad \mathcal{D}_{\max} = 1, \quad \text{for } i = 0^{\circ}.$$

The observed energy of the line is

$$E_{\rm obs} = \frac{E_{\rm emit}}{1+z} \mathcal{D} = \frac{1\,{\rm keV}}{1+z} \mathcal{D},$$

and the width is

$$\Delta E_{\rm obs} = \frac{\mathcal{D}_{\rm max} - \mathcal{D}_{\rm min}}{1.26}.$$

Thus we get

$$\Delta E_{\rm obs}(0) = 0.024 \,\text{keV}, \quad \Delta E_{\rm obs}(60^\circ) = 0.24 \,\text{keV}, \quad \Delta E_{\rm obs}(90^\circ) = 0.28 \,\text{keV}.$$

**4.3:** The observed Eddington flux corresponds to the Eddington luminosity reached at the neutron star surface:

$$F_{\rm Edd,obs} = \frac{L_{\rm Edd,obs}}{4\pi D^2} = \frac{GMc}{D^2\kappa_e(1+z)},$$

where  $\kappa_e = 0.2(1 + X) \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$  is the electron scattering opacity, X is the hydrogen mass fraction, D is the distance, M is the neutron star mass and z is the surface redshift. Derive the relation between the neutron star radius R and the compactness  $u = R_S/R$ (here  $R_S = 2GM/c^2$ ):

$$R = 14.138 \,\mathrm{km} \, \frac{(1+X)D_{10}^2 F_{-7}}{u\sqrt{1-u}},\tag{1}$$

where  $F_{-7} = F_{\text{Edd,obs}}/10^{-7} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $D_{10} = D/10 \text{ kpc}$ . What would be the neutron star radius and mass if the redshift is measured from the spectral lines z = 0.26? Assume  $F_{\text{Edd,obs}} = 6 \times 10^{-8} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , solar abundance X = 0.73, and distance D = 5 kpc.

Solution: The mass can be immediately obtained from the observed Eddington flux:

$$M = F_{\rm Edd,obs} \frac{D^2 \kappa_e (1+z)}{Gc} = 6 \times 10^{-8} \frac{(5 \times 3.09 \times 10^{21})^2 0.2 \times 1.73 \times 1.26}{6.67 \times 10^{-8} \times 3 \times 10^{10}} = 3.12 \times 10^{33} \,\mathrm{g} = 1.57 M_{\odot}.$$

We can now rewrite the definition of the Eddington flux as

$$F_{\rm Edd,obs} = \frac{R}{D^2 \kappa_e} \frac{GMc\sqrt{1 - R_S/R}}{R} = \frac{1}{0.2(1 + X)D^2} \frac{c^3}{2} u\sqrt{1 - u} R,$$

and now express the radius as

$$R = F_{\rm Edd,obs} 0.2(1+X) D^2 \frac{2}{c^3} \frac{1}{u\sqrt{1-u}}.$$

and substituting the numbers we get

$$R = 10^{-7} F_{-7} \, 0.2(1+X) D_{10}^2 (3.086 \times 10^{22})^2 \frac{2}{(2.998 \times 10^{10})^3} \frac{1}{u\sqrt{1-u}} = 1.414 \times 10^6 \, \mathrm{cm} \frac{(1+X) D_{10}^2 F_{-7}}{u\sqrt{1-u}}$$

If the redshift z = 0.26, then  $u = 1 - 1/(1+z)^2 = 0.37$ . The product  $(1+X)D_{10}^2F_{-7} = 0.26$ . Thus we get

$$R = 48.1 \,\mathrm{km} \, (1+X) D_{10}^2 F_{-7} = 12.5 \,\mathrm{km}$$

and

$$\frac{M}{M_{\odot}} = \frac{Ruc^2}{2GM_{\odot}} = \frac{Ru}{2.95\,\mathrm{km}} = 1.57,$$

consistent with the one we already obtained above.

4.4: The spectrum of the photospheric radius expansion burst from 4U 1724–307 is well described by a black body. From the touchdown flux the observed Eddington limiting flux was determined as  $F_{\rm Edd,obs} = 0.58 \times 10^{-7} \, {\rm erg \ cm^{-2} \ s^{-1}}$ . The blackbody normalization in the cooling tail was  $K = 220 \, ({\rm km}/10 \, {\rm kpc})^2$ . Estimate the neutron star mass and radius. Assume distance  $D = 5.0 \, {\rm kpc}$ , solar abundance, the color correction factor in the tail  $f_c = 1.4$ .

Solution: From equation (1) of exercise 4.3 we get  $(D_{10} = 0.5, F_{-7} = 0.58, X = 0.73)$ 

$$R = 14.138 \,\mathrm{km} \, \frac{1.73 \times 0.5^2 \times 0.58}{u\sqrt{1-u}} = \frac{3.55 \,\mathrm{km}}{u\sqrt{1-u}}.$$
(2)

In the lecture note we show the relation between the radius at infinity, the actual neutron star radius and the black body radius  $R_{\rm bb}$ 

$$R_{\infty} = \frac{R}{\sqrt{1-u}} = R_{\rm bb} f_c^2,$$

therefore the radius:

$$R = R_{\rm bb} f_c^2 \sqrt{1-u}$$

Instead of  $R_{\rm bb}$ , we measure the normalization  $K = (R_{\rm bb}/D)^2$  and we then get

$$R = \sqrt{K}Df_c^2\sqrt{1-u}.$$

If we measure R in km, K in  $(\text{km}/10 \text{ kpc})^2$ , we have

$$R = D_{10}\sqrt{K}f_c^2\sqrt{1-u}\,\mathrm{km} = 14.54\sqrt{1-u}\,\mathrm{km}.$$
(3)

Dividing equations (2) and (3) we get a quadratic equation for u:

$$(1-u)u = 0.244 \Rightarrow u^2 - u + 0.244 = 0.$$

The solutions are

$$u = \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{0.25 - 0.244} = 0.5 \pm 0.076.$$

The two solutions are  $u_1 = 0.576$ ,  $u_2 = 0.424$ . Substituting those to equation (2) or (3), we get  $R_1 = 9.47$  km and  $R_2 = 11.04$  km. The corresponding masses

$$\frac{M}{M_{\odot}} = u \frac{R}{2.95 \,\mathrm{km}}$$

and  $M_1/M_{\odot} = 1.85$ ,  $M_2/M_{\odot} = 1.59$ . Both solutions are realistic.