HIGH ENERGY ASTROPHYSICS

Compulsary Home Exercises. Problem set 4. Solutions.

Problems

4.1: Consider a LMXRB that shows X-ray bursts. Estimate the interval between bursts if the accretion persistent uminosity is 1% of L_{Edd} . Assume a neutron star mass of $1.4M_{\odot}$ and radius 10 km. Assume the efficiency of nuclear burning during the burst of 0.7% and you may also assume that the burst is a 5-second 'spike' at L_{Edd} . Estimate (using Stefan-Boltzmann law) the maximum effective temperature (in keV) reached during the burst.

Solution: The Eddington limit for a neutron star of mass $1.4M_{\odot}$ is about

$$
L_{\rm Edd} = 1.3 \times 10^{38} \frac{M_{\rm NS}}{M_{\odot}} \approx 1.8 \times 10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1}.
$$

The mass "burned" during the time $\tau = 5$ s can be obtained from the total energy emitted during the burst:

$$
E = L_{\rm Edd} \tau = \eta_{\rm nucl} Mc^2,
$$

where $\eta_{\text{nucl}} = 0.007$ is the efficiency of nuclear burning. Thus we get

$$
M = \frac{L_{\rm Edd} \tau}{\eta_{\rm nucl} c^2}.
$$

This mass has to be accreted during time T between the bursts:

$$
M = \dot{M}T.
$$

The accretion luminosity is related to the accretion rate as

$$
L_{\rm acc} = 0.01 L_{\rm Edd} = \eta_{\rm acc} \dot{M} c^2,
$$

where $\eta_{\text{acc}} = G M_{\text{NS}} / R c^2 \approx 0.2$ is the accretion efficiency. Thus we get

$$
T = \frac{M}{\dot{M}} = \frac{L_{\rm Edd} \tau}{\eta_{\rm nucl} c^2} \frac{\eta_{\rm acc} c^2}{L_{\rm acc}} = 100 \tau \frac{\eta_{\rm acc}}{\eta_{\rm nucl}} = 14300 \,\text{s} \approx 4 \,\text{hr}.
$$

Stefan-Boltzmann law states:

$$
\sigma_{SB} T_{\text{eff}}^4 = \frac{L_{\text{Edd}}}{4\pi R_{\text{NS}}^2}.
$$

Thus we get

$$
T_{\text{eff}} = \left(\frac{L_{\text{Edd}}}{\sigma_{SB} 4\pi R_{\text{NS}}^2}\right)^{1/4} = \left(\frac{1.8 \times 10^{38}}{5.67 \times 10^{-5} \times 4\pi 10^{12}}\right)^{1/4} = 2.24 \times 10^7 \text{ K} = 1.93 \text{ keV}.
$$

4.2: Neutron star in an X-ray burster EXO 0740–676 rotates 552 times a second. Estimate what would be the observed physical width of the emission line due to the Doppler effect for an observer at an inclination $i = 0, 60, 90$ degrees to the rotational axis. Assume that the line energy in the star frame is 1 keV, the neutron star mass is $M = 1.5 M_{\odot}$ and the radius $R = 12$ km. Ignore light bending.

Solution: Consider spherical coordinates with the z-axis along the rotation axis of the star. Let the direction to the observer be $k = (\sin i, 0, \cos i)$. The largest rotational velocity is reached at the equator (in units of speed of light):

$$
\beta_{\text{eq}} = \frac{v_{\text{eq}}}{c} = 2\pi \frac{\nu}{\sqrt{1 - R_{\text{S}}/R}} \frac{R}{c} = 0.175.
$$

Here we assumed the neutron star radius $R = 12$ km, mass $M = 1.5 M_{\odot}$ (i.e. Schwarzschild radius $R_S = 4.45$ km) and we have corrected the observed rotational frequency by the redshift $1+z=1/\sqrt{1-R_{\rm S}/R}=1.26$. The corresponding Lorentz factor $\gamma_{\rm eq}=1/\sqrt{1-\beta_{\rm eq}^2}=$ 1.032. Velocity depends on the azimuth ϕ of a point at the equator:

$$
\vec{\beta}(\phi) = \beta_{\text{eq}}(-\sin \phi, \cos \phi, 0).
$$

Ignoring light bending, the angle ξ velocity makes with the line-of-sight is

$$
\cos \xi = \frac{\vec{\beta}(\phi)}{\beta_{\text{eq}}} \cdot \vec{k} = -\sin i \sin \phi.
$$

The equatorial Doppler factor

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\text{eq}}(i,\phi) = \frac{1}{\gamma_{\text{eq}}(1 - \beta_{\text{eq}}\cos\xi)} = \frac{1}{\gamma_{\text{eq}}(1 + \beta_{\text{eq}}\sin i\sin\phi)}
$$

reaches extrema at $\sin \phi = \pm 1$:

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\text{eq,min}} = 0.843, \quad \mathcal{D}_{\text{eq,max}} = 1.144, \quad \text{for } i = 60^{\circ},
$$

 $\mathcal{D}_{\text{eq,min}} = 0.826, \quad \mathcal{D}_{\text{eq,max}} = 1.177, \quad \text{for } i = 90^{\circ}.$

For zero inclination, we cannot just use the same formula, because the biggest effects would come from variation of γ from 1 at the pole to γ_{eq} at the equator. Thus

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\min} = 1/\gamma_{\text{eq}} = 0.97, \quad \mathcal{D}_{\max} = 1, \quad \text{for } i = 0^{\circ}.
$$

The observed energy of the line is

$$
E_{\rm obs} = \frac{E_{\rm emit}}{1+z} \mathcal{D} = \frac{1 \,\text{keV}}{1+z} \mathcal{D},
$$

and the width is

$$
\Delta E_{\rm obs} = \frac{\mathcal{D}_{\rm max} - \mathcal{D}_{\rm min}}{1.26}.
$$

Thus we get

$$
\Delta E_{\rm obs}(0) = 0.024 \,\text{keV}, \quad \Delta E_{\rm obs}(60^{\circ}) = 0.24 \,\text{keV}, \quad \Delta E_{\rm obs}(90^{\circ}) = 0.28 \,\text{keV}.
$$

4.3: The observed Eddington flux corresponds to the Eddington luminosity reached at the neutron star surface:

$$
F_{\rm Edd,obs} = \frac{L_{\rm Edd,obs}}{4\pi D^2} = \frac{GMc}{D^2 \kappa_e (1+z)},
$$

where $\kappa_e = 0.2(1 + X)$ cm² g⁻¹ is the electron scattering opacity, X is the hydrogen mass fraction, D is the distance, M is the neutron star mass and z is the surface redshift. Derive the relation between the neutron star radius R and the compactness $u = R_S/R$ (here $R_S = 2GM/c^2$):

$$
R = 14.138 \,\mathrm{km} \,\frac{(1+X)D_{10}^2 F_{-7}}{u\sqrt{1-u}},\tag{1}
$$

where $F_{-7} = F_{\text{Edd},\text{obs}} / 10^{-7}$ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹, $D_{10} = D / 10$ kpc. What would be the neutron star radius and mass if the redshift is measured from the spectral lines $z = 0.26$? Assume $F_{\text{Edd,obs}} = 6 \times 10^{-8} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, solar abundance $X = 0.73$, and distance $D = 5 \text{ kpc}$.

Solution: The mass can be immediately obtained from the observed Eddington flux:

$$
M = F_{\rm Edd, obs} \frac{D^2 \kappa_e (1+z)}{Gc} = 6 \times 10^{-8} \frac{(5 \times 3.09 \times 10^{21})^2 0.2 \times 1.73 \times 1.26}{6.67 \times 10^{-8} \times 3 \times 10^{10}} = 3.12 \times 10^{33} \, \text{g} = 1.57 M_\odot.
$$

We can now rewrite the definition of the Eddington flux as

$$
F_{\rm Edd,obs} = \frac{R}{D^2 \kappa_e} \frac{GMc\sqrt{1 - R_S/R}}{R} = \frac{1}{0.2(1 + X)D^2} \frac{c^3}{2} u\sqrt{1 - u} R,
$$

and now express the radius as

$$
R = F_{\rm Edd,obs} 0.2(1+X)D^2 \frac{2}{c^3} \frac{1}{u\sqrt{1-u}}.
$$

and substituting the numbers we get

$$
R = 10^{-7} F_{-7} \, 0.2(1+X) D_{10}^2 (3.086 \times 10^{22})^2 \frac{2}{(2.998 \times 10^{10})^3} \frac{1}{u\sqrt{1-u}} = 1.414 \times 10^6 \, \text{cm} \frac{(1+X)D_{10}^2 F_{-7}}{u\sqrt{1-u}}.
$$

If the redshift $z = 0.26$, then $u = 1 - 1/(1+z)^2 = 0.37$. The product $(1+X)D_{10}^2F_{-7} = 0.26$. Thus we get

$$
R = 48.1 \,\mathrm{km} \,\left(1 + X\right) D_{10}^2 F_{-7} = 12.5 \,\mathrm{km}
$$

and

$$
\frac{M}{M_{\odot}} = \frac{Ruc^2}{2GM_{\odot}} = \frac{Ru}{2.95 \text{ km}} = 1.57,
$$

consistent with the one we already obtained above.

4.4: The spectrum of the photospheric radius expansion burst from 4U 1724–307 is well described by a black body. From the touchdown flux the observed Eddington limiting flux was determined as $F_{\rm Edd,obs} = 0.58 \times 10^{-7} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The blackbody normalization in the cooling tail was $K = 220 \text{ (km/10 kpc)}^2$. Estimate the neutron star mass and radius. Assume distance $D = 5.0$ kpc, solar abundance, the color correction factor in the tail $f_c = 1.4$.

Solution: From equation (1) of exercise 4.3 we get $(D_{10} = 0.5, F_{-7} = 0.58, X = 0.73)$

$$
R = 14.138 \,\mathrm{km} \,\frac{1.73 \times 0.5^2 \times 0.58}{u\sqrt{1 - u}} = \frac{3.55 \,\mathrm{km}}{u\sqrt{1 - u}}.\tag{2}
$$

In the lecture note we show the relation between the radius at infinity, the actual neutron star radius and the black body radius $R_{\rm bb}$

$$
R_{\infty} = \frac{R}{\sqrt{1 - u}} = R_{\rm bb} f_c^2,
$$

therefore the radius:

$$
R = R_{\rm bb} f_c^2 \sqrt{1 - u}.
$$

Instead of $R_{\rm bb}$, we measure the normalization $K = (R_{\rm bb}/D)^2$ and we then get

$$
R = \sqrt{K} D f_c^2 \sqrt{1 - u}.
$$

If we measure R in km, K in $(km/10 kpc)^2$, we have

$$
R = D_{10}\sqrt{K}f_c^2\sqrt{1-u}\,\mathrm{km} = 14.54\sqrt{1-u}\,\mathrm{km}.\tag{3}
$$

Dividing equations (2) and (3) we get a quadratic equation for u .

$$
(1 - u)u = 0.244 \Rightarrow u^2 - u + 0.244 = 0.
$$

The solutions are

$$
u = \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{0.25 - 0.244} = 0.5 \pm 0.076.
$$

The two solutions are $u_1 = 0.576$, $u_2 = 0.424$. Substituting those to equation (2) or (3), we get $R_1 = 9.47$ km and $R_2 = 11.04$ km. The corresponding masses

$$
\frac{M}{M_{\odot}} = u \frac{R}{2.95 \,\mathrm{km}}
$$

and $M_1/M_\odot = 1.85$, $M_2/M_\odot = 1.59$. Both solutions are realistic.