## X-ray polarization from Comptonizing inflows and outflows

#### Juri Poutanen (University of Turku)

9 July 2024 Sesto

# Plan

- 1. Motivation: X-ray polarimetry of Cyg X-1 and Swift J1727.8–1613
- 2. Polarization from scattering in a static slab
- 3. Polarization from scattering in an outflow
- 4. Conclusions

## Cygnus X-1

IXPE observed Cyg X-1 in the hard state in May and June 2022.



 $PD = 4.0 \pm 0.2 \%$  $PA = -20.7 \pm 1.4 \deg$ 

Krawczynski et al. 2022, Science



X-ray polarization parallel to the jet

Inclination is  $27.5 \pm 0.8$  deg (Miller-Jones et al. 2021).

## Swift J1727.8-1613

IXPE observed Swift J1727.8–1613 in the rising and decaying hard state in September 2023 and April 2024, respectively.



1: PD = 4.1  $\pm$  0.2 % PA = 2.2  $\pm$  1.3 deg (Veledina et al. 2023)

8: PD =  $3.3 \pm 0.4 \%$ PA =  $3 \pm 4 \deg$ (Podgorny et al. 2024)



X-ray polarization parallel to the jet of position angle  $-0.60 \pm 0.07$  deg. Inclination is  $27 \le i \le 37$  deg (Wood et al. 2024).

### Compton scattering off hot electrons



Poutanen 1994

# Comptonization in a slab (compps)

(Poutanen & Svensson 1996)



## Comptonization in a slab (compps)

Two solutions with the optical depth varying by factor of 10 when the flux varied by a factor of 100. Evidence for advective flow?  $L \propto \dot{M}^2$ 

At smaller inclination, such a high PD cannot be achieved.



#### Polarizations from a dynamic corona (Beloborodov 1998)

- Consider Thomson scattering in an optically thin outflow.
- Lower boundary condition: electron-scattering dominated disk.



#### Polarizations from a dynamic corona (Beloborodov 1998)

- Consider Thomson scattering in an optically thin outflow.
- Polarization changes sign but the PD is low.



# Polarizations from a dynamic corona

(Beloborodov 1998)

Consider Thomson scattering in an optically thick outflow.



- Outflow of e<sup>±</sup> pairs in equilibrium with the radiation field.
- Polarization is perpendicular to the standard Chandrasekhar-Sobolev result.



# Comptonization in a dynamic corona

(Poutanen, Veledina, Beloborodov 2023)

• We need to take into account motion of the gas. RTE:

$$\mu \frac{d\boldsymbol{I}^{\mathrm{l}}(\tau, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu})}{d\tau} = [1 - \beta(\tau)\boldsymbol{\mu}][-\sigma(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathrm{c}})\boldsymbol{I}^{\mathrm{l}}(\tau, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) + \boldsymbol{S}^{\mathrm{l}}(\tau, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\mu})]$$

- Source function in the lab frame  $S^{1}(\tau, x, \mu) = \mathcal{D}^{3} S^{c}(\tau, x_{c}, \mu_{c})$
- Doppler factor

$$\mathcal{D} = 1/[\gamma(1 - \beta\mu)]$$

• Aberration formula  $\mu_{c} =$ 

$$\mu = \frac{\mu - \beta}{1 - \beta \mu}, \qquad \mu = \frac{\mu_{\rm c} + \beta}{1 + \beta \mu_{\rm c}}.$$

• Source function in comoving frame

$$S^{c}(\tau, x_{c}, \mu_{c}) = x_{c}^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dx_{c}'}{x_{c}'^{2}} \int_{-1}^{1} d\mu_{c}'$$
$$\times \boldsymbol{R}(x, \mu; x_{c}', \mu_{c}') \boldsymbol{I}^{c}(\tau, x_{c}', \mu_{c}') = \mathcal{R}\boldsymbol{I}^{c}$$

Intensity in comoving frame

$$I^{c}(\tau, x_{c}, \mu_{c}) = \mathcal{D}^{-3} I^{1}(\tau, x, \mu)$$

# Comptonization in a dynamic corona

(Poutanen, Veledina, Beloborodov 2023)

 $\beta(\tau) = \beta_0(\tau/\tau_0)$  Three geometries:



# Comptonization in a dynamic corona

(Poutanen, Veledina, Beloborodov 2023)

 $\beta_0$ 

0.4

0.6

 $\beta(\tau) = \beta_0(\tau/\tau_0)$  Three geometries:



# Conclusions

- 4% PD from accreting black holes observed at 30 deg inclination cannot be achieved in a static corona.
- Mildly relativistic outflow affects the angular distribution of seed photons in the gas frame producing polarization of scattered radiation parallel to the flow.
- Relativistic aberration leads to a higher PD at lower inclination.